लाल बहादुर शास्त्री राष्ट्रीय प्रशासन अकादमी L.B.S. National Academy of Administration मसूरी MUSSOORIE पुस्तकालय LIBRARY

330.09

अवाप्ति संख्या Accession No. वर्ग संख्या

Class No._ पुस्तक संख्या Book No.



THE DEVELOPMENT OF ECONOMIC DOCTRINE AN INTRODUCTORY SURVEY

AN INTRODUCTORY SURVEY

BY

ALEXANDER GRAY, MA
PROFESSOR OF POLITICAL ECONOMY
IN THE UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH

With Folding Chart

LONGMANS, GREEN AND CO.
LONDON • NEW YORK • TORONTO

LONGMANS GREEN AND CO LTD 6 & 7 CLIFFORD STREET LONDON W I

LONGMANS GREEN AND CO INC 55 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK 3

LONGMANS GREEN AND CO 215 VICTORIA STREET TORONTO I ALSO AT MELBOURNE AND CAPE TOWN

ORIENT LONGMANS LTD BOMBAY CALCUTTA MADRAS

First Edition —May 1931 New Impressions —April 1933 March 1934 May 1935 September 1936 October 1937 March 1939 January 1941 April 1942 August 1944 April 1946 February 1947 July 1947 January 1948 June 1948 June 1948

> Printed in Great Britain by Northumberland Press Limited Gateshead on Tyne

PREFACE

CUSTOM and convention very properly require that an author—at least of anything that looks like a text-book—should make suitable apologies for his action in accentuating the horid and outrageous disproportion between supply and demand in the world of books, and that he should at the same time, for the benefit of reviewers and others, indicate what particular long-felt gap he imagines he is filling. The object of this book is a very modest one. The most important word in its title indicates that it is an introduction, and it is of the essence of an introduction that it should represent only a beginning of knowledge. In academic study, as in social life, an introduction is no more than the first stage in the departure from a state of complete giorance, leaving much to be learned later, not without constant possibility of surprise.

This book is accordingly designed to be used by the beginner, along with any of the innumerable text-books on economics which may be selected for the purpose of study or instruction. My own experience is that exposition of current and accepted doctrine is always made more palatable if accompanied by a backward glance at the development of thought and opinion. If it be true that the teaching of economics requires almost constant reference to the tenets of the Mercanthists, of the Physicorats, of Smith, Malthus, List and a great cloud of other witnesses, then even a first-year student might properly

6

have in his hands, and by his bedside, a book containing what he wants to know with regard to the development of economic doctrine This, with all respect to other books, is the gap which this volume is designed to fill

For, in a sense, the available books on the history of economic doctrine are too full, too comprehensive—let us say, too good—for the ordinary student, however admirably they may serve the purpose of the student who is specializing. The beginner is merely confused if he is told something about everybody, or if it is impressed upon him that Ortes and Justi call for mention. Important as they may be, Ortes and Justi can very well afford to wait. On the other hand, when the interest of the beginner is educationally better that he should have a fairly adequate idea of the landmarks, even if nothing be done to temper his dark ignorance elsewhere, than that he should have a diffused and confused knowledge of a wider field

In this book, therefore—with my eyes on the beginner—I have endeavoured so far as practicable to mention mo writer unless I could find space for something like an intelligible account of what he stood for I have accordingly proceeded by a process of selection and concentration, ruthlessly casting overboard people of quite considerable importance. Thus in dealing with the Middle Ages, I have to all intents and purposes confined the discussion to St. Thomas Aquinas, so (apart from a slight reference to Turgot) I have placed on Quesnay the entire burden of the Physiocrats. In the later period I have likewise restricted myself to those writers whom beginners are most likely to come across.

Not always have I been ruthless without a heavy heart McCulloch and the elder Mill I have indeed neglected with unruffled feelings Even the German historical school has gone without causing me much misgiving, somehow the old controversy with regard to method" seems less important to-day than a generation ago and, with this decline in significance the leading figures in that controversy seem less insistently to require notice. But I should have liked to present Cantillon who may yet come into his own when a promised reprint is more readily available, and patriotic motives made me hanker after John Law, who, as an economist, receives abroad a measure of respect withheld from him in his own country, where we tend to look upon him as a financial swashbuckler So also I should have been glad to find a place for Cournot the father of a long line of mathematical economists But like other frail vessels an introductory text-book also has a Plimsoll line, and in deference to warnings regarding the dangers of foundering due to overloading, these and other precious bales have had to be left behind on the quay As a consequence of this policy of ngorous exclusion in order to allow for fuller treatment of those included, I flatter myself that in no other book professing to be a history of economic doctrine are so many notable names entirely unmentioned

There is evidence on all sides that the study of the development of economic doctrine is receiving to day far more attention in English-speaking countries than was accorded to it by the last generation. I should like to think that this little book may encourage what I regard as a praiseworthy tendency and that it may serve as a useful stepping stone to the more comprehensive works of Haney and of Gide and Rist.

ALEXANDER GRAY

Marischal College Aberdeen March 1931.

AUTHOR'S NOTE TO SECOND IMPRESSION

I Take the opportunity of a reprint of this book to record three events relating to the literature of the subject. Firstly, Cantillon's Essai sur la nature du commerce en général, referred to in the preface above, has now been published for the Royal Economic Society by Macmillan and Co Secondly, the work of Surányi-Unger, mentioned on page 374, is now available in an English translation: Economics in the Twentieth Century (Allen & Unwin). Thirdly, a large and detailed work on the history of economic doctrine, by H W C Bordewijk, has appeared in Dutch under the title Theoretisch-historische Inleiding tot de Economie It is largely devoted to the Mercantilists, the Physiocrats and the Classical School

A G

April, 1933

CONTENTS

PAGE

	PREFACE		•	•	•	5
I	GREECE AND ROME					11
11	THE MIDDLE AGES	ST	THOMAS	AQUIN	AS	
	ORESME					40
ш	MERCANTILISM					65
IV	THE PHYSIOCRATS QUI	ESNA	AND TUR	go t ∳	F	96
ı/ V	ADAM SMITH X .					119
VI	MALTHUS AND RICARDO) χ				155
VII	LAUDERDALE, RAE AND	SISM	ONDI			190
VIII	MÜLLER, LIST AND VO	N TH	NEN			217
ıx.	THE OPTIMISTS. CAREY	ANI	BASTIAT			248
X THE CLASSICAL TRADITION SAY, SENIOR, J. S						
	MILL AND CAIRNES	i				266
XI	KARL MARX					293
XII	THE AUSTRIAN SCHOOL. THE THEORY OF THE					
	margin 🗶					330
	EPILOGUE					363
	NOTES FOR FURTHER B	READI	NG .			370
	INDEX			. ,		375
FACSIMILE DIAGRAM						
Reproduction of the Tableau Leonomique from the						
Elemens de la Philosophie rurale by the Marquis de- Mirabeau facing p 106						
9						

"Political economy is perhaps the only science of which it may be said that the ignorance of it is not merely a deprivation of good, but produces great positive evil "-- REV T R MALTHUS

"Political economy is a science at the same time dangerous and leading to occasions of sin" -CARDINAL NEWMAN

"Marshall was too anxious to do good"

⁻J. M KEYNES.

CHAPTER 1

GREECE AND ROME

HOWEVER recent in the history of human thought may be the development of a systematic body of economic doctrine, reflection, and to a certain extent speculation, on economic phenomena must be as old as human thought itself. For if we accept the most notable of recent definitions of economic science, which in its popular and abridged version tells us that economics is the study of mankind in the ordinary business of life, it is obvious that stray reflections falling within the almighty sweep of such a department of knowledge must have passed through the mind of man ever since he was capable of reflection at all

The industrious gleaner may therefore collect from the oldest literature chance observations and stray remarks which the enthusiast may hail as containing in embryo a doctrine of Adam Smith or the kernel of the philosophy of the Physiocrats Moreover—apart from the obster dicts of poets and philosophers—all customs, institutions and laws must contain implicitly a certain measure of economic theory, even if it be never expressly propounded. An Act which forbids usury involves a denial of the legitimacy of interest, and inferentially an affirmation of the barrenness of money; customs with regard to inheritance (or its absence) imply views with regard to private property

The Code of Hammurabi, in fixing the price of the hire of boats and goats, and the wages of tailors, stone-cutters and other artisans, implied some sort of view with regard to the just price and the standard wage, the Mosaic Law, with its elaborate provision to secure all against poverty, represents, on the economic side, an extreme example of

represents, on the economic side, an extreme example of a State founded on a Poor Law

Two conclusions follow from this. In the first place, economic doctrine, whether it be held in solution in the laws, customs, and practices of a society, or whether it be precipitated as a more or less muddy deposit in the works of the theorist, reflects the condition of the society to which it relates. In a sense, therefore, a study of

comparative politics, meaning thereby an analysis of the changing structure of society, is a presupposition of a correct understanding of the development of economic doctrine. No less important is economic history as that term is generally understood. It is no accident that Malthus wrote on population at a time when population was rapidly increasing, or that Ricardo explored the intricacies of currency problems when the currency system was disorganized. In short, Political Economy throughout has been in large measure an attempt to explain, within the existing framework and assumptions of society, how and on what theory contemporary society is operating. The second conclusion is perhase at first sight somewhat

can have only limited validity. The "economic laws" proper to a society resting on tradition, status and caste may be very different from those applicable to a modern industrial competitive society. The theoretical economist might arrive at different conclusions according as chance may have assigned him to a mediaeval society with the implications of feudalism and the manorial system, to the Greek city state, where the flower of culture was

pessimistic It is that any body of economic doctrine

rooted in slave labour, or to the State of the Incas, based on the tyranny and the orderliness of the bee-hive Economic science, therefore, if it be a science, differs from other sciences in this, that there is no inevitable advance from less to greater certainty, there is no ruthless tracking down of truth which, once unbared, shall be truth to all times to the complete confusion of any contrary doctrine No absurdity in the history of economic doctrine was, in its time, quite so absurd as it now appears to our complacent eyes Moreover it is very seldom, if ever, possible to write off a heresy as having been for all time irremediably discarded, demolished and exploded Old doctrines never die, they only fade away, with a strange power of recuperation in an appropriate environment dominant opinion of any age, even if it has a flavour peculiarly its own, is, on analysis, a fricassée of the thoughts of all the ages, and the latest exponent of orthodox and accepted doctrine may to a later generation appear to have added at most one further ingredient or one choice condiment before passing it on

Greece

It is in the Greek writers that theorizing on economic matters, even if it may scarely be termed economic theory, first explicitly emerges. But the ancient world, even as represented by Athens, was in many ways but a poor soil for any kind of economic speculation, and consequently, unless to those who are fanatically determined to find everything in antiquity, adumbration of economic theory among the Greeks will rather appear in the form of incidental observations, thrown off in the pursuit of a more worthy end. Two general considerations, which in fact involve a multiplicity of other influences, explain the relatively meagre attainments in such matters even of Greece, contrasted

with her lustre in all other fields of speculation and intellectual enterprise In the first place, the ancient State was in general a strangely comprehensive institution The man and the citizen were identified Religion was a part of the machinery and the mystery of the State Ethics and politics were thus inextricably intermingled If the subject matter of economics could not be entirely eliminated or ignored, it was viewed merely as part of the general domain of ethical inquiry, as indeed happened more than two thousand years later, when Professor Adam Smith experimented with "The Wealth of Nations" on the Moral Philosophy Class in Glasgow But the complete emancipation which Adam Smith conferred on economics could not be attained in Greece Economics was not merely the attendant and the handmaiden of Ethics (as perhaps she should always be), she was crushed and blotted out by her more prosperous and pampered sister, and later excavators, in search of the origins of economic theory, can only dig out disconnected fragments and mangled remains

The second reason for the absence of any connected system of economic theory goes even deeper The ancient world, viewed as a whole, rested on a system of caste, and even Athens was not free from the hampering effects of such an inheritance The city state was founded on slavery, and mevitably where a slave class exists, the work assigned to a slave falls into disrepute and becomes dishonourable Throughout antiquity, and as markedly in Greece as anywhere, the work of the craftsman was regarded as incompatible with a "life of virtue" To the Greek mind nearly all the activities of modern society would have appeared unworthy and debasing When slaves are at hand to do the work, work becomes the mark of the slave Agriculture almost alone. for a variety of reasons, escaped the contempt and the

censure of Greek and Roman writers, and consequently classical writers made further progress in the exposition of agricultural economics than elsewhere If the ordinary business of life ' was thus despised, it is hardly reasonable to expect that its study should be regarded as a subject worthy of sustained and independent investigation

So far as economic theory is concerned, it is in Plato that Greek thought advances beyond the level of such wise saws as may be extracted from Hesiod and others and arrives at some consecutiveness. Yet Plato is the supreme example of the classical tendency to regard economics as a minor subsection of ethics and politics It is above all in The Rebublic and to a lesser extent in The Laws, where he indulges in 'an old man's game," that Plato turns to economic questions The former is primarily a discussion on Justice and it is because the essential nature of Justice may perhaps be most easily discerned in the State-since for the short sighted the larger letters may be more easily read-that the disputants turn aside in the second book to discuss the State and its origin and are thus led to construct from the foundation an ideal polity. It is noticeable that the origin of the State is attributed wholly to economic considerations "A state arises out of the needs of mankind no one is self-sufficing but all of us have many wants "1 and moreover Plato indicates that no other origin of a state can be imagined. This line of argument after a consideration of the essential needs of mankind leads to Plato's discussion of division of labour. which has so often been compared with the famous chapter in Adam Smith The essential needs of mankind are food dwelling and clothing so that the barest notion of a state must include four or five men-a husbandman a builder a weaver and perhaps a shoemaker or a

¹ The Republic Jowett's translation p 49

representative of some other similar occupation. Each of these is, moreover, to confine himself to his own calling The governing considerations in the application of the principle of division of labour, as conceived by Plato, are then, firstly, that there are "diversities of natures among us which are adapted to different occupations," and secondly, and as a consequence of this, that "all things are produced more plentifully and easily, and of a better quality, when one man does one thing which is natural to him and does it at the right time, and leaves other things." It is in order that this principle may be satisfied that Plato then extends his State to include a considerable diversity of other crafts—sailors, traders, retailers and others

It is interesting to compare this passage with the corresponding section in Adam Smith, and to note how different is Plato's method of approach to this fundamental The starting point here is ethical there are diversities of natures among men, and each should do what is natural to him Up to a certain point this may be a valid explanation of division into trades, under modern conditions, with such specialization as is presented in any large-scale system of production, it is, of course, absurd to speak of anyone's work as being "natural" to him, or as satisfying diversity of nature Adam Smith's explanation of division of labour begins with an absurdity which he expands into something more tenable and comprehensible Division of labour, he says, is due to the human propensity to truck, barter and exchange one thing for another, as though men, like overgrown schoolboys, did different things for the sheer joy of subsequent "swopping" But the following paragraph puts a different complexion on what, at the first blush, is a rather quaint theory Man, says Smith, in words reminiscent of Plato, has almost constant occasion for the help of his

brethren, but—unplatonically—it is in vain for him to expect it from their benevolence only is therefore, for Smith, the effective means of driving a good bargain, it is a device whereby we can count on our dinner from the butcher, relying not on his benevolence, but on his regard for his own interest.

The contrast between Plato and Adam Smith on this point is striking. In Plato, division of labour is the basis of the social organization, the city is built up on division of labour, so that it almost reads as if there could be no State where every member of a community satisfied all his own needs In Smith it is a device for the ultimate advantage of those who practise it, operating through an increase in their bargaining power Also, although it is not explicit in Plato, there is in The Republic a curious reversal of Smith's famous dictum that division of labour is limited by the extent of the market. In The Rebublic the extent of the market is imposed by the necessity of adopting division of labour. The city must be large enough to enable each to do what is natural to him other words, division of labour in Smith leads to diversity of occupation as its final result, in Plato it springs from diversity of endowment as its first impulse

The point on which appeal has most often been made to Plato relates to his advocacy of communism in the ideal state. To appreciate the peculiar features of Plato's communism it is necessary to consider (a) the scope, and (b) the object of these communistic institutions. These will best emerge by tracing the course of Plato's argument, leading up to the memorable passage at the close of the third book in which the principle of communism is enunciated. We have seen the city swell out to allow for the application of the principle of division of labour, so as to include merchantmen, importers and exporters, the organization of a market, with a system of currency,

tetalers and hrelings At this point there is a pause in the argument, we have arrived at the State matured and perfected, and a dithyrambic hymn of praise is placed in the mouth of Socrates, descriptive of the simple life which the citizens will lead therein. It is only when Glaucon objects that this is but a "city of pigs," devoid of the

the citizens will lead therein. It is only when Glaucon objects that this is but a "city of pigs," devoid of the ordinary conveniences of life, that the argument acquires a new lease of life. What is now, to be considered is the "luxunous state," and though the subsequent discussion is ordinarily accepted as a description of the ideal state, Socrates explicitly says that "in my opinion the true and healthy constitution of the State is the one which I have described," that is to say, Glaucon's city of pigs. What is next to be discussed is, according to Socrates, a "state at fever-heat," and the first result of Glaucon's desire, for "the ordinary conveniences of life" is a vast extension of the activities of the community by the addition of

actors, dancers, barbers, confectioners, cooks et hoc genus omne—and rather saturcally, more doctors More relevant to the main line of argument is the contention that the State will now require a slice of the territory of its neighbours, who in return will be similarly covetous, since in the conditions supposed men will have exceeded the "limit of necessity," and have given themselves up to the "unlimited accumulation of wealth" This in turn

leads to a further extension of the population, this time embracing a whole army . Thus we arrive at the guardians, who practise an art which is not to be combined with any other occupation, since one man cannot practise many arts with success.

The guardians require careful selection, likewise very careful education. They must combine apparently contradictory qualities, inasmuch as they must be dangerous to their enemies and gentle to their friends. We are not here concerned with the lengthy discussion of the education of

the guardians, nor with the subsequent distinction between "guardians in the fullest sense" (the real rulers) and the auxiliaries, but the words in which communism is enacted are of importance in specifying both the scope and the purpose of the communism prescribed The guardians are to look round for a spot where they can best suppress insurrections within and defend the State from enemies without, and they are to encamp there Further, in order to ward off the danger that they may become enemies instead of friends of the citizens, their whole mode of life is to be such that they will not be tempted to prev upon the other citizens Consequently they are devoted to an ascetic method of life, they are to have no property beyond what is necessary, they are to receive a fixed rate of pay, sufficient to meet expenses and no more "Should they ever acquire homes or lands or moneys of their own. they will become housekeepers and husbandmen instead of guardians, enemies and tyrants instead of allies of the other citizens "1

Firstly, as to the scope of Plato's communism, it is clear from the whole argument that it is prescribed only for the limited class of the guardians, who are indeed throughout to live a semi-military life. It is, in fact, the communism of the camp The contrary view has been argued along lines which need not here be indicated, and one authority has contended that "a superficial reading of The Republic gives the impression . . that Plato recommends communism solely for these upper sections."3 It is almost sufficient to note that Aristotle himself is one of these superficial readers, it is entirely sufficient that Plato expressly describes the regulations as "appointed by us for the guardians," and even more conclusive is his statement that if the guardians depart from their

¹ Ibid , Book III, p 106 2 Beer, Social Struggles in Antiquity, p 97.

communistic life, they will become housekeepers and

Then secondly, as to the purpose of the guardians' communism, it is noticeable that Plato in his motives is poles asunder from other communistic dreamers Elsewhere the cry for communism arises from an ageneved sense of the injustice and monstrous inequality of the world It-is not so in Plato For his guardians, communism is an act of ascetic renunciation, it is the condition on which alone they can efficiently discharge their duties The work they have to do is so important that they may only do it properly on condition that they remain free of the snares and the entanglements of this world In its motive therefore it is akin to the celibacy of the Roman Catholic priesthood The object of Plato's communism is in no way to establish a right to equal enjoyment, rather it is a part of the price that must be paid by a class on whom special responsibilities have been imposed. An interesting, if trivial sidelight, illustrative of the fact that the whole arrangements with regard to the guardians are designed to free them from the ordinary troubles of life. is furnished by the provision that when a woman guardian has a child, the process of suckling shall not be protracted too long "the mothers will have no getting up at night or other trouble but will hand over all this sort of thing to the nurses and attendants "1

A slight glance at The Laws, as supplementing the teaching of The Republic, is almost essential The Laws is the work of an old man whose fire is spent, and some have found in it the marks of the disillusionment of age Yet here Plato still clings to the old ideal "The first and highest form of the State and of the Government and of the Law is that in which there prevails most widely the ancient saying that "Friends have all things in

¹ The Republic, Jowett's translation, p 154

common ' '' But that is rather a State for gods and the sons of gods, and therefore he now outlines the State which "when created, will be nearest to immortality and the only one which takes the second place" Such a state comprises 5.040 lots, since the city must not be too large and the citizens should be known to each other, and this figure is administratively convenient, as it is divisible by all numbers up to ten There is no longer any question of communism, since community of goods goes beyond their proposed origin, and nurture, and education" The regulations throughout are to aim at a middle and contented condition of life, based on the doctrine that poverty is to be regarded as the increase of a man's desires and not the diminution of his property No one is to possess gold or silver except as coin for daily use, and the currency of the country is to be peculiar to it and not acceptable abroad Inequality of condition cannot be avoided, but undue disparity, arising from extreme poverty or excessive wealth, is to be guarded against For this purpose the population is to be divided into four classes, the limit of poverty being one lot which is to be guarded unimpaired, while the maximum possession is to be the equivalent of four lots, the surplus to be surrendered to the State 2 No money is to be lent at interest, and, more startling, there is to be no obligation to repay even the capital, a provision explicable on the ground that this is to be a society of half-brothers, if not of brothers, and that there is a definite restriction imposed on the amount of permissible wealth 3

Certain of the old restrictions remain. No citizen is to be occupied in handicraft arts, for citizenship is an "art which requires much study and many kinds of know-

¹ The Laws, Jowett's translation, p 121

lbid , p 127

ledge, and does not admit of being made a secondary occupation "No one is to follow two crafts, and for the purposes of this regulation citizenship is to be regarded as a craft, and the study of virtue as a full-time occupation

Among other points it is perhaps worthy of mention that the second best State is to enjoy free trade and complete number to minimum the from import and export duties, subject only to the importation of unnecessary luxures and the exportation of what is wanted in the country being prohibited. Retail trade is forbidden, and there is also a curious desire to preserve the virtues and the purity of the city by removing it to a safe distance from the sea, for "the sea is pleasant enough as a daily companion, but has indeed also a bitter and brackish quality, filling the streets with merchants and shopkeepers, and begetting in the souls of men uncertain and unfaithful ways "2 But into the rather wearsome details of the State contemplated in The Laws, it is unnecessary here to enter

When we turn to Aristotle, we are confronted with a writer who, by his analytical frame of mind, went further than any other thinker in antiquity in the direction of detaching a separate science of economics. This is not the place to consider his political theories, but his starting point that man is by nature a political animal enthroned for him the science of politics, so that ethics and economics alike were viewed by him from a political angle points are of peculiar interest to the economist is his destructive criticism of Plato's communism in the second book of the Politics, and the other is his analysis of the household, leading to a discussion of the economic bases of society On the former point, with the arguments of all the ages ringing in our ears. Aristotle's contentions may appear hackneved What he says about the snares inherent in community of wives need not

¹ Ibid , p 230

detain us, it never has been, and is not now (it may be hoped) an urgent question. But his arguments on the general question of community of property might date from the present year of grace. It is still true that "people pay most attention to their own private property and less to that in which they have but a part interest."

—as witness the carved hieroglyphics on the seats in any public park contrasted with the reverence plaid to the domestic Chesterfield.

Aristotle's arguments, in the main, are of a highly practical character, in short, that a system of communism would not work, and that it violates natural human instincts There would be disputes between those who get less and work more, and those who get much and work little2-the old difficulty of distribution among frail. grudging and suspicious men Unity built on communism is in fact a delusion, the common purse leads to quarrels ansing out of trivial causes Moreover there is an immense advantage in the sense of private property. It corresponds to natural instincts, "the love each individual bears to himself is not purposeless," as he remarks in a phrase in which we seem to catch the tones of Adam Smith's invisible hand Again, in an argument which is still common, though of doubtful cogency, he urges that community of property would destroy the virtue of liberality His own position is not, however, merely negative As against reformers in a hurry in all ages, he contends that the evils are due, not to the institution which it is proposed to destroy (in this case private property), but to defects in human nature Unless that is first amended, he would seem to say, there will be no advantage in abolishing something which in itself may be used for good or evil "The existing system, if embel-

¹ Politics, Book II, chap 3, Welldon's translation, p. 43.

^a Ibid. Book II, chap 5, p. 48

lished by the moral tone of those who live under it and by a code of wise laws, would be far superior."1 The ideal which Aristotle outlines is thus that of private possession with use in common, so far as that may be practicable-in modern language, private property mellowed by a sense of the responsibility of wealth, a position not so different from that of the Christian Socialists

Apart from the dispute regarding communism, the main part of Aristotle's economic teaching is to be found in the first book of the Politics The place which this discussion occupies in his general treatment flows from his general theory of the State The State has in all cases a natural existence, it is the complete development of the earlier associations, the household and the village, and Aristotle accordingly proceeds to consider, as the logical first step. the economy of the household. Here there are three fundamental relationships to be borne in mind, that of master and slave, of husband and wife, and of parent and The fourth and last of the parts of Domestic Economy is the art of finance, which is not to be confused with Domestic Economy, since it is the business of finance to provide and of Domestic Economy to use that which is provided 2

Under the section which deals with the relationship of master and slave3 occurs Aristotle's celebrated defence of slavery, which sounds so oddly in modern ears. The principle of rule and subjection is, he says, inevitable and beneficent, and there are "natural slaves," persons who are as far inferior to others as the body is to the soul, or a beast to a man In these cases a life of slavish subjection is advantageous Aristotle is not blind to the obvious

¹ Ibid, Book II, chap 5, p 49 ² Ibid, Book I chaps 3 and 8 ³ Ibid Book I chaps 5 and 6

objection that, as he puts it, it may happen "contrary to the intention of Nature that those who possess the bodies do not possess the souls of free men and vice versa"." for even if there be those who are "slaves by nature," it calls for supreme faith to believe that the accidents of life should at any time sort out the slaves and the non-slaves, in accordance with the definition of a "natural slave." Hence he distinguishes between natural and legal slaves, and speaks of the law being a convention according to which all conquests in war are the property of the conqueror. The whole discussion is curious and unconvincing, and is perhaps best viewed as a reflection of the fact, not merely that many slaves were well-treated, but also that their position would have been worse but for the institution of slavery.

Coming to the question of acquisition or wealth-getting,2 Aristotle is concerned to show how far it is or is not a part of Domestic Economy Clearly part of wealth-getting must belong to Domestic Economy, since the household requires to be supplied with what is necessary, if this is not already provided This leads to the rather curious distinction between true or genuine wealth to which there is a limit, and another unnatural kind of acquisition (finance in the bad sense) to which there is no limit Nature supplies men with the means of subsistence which are gathered in various ways, so that men's lives may be classified as those of the nomad, the husbandman, the brigand, the fisherman and the hunter Property which so arises is "assigned by Nature," and such property and such alone constitutes genuine wealth Apart from the odd appearance of the brigand in this galley, this is merely, in the main, an anticipation of Physiocratic thought Here also, so far as concerns real wealth, that

¹ Ibid , Book I chap 6, Welldon, p 13 ² Ibid , Book I, chap 8

is to say the acquisition of the means of subsistence, there is a definite limit assigned, since wealth in this sense must be limited by the needs and the purpose of the household and the State

As against this, there is another kind of finance,1 which does not have a natural existence and which is ultimately based on the distinction, familiar long afterwards as that between value in use and value in exchange Every object has two uses, the shoe has a use as a covering for the feet, it has also a use as an article of exchange long as we are in the region of primitive barter, we are still concerned with what is natural, but this has ceased when we come to retail trading, where exchange is "carried beyond the point of satisfying mere requirements" Out of mere simple barter to satisfy a real need, the evil art of finance-retail trading-develops as a natural consequence after the introduction of a system of currency, the successive stages of which are noted with a fair approximation to the discussion in Jevons's text-Finance, in the natural sense, is a part of Domestic Economy Unnatural finance is not, it produces money merely by means of exchange, and here wealth is unlimited The one, the healthy, might be described as the acquisition of the means of living, the securing of the "pre-requisites which Nature ought to provide", the other is merely money-making The one is laudable; the other enriches one party at the expense of the other But of all evil forms of finance, the worst is usury, since here money produces the gain itself, and is diverted from the purpose for which it was devised

In his final classification, apart from the healthy kind of finance which belongs to Domestic Economy and comprises primarily such things as stock-farming, husbandily and bee-keeping, and the unnatural which belongs to trade and comprises commerce, usury and hired labour, Aristotle allows for a third kind of finance which is intermediate and comprises something common to each of the others. This class is concerned with the products of the earth which, however, "do not yield fruit," such as woodcutting and mining—those industries, indeed, which we should now describe as extractive

Apart from the Politics, there is also a passage in the Nicomachaan Ethics (Book V. chaps 7 and 8) which is of some economic interest. Aristotle is there concerned with justice in private dealings. Discarding the mathematical theory of proportion in which his doctrine is embedded, the central point of his teaching is that " when people get as the result of exchange exactly what they had at the beginning, neither more nor less, they are said to have what belongs to them and to be neither losers nor gainers "1 How is this principle to be carried out? That things may be exchanged, they must in some sense be comparable, and hence money is invented. It measures all things, and consequently, in the case taken, that of a cobbler and a builder, it measures the number of shoes which are equivalent to a house " As a builder then is to a cobbler, so must so many shoes be to a house or a meal " It is the demand for mutual services which binds society together, and money is the recognized representative of this demand Money, Aristotle save in this passage, has not a natural but a conventional (vouw) existence, and for this reason it is called money (vourgum) .2 it is within our power to change it and make it useless, a doctrine which would seem to possess kinship with Knapp's theory that money is a creature of the law. Money, he adds, is serviceable for future exchanges; it is a guarantee that if we do not desire a thing now, we shall

¹ Ethics, Book V, chap 5, Welldon's translation, p 149 ² Ibid , p 153

be able to get it when we do want it Though the value of money is not always the same, it tends to have a more constant value than anything else Aristotle was obviously not unfamiliar with certain orthodox views regarding the nature and the functions of money.

28

One last point worthy of passing mention is that Aristotle's attitude towards "mechanics" and their share in the State is in line with that of most writers in antiquity. It is summed up in the general statement that all who are indispensable to the existence of the State cannot be regarded as citizens, and that in the best State (whatever may happen elsewhere) citizenship will not be conferred upon any mechanic. There is here a striking similarity to the passage in the Book of Ecclesiasticus in praise of artificers. "Without these cannot a city be inhabited", yet "they shall not be solight for in publick counsel."

Inasmuch as Aristotle comes nearest to a consistent body of doctrine it may be convenient to summarize how far he had reached The starting point of his discussion regarding the relation of finance to Domestic Economy rests to a certain extent on a Physiocratic view of the superior place of Nature in the production of true wealth, wealth having here a somewhat ethical significance and

Politics, Book III, chap 5, Welldon, p 113

^a Ecclesasticus xxxmi ^v 32, 33 The corresponding passage on the same subject in Kenophon (Economicus IV, 2, 3) is interesting, inasmuch as the writer assigns reasons for the contempt of the illiberal arts "They utterly ruin the bodies of workers and managers alike, compelling men, as they do, to lead selentary lives and huddle indoors, or in some cases to spend the day before a fire Then as men's bodies become enervated, so their souls grow sickler. And these vulgar crafts involve complete absence of lessure and hinder men from social and civic life, consequently men such as these are bad friends and indifferent defenders of their country Moreover, in some states, particularly in those accounted warfike, no full citizen states, particularly in those accounted warfike, no full citizen tasts, particularly in those accounted warfike, no full citizen Lastener's Greak Economies, ppg 39, 40 See also Loeb edition, on 301 l

being related to the satisfaction of needs actually felt His condemnation of unnatural finance, or mere accumulation, is based on an acute analysis of the distinction between value-in-use and value-in-exchange He analyses, in the main with justice, the development and the functions of money, and is commendably clear as to the distinction between money and wealth, though on the other hand money remains for him exclusively a medium of exchange, and it is to his adherence to this view that his condemnation of usury must be attributed. So, in the same way, the nature of capital escapes him, exchange in itself is barren, being ultimately and ideally merely an interchange of equivalents Some of his observations on the unnatural kind of finance, viewed as the manifestation of an impulse to accumulation, are not without a certain suggestiveness of Marxian criticisms of the hunger of capital for surplus value On the subject of value itself, Aristotle definitely ranges himself with those who seek a subjective explanation. It is in the demand for mutual services that value is rooted, and it is this principle of demand which binds society together Such, summarily, are the main features of the Aristotelian approach to a body of economic doctrine

The third Greek writer to contribute certain embryonic elements to an economic science is Xenophon, who approaches matters throughout in an entirely practical spirit. His achievement is perhaps greater than has generally been admitted, and even where his speculation is confused, it may at least be pleaded on his behalf that the man who gives a wrong answer to a question has at least made some progress, insofar as he realizes that a question exists. The pleasantly innocuous dialogue Geonomicus, devoted to a discussion of the principles of estate management, opens with an analysis of wealth in the manner of Ruskin. Wealth is only wealth to him.

DEVELOPMENT OF ECONOMIC DOCTRINE who can use it, or, more epigrammatically, useful things

are those things which one knows how to use, so also, even

money is not wealth to him who does not know how to use it Further, wealth is to be interpreted in relation to needs the man of simple tastes and little substance is wealthy in comparison with the man of great possessions on whom excessive claims rest. All this is the stuff of which proverbial philosophy and the home-spun poetry of contentment are woven Primarily, Xenophon is an agricultural writer, and it is of interest to note that he furnishes the motto to Quesnay and the Physiocratic school many centuries later "When husbandry flourishes, all the other arts are in good fettle, but whenever the land is compelled to lie waste, the other arts of landsmen and mariners alike wellnigh perish "2 His praise of agriculture is indeed of Physiocratic excess is the easiest of all things to learn, none gives quicker returns (to a careful man), it is the giver of all things, no art is "dearer to servants, or pleasanter to a wife, or more delightful to children, or more agreeable to friends "8 Moreover, on Xenophon has been fathered an approach to the familiar agents of production, two-land and labour -being recognized, for though agriculture or the land supplies good things in abundance, "she suffers them not to be won without toil " So also in a fumbling way he approaches, or seems to approach, the Law of Diminishing Returns. "the landowners could all tell you how many teams and how many labourers are required for their estates If anyone employs hands in excess of requirements, it is reckoned as a loss "5

It is, however, in the short essay on The Ways and

¹ Loeb translation, pp 367, 409

^{*} Ibid , p 405 * Ibid , p 517

⁴ Ibid, p 401 ⁵ Laistner, Greek Economics, p 16, Ways and Means, etc

Means to Increase the Revenues of Athens1 that Xenophon is most interesting, most enlightened and perhaps also most confused Here he is concerned with finding a "remedy for the poverty of our citizens," and the tract therefore resolves itself into an elementary discussion of public finance Throughout, a more liberal view than customary is manifested. The foreign residents are seen as a source of revenue, and Xenophon argues for the abolition of all regulations that inflict disabilities on this class. He is indeed anxious to attract "more and better class foreigners to settle at Athens," and in pursuance of this policy he would show favour to merchants-by free seats at festivals and invitations to banquets No less significant is his suggestion to raise a capital sum to provide accommodation for merchants and shops and houses for retail dealers Equally enlightened is his contention that it is the cities which have been longest at peace that have been most prosperous, despite the booty of war, it is in peace that wealth is accumulated. it is in war-time that it is spent

More curious are the views expressed by Xenophon with regard to silver-mining. Here, with grotesque overstatement, is an industry following the Law of Increasing Returns, the greater the number of people employed, the more prolific becomes the ore. Elsewhere excess of entrepreneurs leads to their bankruptcy, excess of commodities leads to cheapness. But there is no limit to the demand for silver: "Silver ore will never give out, and silver will never lose its value." Gold does not have this marvellous property; for "when an abundance of gold makes its appearance its value depreciates, and it sends up the price of silver." Consequently the city should acquire slaves to be let out to work in the silver-mines, and in order to overcome the risks inherent in opening new

¹ Printed in Laistner, Greek Economics

cuttings, he suggests something approaching to a joint stock method of operation

Even a brief survey of Xenophon would be incomplete without reference to a passage in the Cyropedia which, being quoted by Marx and others, has become one of the curiosities of economic literature. It represents division of labour as applied to the kitchen, run mad, with one man to boil fish and another to bake them. Here, surely, the centuries have shown retrogression.

Apart from these three main representatives of Greek thought, a passing reference is perhaps due to the dialogue called Ervaigs, which is of interest as being

1 "For just as all other arts are developed to superior excellence in large cities, in that same way the food at the king's palace is also elaborately prepared with superior excellence. For in small towns the same workman makes chairs and doors and ploughs and tables, and often this same artisan builds houses, and even so he is thankful if he can only find employment to support him And it is, of course, impossible for a man of many trades to be proficient in all of them. In large cities, on the other hand, masmuch as many people have demands to make upon each branch of industry, one trade alone, and very often even less than a whole trade, is enough to support a man one man, for instance, makes shoes for men. and another for women, and there are places even where one man earns a living by only stitching shoes, another by cutting them out, another by sewing the uppers together, while there is another who performs none of these operations but only assembles the parts It follows, therefore, as a matter of course, that he who devotes himself to a very specialized line of work is bound to do it in the best possible manner Exactly the same thing holds true in reference to the kitchen, in any establishment where one and the same man arranges the dining couches. lays the table, bakes the bread, prepares now one sort of dish and now another, he must necessarily have things go as they may, but where it is all one man can do to stew meats and another to roast them, for one man to boil fish and another to bake them, for another to bake bread and not every sort at that, but where it suffices if he makes one kind that has a high reputation-everything that is prepared in such a kitchen will, I think, necessarily be worked out with superior excellence " (Cyropadia, Book VIII, 11, 5-6, Loeb translation PP 333-135)

devoted wholly to an analysis of wealth, and which clearly distinguishes between material and immaterial wealth, othat "in exchange for their art " men may obtain the necessaries of life," and to Austophanes' wholly diverting play Ecclesizause, a skit on communism (not neglecting votes for women) which is full of the most modern thrusts.

Rome

Economic doctrine in Rome may be more summarily discussed. As a record of social struggle, the history of Rome is of the highest importance, but the specific contribution which Rome made to theory is meagre to the extent of being negligible. Moreover, such as it is, it is largely an echo of Greece It is indeed in accordance with the genius of the Roman people that their theory should be implicit in their actions, their laws and their jurisprudence, rather than explicit in the works of professed theorists. It is a wise observation of Roscher's that in Rome it was the most practical men who concerned themselves most with economic matters, and their most influential thought on economic questions, being in effect the economics of the Civil Service and the Law Courts, was never formally propounded

Another characteristic of such economic fragments as Rome offers is that much of it dates from a time when the splendour was dimmed, and when the more farsighted could see the hastening approach of the day of ruin. It is therefore mixed up with a good deal of head-shaking over evil times, and lamentations, doubtless sincere, over the departure of the days of primitive simplicity. It thus becomes on one side a criticism of the weaknesses of the times, and the praise of agriculture—a common feature of ancient thought—is in part also a

sigh for a vanished simplicity and a censure of prevailing ostentation and greed

The contribution of Rome to the discussion of economic topics—even if it may not amount to a theory—falls conveniently and traditionally <u>under three groups</u> the <u>philosophers</u>, the <u>agricultural writers</u> and the <u>jurists</u>. A few points may be noted under each heading

Of the philosophers (of whom Cicero, Seneca and Plny may be taken as typical) it may be suggested that in so far as they merely censure luxury, or emphasize the beauty of simplicity and the right uses of wealth, they are primarily motal rather than economic teachers. To a considerable extent this exhausts their content. On other questions there is little that is new One of the most illuminating passages in Cicero's De Officius is that in praise of agriculture, which is also and even more emphatically in dispraise of all other occupations. In

1" Now in regard to trades and other means of livelihood, which ones are to be considered becoming to a gentleman and which ones are vulgar, we have been taught, in general, as follows First, those means of livelihood are rejected as modernable which merry people and the state of the state

'Fishmongers, butchers, cooks, and poulterers, And fishermen.'

as Terence says Add to these, if you please, the perfumers, dancers, and the whole corps de ballet

But the professions in which either a higher degree of intelligence is required or from which no small benefit to society as denved—medicine and architecture, for example, and teaching these are proper for those whose social position they become itself this passage indicates why the spirit of antiquity could scarcely be expected to evolve a body of economic doctrine As has been properly observed. Cicero is here concerned with the respectability of various occupations, not with their productiveness Notable is his comparative tolerance towards wholesale trade, especially if it leads to a country estate Usury naturally is condemned, in this passage on the feeble ground that it incurs people's ill-will, but it is also to Cicero that we owe Cato's terse comment "How about murder?" to one who inquired "How about money-lending? "1

Seneca's views on economic matters are not in the main of great interest Money (and not merely the love of money) is emphatically the root of most evils Envy and greed are the sources of all injustice 2 But in the midst of much in this train of thought which, even if true and well-expressed, has ill-founded claims to originality, there is one thought that is significant, namely that the various quarters of the earth have been differently endowed in such a manner that the peoples of the world would appear to have been called to enter into intercourse with each other for the mutual satisfaction of their needs 3

Trade, if it is on a small scale, is to be considered vulgar, but if wholesale and on a large scale, importing large quantities from all parts of the world and distributing to many without misrepresentation, it is not to be greatly disparaged. Nay, it even seems to deserve the highest respect, if those who are engaged in it, satisfied, or rather, I should say, satisfied with the fortunes they have made, make their way from the port to a country estate, as they have often made it from the sea into port But of all the occupations by which gain is secured none is better than agriculture, none more profitable, none more Book I, Alu, Loeb translation, pp 153-155)

"Et cum ille, qui quæsierat, dixisset 'Quid fæneran'',

tum Cato 'Quid hominem,' inquit, 'occidere'" (De Officiss, Book II, xxv, Loeb, p 266)

De Ira, III, 32, 33

Ad Helviam Matrem de Consolatione, chap. 9.

36

In the tangled mass of Pliny's Natural History there are certain interesting passages relating to money There is more than a touch of Rousseau in his assertion that the worst crime against mankind was committed by him who was the first to put a ring (of gold) upon his finger 1 There is much vitriolic denunciation of gold "discovered only for the ruin of mankind" How much more happy the age when things were bartered for one another! Yet despite this, he explains, not unreasonably, the causes which led to the pre-eminence of gold, as that it suffers no damage through fire, that it wears the least of all the metals by use, that no material is more malleable, that it is not subject to rust and so on 2 The other passage in Pliny which has been so frequently quoted is that which relates to the extent of the farm He refers with approval to Vergil's maxim " to praise a large farm, but cultivate a small one," and adds in a celebrated and ominous phrase that the widespread domains had been the ruin of Italy: " latifundia Italiam perdidere."3

The agricultural writers have but a slender claim to appear here Their works are a striking testimony to the regard in which agriculture was held in antiquity, and at the same time it is here alone that we have a careful analysis of an industry. Yet these writers, of whom Cato, Varro and Columella are most noteworthy-with, of course. Pliny, who neglects nothing-are concerned above all with the technique of agriculture and only incidentally with the economics of agriculture Moreover, it is in nearly all cases the management of an estate, self-contained and self-sufficing, that is the problem considered; in other words, agriculture here is viewed not as an industry run for profit; the problem, in Aristotelian phraseology, is one

¹ Pliny, Book XXXIII, chaps 3, 4.
⁸ Pliny, Book XXXIII, chap 19
⁸ Pliny, Book XVIII, chap. 7.

of Domestic Economy rather than of "chrematistics" Lastly, it is difficult to resist the impression that the more important writers, in their praises of agriculture, were obliquely pointing to what they regarded as the salvation of Rome in degenerate times, and with a certain amount of force, an analogy has been drawn with the Physiocrats, who many centuries later also emphasized the importance of agriculture under the shadow of approaching evil

Apart from dithyrambic praise of agriculture, as, for instance, when Columella says that husbandry is next to and near akin to wisdom, it is notable that the writers of this group condemn slave-labour as inefficient Pliny may be taken as typical. "It is the very worst plan of all to have land tilled by slaves let loose from the house of correction, as indeed is the case with all work entrusted to men who live without hope" Certain sayings of Cato, as on the superiority of an agricultural population. and his dictum that he has been a useful member of society who leaves more at his death than he inherited. passed into current wisdom But in the main these writers are concerned with tips for the landowner (and his wife). hints on the pruning of trees, where to buy tunics, the medicinal effects of cabbage, the salting of gammon and the like.

To the third contributory stream derived from Rome, represented by the jurists, it is possible to make merely a vague reference, though indeed their influence on the world at large, and on the subsequent method of approach to economic questions, has been of the profoundest. It might indeed be argued that Roman Law represents the greatest legacy of Rome to the civilized world, masmuch as a large portion of the daily transactions of the world are still regulated in accordance with the principles laid

¹ Pluny, Book XVIII, chap. 7.

down by the Roman jurists and embodied in Roman Law For our present purpose, influence along two different inces is notable. The progress of Rome from its earliest self-contained beginnings to its widest expansion was marked, on the one hand, by increasing contact with other nations and peoples, which were found to have laws of their own, and, on the other hand, by an advance to a state of considerable economic, financial and commercial complexity, in which men made contracts, and in which the art of banking and the mysteries of credit were not unknown. In response to the first of these lines of development, the Roman lawyers evolved the idea of a "jus gentium," an idea not in itself of economic importance that the late of the contracts of the development.

the art of banking and the mysteries of credit were not unknown In response to the first of these lines of development, the Roman lawyers evolved the idea of a "jus gentium," an idea not in itself of economic importance, though later it impurges on the development of economic ideas. The "jus gentium," the body of law common to different nations, readily passes into the idea of natural law, that is to say, the idea of a body of law, which, being common to all peoples, is "natural" to them, and can therefore claim a higher sanction than that of any earthly legislative. It is an idea which, in the varying shades of meaning of which it is susceptible, never wholly faded out. In the eighteenth century it colours the doctrine that certain things are "natural,"

which, being common to all peoples, is "natural" to them, and can therefore claim a higher sanction than that of any earthly legislature It is an idea which, in the varying shades of meaning of which it is susceptible. never wholly faded out In the eighteenth century it colours the doctrine that certain things are "natural," which is so large a part of Smith, and which even more emphatically is the foundation-stone of the Physiocrats The other development led, not indeed to economic doctrine, but to a careful analysis of certain economic conceptions, above all those relating to contract and the nature of property Here the influence of Roman Law was in the direction of a rigid, and indeed a somewhat harsh individualism To the influence of the Roman jurists must in large measure be attributed the prevailing tendency to consider that each one, in the common phrase. has a right to do what he likes with his own, that the conception of private property necessarily includes the

nght not merely to enjoy, but also arbitrarily to abuse and destroy, and logically likewise an untrammelled right of bequest Here, in the two great principles of private property and complete freedom of contract, Rome left a bias towards unfettered and irresponsible individualism as against the possible claims of the family, the sept, or the nation

CHAPTER II

THE MIDDLE AGES ST THOMAS ACUINAS. ORESME

St. Thomas Aquinas

Anyone who would essay to present in a few pages what purports to be a statement of the economic doctrines current in the Middle Ages must be conscious, if he has a conscience, that he is postulating a simplicity that does not exist It may be left to the historians to decide what period should be assigned to the "Middle Ages," and to specify the limits of that vawning gulf of time which must be allowed to have begun somewhere, and which certainly came to an end with the fall of Constantinople and the discovery of America, if indeed it had not ended a century earlier Viewing the twelfth and the thirteenth centuries from afar, it is now dangerously easy to consider that the condition of Europe was relatively static for a vast period of time, and to speak as though Europe, like a sleeping princess, slumbered through many centuries until the elfin horns of the Renaissance heralded a new and a rosy morning

Yet though the tendency to look upon the Middle Ages as static is deceptive, there is a certain convenience for our purpose in looking comprehensively at the economic doctrines of the Middle Ages, especially if that vague period be left undelimited Indubitably, opinion on economic matters developed, but there was certainly a point of view which was dominant for a lengthy period

of time, and which, though subject to modification, reached its highest expression in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, above all in the writings of St Thomas Aquinas (1225-1274) Without attempting to notice its development or modifications, this chapter will be devoted to a consideration of what may be fairly called the mediaeval point of view, above all as that is represented in St Thomas Aquinas, whose thought governed an epoch

Here, as elsewhere, the theory reflects the conditions and the circumstances of men's lives. For an understanding of the mediaeval point of view-for "theory" is here hardly the fitting word-it is necessary to bear in mind the dominant characteristics of the structure of society at the time with which we are concerned, both on the secular and religious side On the secular side, the Middle Ages are for many people more or less synonymous with the feudal system It is unnecessary to consider how feudalism worked in practice, it is equally unnecessary to idealize it, as Muller (and many others) have done in an attempt to escape from the nineteenth or the twentieth century, forgetting that the past will always win a glory from its being far. Yet, without doubt, the theory of feudalism in its essence implied a system in which society was held together by mutual obligations and services, so that each one had his place assigned to him, and his tenure of that place involved the giving and receiving mutually of support and assistance The same was true of the guilds and crafts when these began to develop There rested on the crafts the obligation to render good service to the public, so also, as in the regulations governing the relationship of the members of the same body to each other, it is evident that the accepted code of honour required the members to share opportunities and to play the game honestly by one another On the whole, then, the accepted theory was that the members of society held

their places in society on condition that they rendered certain specified services to their fellows There was thus a considerable element of status Rank, of whatsoever kind, imposed obligations, but it also conferred privileges Each one should not merely discharge the obligations

resting on him, he should also live as his position in life required-" convenienter." as the mediaeval Latinist expressed it To complete the picture on the secular side, the Middle Ages inevitably lived to a large extent in a "natural economy" Though there is much talk of trade, the actual volume of trade must have been relatively small, men lived in small units, which to a large extent must have been virtually self-supporting. Nor was it merely the difficulties of transport that stood in the way of exchange on any large scale Until the discovery of the silver-mines in America-that is, until after the passing of the Middle Ages-there was something of the nature of a dearth of money throughout Europe, and money transactions were perforce naturally limited Enterprise, as we understand it to-day, presented few openings It was consequently a society in which the members were expected to maintain themselves by rendering service to the community, and in which to a

large extent the possibility of making gains, or laying up treasure on earth, was excluded

On the religious side the great all-dominating fact was the Church The effect of its teaching is a matter for more detailed consideration later. Here it is sufficient to observe, firstly, that the Church sought to regulate all human relationships, on the postulate that this earthly life is but a preparation for another, and that the only reality is eternal salvation, and secondly, that the Church was, in its very nature, a cosmopolitan organization. The curiously non-national atmosphere of the Middle Ages, in so violent contrast to the presuppositions of the later.

mercantile period, is in part the gift of the Church, which thus conferred a certain unity on Europe before centralized nations found satisfaction in the misfortunes of their neighbours. In these days Latin was still a universal language for those who were sufficiently clerkly to read All Europe could join in the Crusades, and wandering scholars were equally at home in Oxford or Pans, in Padua or Cologne

These conditions are in themselves almost sufficient to explain the point of view of the Middle Ages on economic questions If we turn to the exponents of mediaeval thought, we find above all two dominant influences one is the authority of Aristotle, the other is seen in the transformation of values effected by Christianity, as found expounded not only in the original sources of the Christian religion, but also in the writings of the early Christian Fathers The Bible, Aristotle, and the Christian Fathers are the authorities to whom St. Thomas turns with equal reverence, and there is much to be said for the old view that what the angelic doctor aimed at was a synthesis of Christianity and Aristotelian doctrine Aristotle is chiefly influential in moulding the views of the Middle Ages with regard to the nature and functions of money, the iniquity of usury, the principles which should govern exchange and the nature of justice On much of these, indeed, St Thomas merely repeats Aristotle, with an added buttress drawn from Christian sources

Much more important is the influence of Christianity on those points in which the Christian view was directly opposed to certain aspects of the Greek and classical tradition, and even though nothing is easier than to point to certain phases of mediaeval life in which the implications of Christianity were not fully accepted, nevertheless these continued to work as a leaven, modifying increasingly

the views held on certain fundamental economic aspects of life

Firstly, and most comprehensively of all, there was the view derived from Judaism, but generalized by Christianity beyond the limits of one race, that all men were the children of a common father, if the children of a common father, then brothers Here was a principle ultimately destructive of class distinctions, ultimately destructive likewise of national distinctions. It was a principle which made it impossible permanently to defend such an institution as slavery, even though on this question St Thomas is surprisingly prepared to swallow what Aristotle had said. The other side of the question is no less significant. Each individual represents an immortal soul, so that each in the light of eternity is of equal value Here at the outset is implied an emphatic affirmation of human dignity, of the equality of all men in the sight of God, and of the brotherhood of all peoples As an immediate practical inference from this implied brotherhood of all, it is necessary to note, even though there be no space for comment on, the emphasis laid on almsgiving, both as an act of charity and as a means of salvation

Secondly, there is implied in Christianity a further point of view which, curiously, prevented some of these conclusions from being drawn or applied, or at least made their application relatively a matter of indifference. This world is but a preparation, and all that is is transitory. If the only thing of substance for each individual is to work out his salvation with fear and tembling, then every other mundane consideration sinks into insignificance, except in so far as it is a means to that higher end. Viewed from the altitude of the next world, there is r hitle that really matters in this. Here, fundamentally, is the explanation of St. Thomas Aquinas's

attitude on slavery Even a slave may enter the Kingdom of Heaven Anyone to whom eternity is areal as the present (and vastly more enduring) must arrive at an attitude of detachment with regard to the things of this life, and an air of detachment leads to an attitude of tolerance with regard to things doubtless indefensible in themselves. Thus, though the mitial assumptions of mediaeval Christianity ought to have led on a extreme equality, nevertheless it accepted inequality as part of the arrangement ordained by the Almighty for this present state of preparation, in which all things ought to be seen, illumined by the burning light of eternity, in their true proportion and in their true insignificance

On a third point Christianity represented an even more complete reversal of the traditional Greek view insisted on the dignity of work in a manner which would have been repugnant in a State resting on slave labour where work was consequently the mark of a slave New Testament, on the other hand, is a prolonged incitement to "work with your own hands" St Paul, who was somewhat boastful that he had laboured night and day that he "might not be chargeable to any of you." laid down the rule that if any man world not work, neither should he eat. With few exceptions the Apostles were mean workers Work, indeed, had been prescribed by God, and even if it were part of the curse resting on mankind, it was also part of the way of salva-Christ continually spoke of Himself as working; and indeed to go back to the Book of Genesis, God Himself worked, and rested from His work on the seventh day It need therefore occasion no surprise that Christianity brought with it a new conception, not merely of the dignity and the nobility of work, but also of its inevitability.

In the nature of things, one need not expect to find a

specifically economic literature in the Middle Ages, for it was of the essence of the mediaeval point of view that the economic factor should be rigorously subordinated to more important considerations | Just as in Greek thought economic speculation was at most a by-product (and a minor by-product) of ethical and political thought, so in the Middle Ages, when economic questions are touched on. they are considered strictly in relation to private morality Moreover the Church, which from the secular point of view was also a large and efficient administrative machine intent on the enforcement of the Church's point of view, took into its control the whole moral life of the community. It is not infrequently said that the two cardinal economic doctrines of the Middle Ages are found in the notion of the "just price" and in the prohibition of usury Certainly round these two points most controversy raged But this is to view matters too superficially These two principles are neither independent nor are they fundamental They are but two aspects of a deeper and more comprehensive conception. If one word were sought to cover all phases of mediaeval economic teaching, it would probably be found in the idea of " justice." which has unfortunately tended to become associated primarily with the notion of "price" We are brothers and should behave as brothers, respecting each other's rights and position in life Each should receive that to which he is entitled Justice, as the mediaevalist understood it, should be done No one. under any circumstances, should take advantage of his

The relationship in which the two most familiar of mediaeval economic doctrines stand to the sum total of mediaeval teaching in these matters is most conveniently seen in the writings of St. Thomas Aquinas. The best

neighbour This is the sum and substance of mediaeval

economic teaching

method of approach is perhaps to consider in the first place his teaching on the vexed question of the permissibility of private property, and the allied question of the theoretical desirability of a system of communism Here, however, the position is confused by the fact that there had been so much previous history, and there has indeed been endless discussion of the extent to which the early Christian Church at Jerusalem and the Christian Fathers had left a tradition in favour of some communistic form of life Certainly the Gospels had underlined the truth that wealth might be a snare, for is it not easier for a camel to go through the eve of a needle than for a rich man to enter the Kingdom of God? In one case also the way of perfection was said to lie in selling all and giving to the poor Yet it is equally certain that no words of Christ can be invoked directly in aid of an argument for a communistic mode of life More importance is attached to the early position of the Church at Jerusalem, where "they had all things common" (Acts iv 32), yet a careful perusal of the relevant passage appears to point to a condition of, at most, unrestrained liberality, which nevertheless remained voluntary 1. There remain the Christian Fathers, from whom it would be easy to cull a nosegay of explosive aphorisms which might make it appear that Moscow was their spiritual home Yet if they denounce wealth and roundly call the rich man a thief, it is equally true that passages may be cited in support of the legitimate use of private property. Probably, like less wise people, they were not always guarded in their expressions on a survey of all the passages commonly cited, it is probable that the Fathers

Otherwise it is difficult to give any meaning to Peter's question to Ananias "Whiles it remained, was it not thine own?" Ananias was not a martyr in the cause of individualism, popular sentiment rightly regards Ananias and Sapphira as being primarily liars

in the main were concerned merely to condemn the improper use of wealth, and to underline the deceitfulness of riches That the early Fathers were communists might equally appear from selected passages chosen for the purpose Certainly the state in which all things are held in common is their professed ideal, and evokes a wealth of glowing language. Yet again it is doubtful how far any of the passages usually cited can be regarded as a precept for the introduction of a communistic system, or as an argument for its practicability under existing circumstances That men do not hold all things in common may be a mark of the depravity of man, but the depravity of man has to be accepted along with the fall of man Praise of communism is indeed, in the Christian Fathers, largely praise of the blessed state which man by his sins has forfeited This probably is the true significance of the communism of the Christian Fathers 1

cance of the communism of the Christian Fathers.
If this interpretation be right, there is not, in fact, on this question of private property, that contradiction between St Thomas Aquinas and the Christian Fathers that is sometimes assumed Fundamentally, his view of external things rests on their subordination to the ends of men always the more imperfect exists on account of that which is more perfect. But, having regard to the use of external things, St. Thomas holds, following Aristotle, that possession is "natural to man". The argument that seeks in natural law a sanction for community of possessions he counters by contending that natural law does not indeed require that all things should be held in common, all that can be derived from the argument is negative in its nature, namely, that under natural law there can be no justification for distinction of

¹ On all this see O'Brien, Essay on Mediaeval Economic Teaching ² Sum Theol, II/II, quæstio 66, art I.

possessions This, however, rests on human agreements, which is a matter of positive law. Thus private property is not contrary to natural law, rather is it added to natural law by a further creation of human reason.

Possession being thus justified, as in accordance with human nature, St Thomas distinguishes the two kinds of right which men may have in things. The first is the power of acquisition and administration (ptotestas procurand et dispensandi), and here the full right of private property is allowed on lines which are similar to the Anistotelian argument Greater care, greater order, greater satisfaction result when each has his own, and the disputes which spring from the common purse are avoided. But the second right in things, that of use (usus tpsarum), is in a different case. Here things are to be regarded as common, ready to be shared with others in need. It is the old Aristotelian solution, that property should be private in possession but common in use.

Thus, while the right of property remains, the harshness of the Roman conception of the *pus utendi et abutendi* has gone. The owner is, in fact, the administrator of his possessions in the general interest, even though the administration of the property is left to the judgment of each individual. How far St Thomas is prepared to carry this doctrine may be seen in his argument that theft is permissible in the case of extreme necessity? For human law cannot abrogate from natural law or divine law, and it is part of the divine providence that inferior things are ordained that men may be aided in their necessities. Consequently that which is held in

[&]quot;Unde proprietas possessionum non est contra jus naturale, sed juri naturali superadditur per adinventionem rationis humanæ" (II/II, quæstio 66, art 2)

II/II. quæstio 66. art 7.

superfluity belongs by natural law to the maintenance of the poor. Here we are not concerned with what constitutes "necessity," nor with what is to be understood by "superfluity", but it is significant of the mediaeval respect paid to the rights of status that St Thomas should expressly stipulate that almsgiving should be restricted so as to leave such a residue as will enable a man to live as his status in life requires, convenienter, secundum brobrium statum 1

St Thomas's view of the value of earthly goods is in accordance with these general principles The life that men live here leads to the life of blessedness in heaven as its final goal, and the good things of this life are to be judged in respect of their efficiency from this point of view 2 Wealth is, or may be, good, but a good of a lower order, as a means to an end It is good if it helps to a life of virtue So also poverty may be good, if it frees men from the burdens in which many are entangled by wealth But poverty in itself is not good, it is only good in so far as it frees a man from what may hinder his spiritual life Neither poverty nor wealth nor any other external thing ("any other creature," St Paul would have said) is good in itself, nor is anything evil apart from its evil use Here, surely, is the supreme indifference of the Middle Ages 3

Granted the existence of private property, there arises the question of the principles which should regulate exchange Human relations should be governed by "justice," and hence the central conception is that of the "just price" Into that large field which is concerned with the mediaeval analysis of justice and with the two

¹ II/II, quæstio 32, art 6

De Reginine Principum, Book I, chap. 15
Summa Contra Gentiles, Book III, in particular chap. 133 "Quomodo paupertas sit bona"

kinds of justice as derived from Aristotle (commutativa and distributiva) it is unnecessary to enter here. Of the two aspects of justice-one governing the relations of the part to the part, and the other that of the part to the whole-it is essentially commutative justice, or justice in exchange, which governs the conception of the just price Cutting down to the roots of things, justice consists in rendering to each one what belongs to him implies equality, and there should therefore be equality in exchange In effecting exchanges, therefore, a measure is necessary, and it is for this purpose that money has been invented Money is thus primarily, and almost merely, a measure of values If the price exceeds the value of a thing, or conversely if the value of a thing exceeds the price, justice is violated, and therefore to sell a thing at a higher price or buy it at a lower price than it is worth is unjust and impermissible 1

Carius vendere quam valeat—here indeed is a very fine hare which, with no obvious asthmatic symptoms, is still gallantly breasting the uplands, pursued from afar by a great company of short-winded metaphysicians and economists. But St. Thomas at least did not join in the chase, nor indeed was the hare of his starting. On the contrary, the idea of value, lurking behind the just price, receives singularly little analysis from St. Thomas. It might be inferred that it is an objective quality inherent in each thing, but he seems to assume that we know what

¹ The statement is so fundamental that perhaps the Latin of St Thomas Aquinas may be forgiven "et ideo debet secundum æqualitatem rei inter eos contractus institui Quantitas autem rei, quæ in usum bomins venit, mensuratur secundum pretium datum, ad quod est inventum numisma, ut dicitur Et ideo si vel pretium excedat quantitatem valoris rei, vel e converso res excedat pretium, tolletur justitus æqualitas Et ideo carus vendere vel viluis emer erm quam valeat, est secundum se injustum et illicitum" (Swm Theol., III/II, quastio 27, art i)

it is, rather than explains how in any given case we may determine it. Probably a comparison of many passages would suggest that at the back of his mind he had a cost-of-production theory, linked up with his views as to the remuneration of labour. Yet the idea of need and of use also figure in mediaeval theory, but it is not the need of any individual, rather is there everywhere the idea of a "common estimate," of the common usefulness. In sum, the just price is largely the customary price—a concept natural in a customary age. Perhaps one cannot get nearer the truth than by recalling Mr Gonnard's felictious phrase that the just price is determined, less by positive elements than in accordance with the conscience of the honest producer—the "reputable employer" of Australian wage-fixing legislation

Yet the just price, though apparently objective in character, was not exempt from modification by external circumstances To take one instance cited, in the case of the exchange of a commodity of great use to the original owner, regard should be had not only to the thing itself (and what one might call its normal "just price") but also to the loss which the seller would incur in parting with it 1 But it is characteristic of the mediaeval view that the contrary case of excessive urgency of need on the side of the buyer, accompanied by no special detriment to the seller, affords no justification for advancing the just price These and other considerations indicate that there must be a certain margin allowed with regard to the just price It cannot, as St Thomas says, be fixed with complete accuracy, but must depend on a certain process of estimation, therefore within limits, a certain slight variation up or down need not be regarded as impairing

^{1&}quot; Et in tali casu justum pretium erit ut non solum respiciatur ad rem quæ venditur, sed ad damnum quod venditor ex venditurione incurrit" (II/II, quæstio 77, art 1)

the equality which justice demands ¹ The discussion of the obligation resting on the seller to reveal to the purchaser defects in the thing sold indicates more clearly than much argument that the fundamental idea of the Middle Ages was that no one should take advantage of another, and that in this life of trial brothers should observe towards each other the golden rule that "as ye would that men should do to you, do ye also to them likewise"

It is almost self-evident that the principle of the "just price " includes the idea of the " just wage "-indeed the example which St Thomas takes in illustration of the equality which is inherent in justice is the payment of the due wage for services rendered Elsewhere he places the payment of wages on exactly the same footing as the obligation of the just price 2 It might even be said that the doctrine of the just price was built up on that of the just wage, for that the labourer was worthy of his hire was a living principle in the Middle Ages In so far as the idea of the just price represented vaguely a costof-production theory, then the labourer's hire was a dominant, if not exclusive, factor in the determination of prices By the just wage was meant that rate of remuneration which was required to enable the worker to live decently in the station of life in which he was placed; and thus, if one may so express it, such a wage, representing reasonable decency, was made a first charge on industry The mediaeval doctrines of the just price and the just wage should appear less strange to a generation

^{1&}quot; Quod ideo dico, quia justum pretium rerum non est punctualiter determinatum, sed magis in quadam æstimatione consisti, ita quod modica additio vel minutio non videtur tollere appalitzem justitus" (II/II questio 27, art 1)

^{*&}quot;Unde sicut reddere justum pretium pro re accepta ab aliquo, est actus justitia; ita etiam recompensare mercedem opens vei labons, est actus justitia; ita etiam recompensare mercedem

which has become familiar with trade boards and with various attempts to devise price-fixing machinery

The other main feature of economic doctrine, the prohibition of usury, appears on analysis to be likewise merely a particular case of the just price Usury, for the mediaevalist, was not merely what the modern economist would call "pure interest", it covered likewise any injustice in trade, any violation of the just price Nevertheless, as Aristotle had exalted usury to an evil eminence among the various kinds of bad finance, so usury, although frequently used in a wider sense, was more specifically identified with the making of profits out of loans as a particularly hemous example of the sin of avarice. Here was a subject on which the natural authorities of the Middle Ages seemed to be in agreement Usury had been condemned by Aristotle on the grounds of the barrenness of money, to use money which was properly merely a means of exchange as a device for making more money, was unnatural, and therefore to be condemned In the Bible there were the various prohibitions of usury in Leviticus and Deuteronomy, though how far these prohibitions related to the taking of usury from a "brother" need not detain us. The Psalmist had indicated, as one of the qualities of a citizen of Zion, that he should not put his money to usury (Ps xv 5) There was above all the passage in the Gospels which was more frequently cited than any other authority, to the effect that the Christian should lend, hoping for nothing again (Luke vi 35) 1

¹ Mutuum date nihil unde sprantes Or should the correct reading be Mutuum date nihil desprantes? Should loans be made "hoping for nothing therefrom," or "without worrying about them." Even on the former reading, does the nihil mply that the lender is not merely to hope for no interest, but that he is equally not to look for the return of the capital? It is curious that so many doubts should subsequently be raised with regard to the interpretation of a verse which was outhoristive in the Middle Ages See O'Brien, op et. on this

Two points call for notice before considering what is more specifically the canonical view of the offence of usury The first is that, despite the passage in St Luke and certain obster dicta of the Christian Fathers, the attitude of the Church was not at first so rigid as it became later When the question first emerged, usury was forbidden only to clerics, it was not till relatively late that the prohibition was made general and usury became an offence in the laity Secondly, it is impossible to appreciate the mediaeval attitude towards usury unless it be remembered that in an age of little enterprise and industry, loans were made mostly to those who for one reason or another were in distress. The typical loan, therefore, was probably advanced to someone who had suffered the scourge of fire or of flood, who had been ruined by bad seasons, whether occasioned by blight, by pestilence, or by the inclemency of the heavens Moreover, in a community undeveloped financially, loans were to a large extent made as between people who were known to each other apart from their relationship of borrower and Doubtless there were transactions of various types, but probably the characteristic loan was one, not designed for productive purposes, springing out of a misfortune and designed to tide the sufferer over the consequences of that misfortune, and advanced moreover by someone purporting to be a friend of the victim the taking of usury should be discouraged under these circumstances is but natural, for it assumes the appearance (to fall back on one of the Fathers) of "trafficking in the miseries of others" Even the Merchant of Venice recognizes this point of view when he asks "When did friendship take a breed of barren metal of his friend?"

The early condemnation of usury rested in part on the authority of the Bible and Aristotle, being compounded of the Aristotelian doctrine of sterility and the view that

usury represents a grinding of the faces of the poor in their distress In the hands of St Thomas Aquinas, however, the objection to usury becomes more deeply grounded, and by reasoning of some subtlety it is demonstrated that usury involves an offence against justice The argument rests on the distinction between those things in which use and consumption are identical, and those in which they can be separated (res fungibiles and res non tungibiles, to use a legal expression) In the case of wine and bread, for instance, there cannot be use without consumption When the use is granted, the thing itself is granted. You cannot give a man the use of a loaf without, by that very act, giving him the loaf itself, and if he uses the loaf, it is idle to expect its return the other hand, there are things in which the use and consumption are not identical A man may have the use of a house without destroying it. He may therefore be given the use of a house, and at the end of the period he may properly be expected to return the house in its original condition Putting it in a manner more germane to the controversy, it is possible to sell a house, and it is possible to sell the use of a house, since they are distinct; but it is not possible to sell bread and the use of bread, since the sole purpose of bread is to be consumed Come now to money, and invoke Aristotle's definition of money Money exists for the purpose of effecting exchanges It is the function of money to part company with its possessor, its proper use lies in its consumption or aliena-It is therefore pre-eminently a fungible commodity use and consumption are inseparable 1

In a sense St Thomas's argument is that what purports to be a loan of a fungible is in fact bound to be a sale As it is inadmissible to sell a loaf to a man and simul-

^{1&}quot; Ita proprius et principalis pecuniæ usus est ipsius con sumptio, sive distractio" (Sum Theol , II/II, quæstio 78)

taneously charge him for the use of it, so it is inadmissible to sell money and charge also for its use. The proper pince, on mediaeval doctrine, is the return of the same money, the additional charge for its use is of the nature of a swindle. What, then, is the offence of the usurer? Bearing in mind the foregoing analysis, it may be variously expressed. Either he is selling something that does not exist (the use, apart from the consumption, when the two are identical), or he is charging for the same thing twice, or, if the lapse of time be invoked, he is selling time, which belongs to God, and which no man may appropriate.

Ît is not infrequently said that the mediaeval view of usury rests essentially on the Aristotelian view of money, as being merely a medium of exchange, and as being consequently inherently barren. It would perhaps be truer to say that they regarded a loan of money (being a fungible) as essentially involving a change of ownership; that while they realized that money could be productively used, it could only be so used when brought into conjunction with labour. The productivity, in fact, springs primarily from the labour of the borrower, to whom also the full use of the money has been granted. The usurer, therefore, from this angle, is levying a toll on the labour of another. The just price for the money advanced is the return of the money; anything more is injustice.

The development and gradual refinement of the doctrine with regard to usury constitute one of the most interesting chapters in economic thought and practice, but it can only be glanced at here. It was in accordance with the mediaeval conception of justice and equality that the lender, by lending, should not suffer. In such a case the restoration of the original capital would not be sufficient; the borrower should also make good such losses as the

lender had suffered on his behalf. Thus arose a number of modifications which in practice tended to break down the prohibition of usury, though in theory they were merely variations necessary for the fuller attainment of the idea of justice The two which figure most largely in discussion were the payments permissible in the case of damnum

emergens and lucrum cessans. If the lender could prove that, arising out of the loan, he had suffered a definite loss, or that alternatively a source of gain had dried up, then it was but equitable that the borrower should indemnify him Somewhat different was the poena conventionalis, which stipulated for the return of the money on a certain date, under penalty of a further payment in respect of the inconvenience alleged to result from the lender being prevented from receiving his money when. as anticipated, he should have occasion for its use fourth exception was the periculum sortis, a payment to cover the risk inherent in the possibility of the money not being repaid In the light of this discussion, two conclusions are evident Firstly, in practice these various modifications were in time sufficient to break down the prohibition of usury, especially with the development of industry and of financial technique. For implicitly the exceptions assume that the lender could not normally

himself make use of his money In an economy offering unlimited scope for investment and enterprise, it would be increasingly possible for a lender to plead either a damnum emergens or a lucrum cessans Secondly, these exceptions are no exceptions in principle Taking interest where there is no risk, no loss incurred, no inconvenience suffered, still remained evil in the eves of the mediaevalist The emphasis on the permissibility of payment in respect of those elements which in modern language constitute the difference between gross interest and economic interest, merely underlines the fact that economic interest, the

payment for the use of money pure and simple, continued to be regarded as illegitimate

To guard against misapprehension it should, however, be added that the mediaeval doctrine did not condemn investment when investment took the form of a partnership, provided the partner did in fact share the risks of the business The commenda, the original form of partnership, had always been regarded as entirely legitimate, and here one party might advance money to another and share in his profits, while refraining from taking any direct part in the enterprise It was essential, however, that he should not be absolutely entitled to receive his money back in full, for such a position would clearly involve usury But if he merely "entrusts" his money by a kind of partnership, the money remains his, "so that the merchant trades with it, or the craftsman uses it at the owner's risk" The original owner may therefore claim a portion of the profit which has sprung from the use of the money, which has never in fact left his possession, although entrusted to another 1 As Mr Tawney has well put it, the person whom mediaeval doctrine condemns is the debenture-holder, the man who eschews all risk, whose money is safe and who yet looks for a reward

One last point in mediaeval doctrine, above all as exemplified in St Thomas Aquinas The Middle Ages had their theory of division of labour, based on the Aristotelian doctrine of the essentially social nature of man But if people live in society they must aid each

^{1&}quot;Sed ille qui committit pecuniam suam vel mercatori vel artifici per modum societatis cujusdam, non transfert dominium pecunize sue in illum, sed remanet ejus, ita quod cum periculo ipsius mercator de ea negotiatur, vel artifica operatur, et delo sa chiet poteste partem lucri node provenientis expetere, tanquam de re sua" (Sum Theol, II/II, quæstio 78, art 2)

other by doing different things (Hence, indeed, the peculiar human property of speech, so that men may communicate regarding their diverse needs and attainments) 1 Different occupations are necessary, but are all equally honourable? Only one aspect of this need detain us here, namely, the attitude towards trade. The test of the reputability of any occupation lay in the extent to which it was serviceable to the community, private gain was, in a sense, the antithesis of public service, and therefore those occupations which offered opportunities of private gain were, to say the least, dangerous-and perhaps something worse Originally the view had been that a merchant could hardly be pleasing to God In St Thomas Aquinas we have advanced to the view that trading is not necessarily sinful, but he clearly regards the calling of the trader as so beset with the opportunities of sin that a man mindful of his immortal soul will shun it First of all, what is a trader? Only he who buys a thing with the express antention of selling it at a higher price If a man buys an article, having no such intention, he may subsequently re-sell it (and at a higher price) without thereby becoming a trader And the causes which are enumerated as justifying a higher price are interesting as revealing the considerable degree of haziness in which the just price shrouded itself A higher price might be justified either because the buyer had improved the article in some respect, or because the price had varied through changes in time or place, or because of the danger involved in its transport 2 But on the main question St Thomas repeats ominously the distinction drawn by Aristotle between natural and unnatural exchange, the latter being designed

¹ De Regimine Principum, Book I, chap I ² "Vel quia in aliquo rem meloravit, vel quia pretium rei est mutatum secundum diversitatem loci, vel temporis, vel propter penculum, cui se exponit, transferendo rem de loco ad focum" (II/II, quæstio 78, art 4)

not to meet the needs of life, but to acquire gain. As such it is regarded as somewhat dishonourable. Nevertheless, even if gain does not involve anything honourable, it does not logically involve anything sinful. Thus the centre of the argument is rather moved from the gains to the use made of these gains, and St. Thomas contemplates the case where a man may devote himself to trade for the public welfare, seeking gain not as an end but as a reward for his efforts. Gains, if reasonable, may thus be regarded as a kind of wage.

More interesting because less negative is a passage in De Regimine Principium, wherein St Thomas discusses the two methods whereby a State may obtain what it requires These are, firstly, by its own natural fruitfulness, and secondly, by trade The argument is all in favour of the self-sufficient State, partly on mercantilist grounds of the beauty of self-sufficiency, but partly also because of the corrupting influence of the trading class The conclusion, however, is that merchants are a necessity, if only owing to the difficulty of obtaining for the State a situation which has potentially a prospect of complete self-sufficiency Hence the perfect state will make use of merchants—but in moderation.

Oresme

No survey of the economic views of the Middle Ages would be adequate without a reference, if only by way of a corrective, to the remarkable treatise written by Nicholas Oresme, Bishop of Liseux (°1320-1382), entitled De Ongne, Natura, Jure et Mutationibus Monetarum ² This treatise of twenty-six short chapters, although

lation by Oresme himself

¹ "Unde oportet quod perfecta civitas moderate mercatoribus utatur" (De Regimine Principum, Book II, chap 3)
² In addition to the Latin original, there is a French trans-

scarcely deserving the wild praise bestowed upon it by Roscher as a theory of money which is still perfectly valid to-day, is nevertheless a sufficiently remarkable performance In its spirit it anticipates the scientific, objective frame of mind of writers of a much later age In truth, however, it is less a treatise on money than a sustained and emphatic refutation of the rights of the Prince to tamper with the currency He begins on orthodox lines, dealing with primitive barter and its difficulties, which led les hommes subtilz to resort to money and thus devise a more suitable method of exchange Money is thus artificial wealth merely, a man may be possessed of much money and yet die of hunger, as witness the often cited example of Croesus merely an instrument for circulating wealth, an instrumentum permutandi divitias naturales, a medium of exchange, as we should say to-day

Two points perhaps are specially notable in Oresme As a medium of exchange, in the modern tag, money must have certain properties, but the problem of finding any one substance convenient both for large and small exchanges, leads Oresme to the principle of bimetallism He is remarkably clear as to the difficulties that may arise from a divergence between the mint ratio and the market ratio, and is definite that the mint ratio should follow the market ratio "Cette proportion," as he says, "doit ensuivir le naturel habitude ou valeur de l'or à l'argent " Further, it is evident that he is familiar with Gresham's law that the over-valued metal will drive the under-valued metal out of circulation, the Prince therefore must not arbitrarily fix or alter the ratio, as this would open the door to all kinds of hanky-panky-as in buying silver with gold at one ratio, altering the ratio, and thereafter buying gold with silver

The second point of importance is his strict delimitation

of the powers of the Sovereign with regard to the currency and the inferences he draws therefrom Currency must be issued by everyone, but only by a public person, acting on behalf of the community Naturally, therefore, it falls to the Prince to issue currency, but he does so purely in a representative capacity "convenienter est quod ipse pro communitate faciat fabricare monetam et eam congrua impressione signare" This act is merely done on behalf of the community, and it follows therefore that the mere fact of issue by the Prince does not make him the owner and the proprietor of the money so issued

This principle is of importance when Oresme comes to discuss the various ways in which money may be altered, changed or debased It is unnecessary to differentiate these various mutationes, as of appellation, weight, substance, etc. What is important is the vigour, one might almost say the rudeness, with which Oresme denounces all debasements of the currency A prince who does these things is a liar, he commits periury, he bears false witness, for it is clearly a shame for a king to certify that a thing is gold when it is not gold, or that it weighs a pound when it does not do so. The gain which flows from such practices is not merely dishonest. it is also unnatural Money-changing, usury, debasement of the currency are all alike, in the Aristotelian and Thomist sense, unnatural methods of making a profit out of money, but they represent an ascending scale of turpitude Towards the close, Oresme's treatise almost becomes a political tract in its denunciation of debasement of the currency as an engine to create a tyranny Once the path of rectitude has been abandoned, he sees the possibility, almost the inevitability of repeated debasements, transferring by degrees the whole wealth of the people to the Prince, and thus reducing them to a state

of servitude ¹ Oresme clearly sees the evils of a debased currency, and records its natural manifestations in a general impoverishment of the community, in the flight of money elsewhere, in the cessation of foreign trade, and in the general disorganization of life Even if the enthusiastic critics lead one to expect too much of Oresme, his is nevertheless an extraordinarily realistic and practical voice to hear in the fourteenth century

1 "Car nature humaine est toujours encline et preste à augmenter Richesses à elle, quant legièrement les peut acquerir, que en fin le prince pourroit attraire à luy comme la pécune et les Richesses de tous les subjectz et par ce ramener en servitude "

CHAPTER III

MERCANTILISM

I. The Historical Background

It has become an accepted phrase to speak of "mercantilist doctrine" and "mercantilist theory," yet Mercantilism is a misleading and deceitful word, if it is interpreted as implying that at any time there was a group of writers who consciously advanced a body of mercantilist thought to which any canon of orthodoxy could be applied. A mere indication of the spatial and temporal frontiers of Mercantilism is a sufficient warning against the old vulgar error implicit in the view (which perhaps still survives) that Mercantilism was the current orthodoxy before it was attacked by the Physiocrats, and that both schools were subsequently superseded by Adam Smith For if there be such a thing as Mercantulism, it had a three hundred years' run, and it coloured the thought (and still more the action) of every country in Europe. Certain views indeed, hearing a strong similarity to each other, began to appear throughout Europe consequent on the portentous changes which marked the close of the Middle Ages. These views, based on certain common assumptions, were in marked contrast to the non-national, moral and other-worldly point of view which had characterized such a writer as St. Thomas Aquinas 65

These views emerged, at first in a crude form, about the end of the fourteenth or the beginning of the fifteenth centuries, despite refinements, variations and modifications, the family resemblance in the views currently held continued until the second half of the eighteenth century Mercantilism indeed is still with us, and shows signs of a healthy and glorious resurrection. But it is clear that no general body of doctrine could have maintained itself unchanged for three centuries, especially when it is recalled that Mercantilism, so-called, prevailed not merely in France, England and Italy, but was likewise rampant in Scotland, Spain and Russia

Mercantilism, therefore, varied, adapting itself to the changing conditions of time and to the circumstances of its local habitation. Yet if one can nowhere pick out the wholly complete and orthodox mercantilist, the common usage of the term has a certain convenience. Economic doctrine is everywhere the expression of the conditions of the time in which it emerges, and Europe from the end of the fifteenth century onwards was a very different place from the Europe of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries. Mercantilist writers may, and do, differ, but to a large extent they start from common postulates, and these postulates were imposed on them by the altered conditions of the Europe in the period which superseded the Middle Ages.

That Europe changed mightly as a result of the Renaissance, the Reformation, the invention of printing and the discovery of America, is a very familiar theme among those who prescribe essays and devise examination questions. A very brief survey of some of the changes which marked the age of transition will reveal the intimate connection between Mercantilism and the general tendencies of the time in the world of politics and of thought First, and most fundamental, was the political change

It is not for us here to concern ourselves unduly with history, or the cause and course of events It is sufficient to observe that in the countries of most importance, the mediaeval warring of turbulent barons, with a king doubtfully stronger than his leading subjects, gave way to the reality of a strong centralized State with an effective. and in some cases even an efficient, government English history the change is represented by the Tudors The power of the King became not merely predominant, it became comprehensive In short, England, France and Spain became definite entities, and not merely geographical expressions Feudalism, linking society together by a chain of privileges and obligations, had emphasized lovalty to the overlord as the first obligation. and in doing so had stymied the possibility of national unity With the barons put in their proper place, the King became, in theory, the "father of his people" England and all who lived in England were realized to have common interests, and it was the duty of the King and of the Government to watch over and to further these interests. It followed also from this emergence of "England," and of "France," each as a definite entity with interests of its own, that the nations and states which had so emerged were at least in potential rivalry, if not in conflict with each other The cosmopolitanism implicit in the non-national sentiment of the Middle Ages was gone Unified states had come into being, these lived in rivalry in peace, and might at any time be pitted against each other in war Wars doubtless there had been in the past, but it is significant to note in how large a proportion of instances these had arisen out of the complexities of the feudal system If the King of England was at variance with the King of France, it was as likely as not that he went to war in his capacity of Duke of Normandy; from the English point of view the Scottish War of

Independence was largely a matter of disciplining a feudal inferior. But in the new conditions the conflict of interests was definitely between states and nations.

Moreover, political thought, partly inspiring and in part interpreting development, tended in the same direction. For their relationship to the fundamentals of Mercantilism, two writers are indirectly of supreme importance. Machiavelli (1469-1527) in The Prince, with an admirable air of detachment and a scientific aloofness from moral considerations, discussed how in the Italy of his day a "principality" could be established and maintained. A book written with a specific purpose, its lessons were found applicable far beyond Italy. Machiavelli nostifiated that the times called for a strong wife.

maintained A book written with a specific purpose, its lessons were found applicable far beyond Italy Machia-velli postulated that the times called for a strong prince, and in effect his book is an analysis of what must be done to create the strong state—conscience being hushed and all scruples ignored Machiavelli thus became the unscrupulous despot's guide to power. His significance hes in the facts, firstly that he freed—perhaps offensively freed—politics from all moral and ethical considerations, and secondly, that though originally and of design applicable to the Italian city state, his work served as an incentive to despotism everywhere. The other writer is low. Bedin (1750 1650) when conducting purposed to the state of the state o

an incentive to despotism everywhere Ine other writer is Jean Bodin (1520-1596), who, analysing political conceptions, presented to the world the fruitful idea of sovereignty, which, though now creaking, has dominated all political thought until the present generation. The essence of the doctrine of sovereignty in its various forms is that there is, in every state, a supreme power, subject to no other power within the state likelf, but to which all else is subject. The conception of sovereignty, miless handled with gingerly care and subtle refinement of statement, is thus apt to underline the importance of a strong centralized state, mertably, also, it entails an element of

irresponsibility in the sovereign power Moreover, it irre-

concilably confronts sovereignty with sovereignty in the international anarchy.

Mercantilism may not inappropriately be viewed as the economic equivalent of Machiavelli and Bodin Bodin deduced that of necessity there was a supreme power in each state Machiavelli in effect said "If you want a strong state, you must do this, and avoid doing that " Strong states were in demand, and the mercantilists, practical men confronted with practical problems, were concerned with the means whereby the State could be made strong In the somewhat hackneved phrase of Schmoller, Mercantilism is merely "state-making"although it should be added that it is state-making on the economic side From this analysis there follows further a consideration of the highest importance in explaining the varying shades of mercantilist doctrine Mercantilism was never more than a means The true end was political in its character-the creation of a strong state. Mercantilism was the sum total of the means on the economic side, appropriate to the attainment of this end But while an end may be absolute, it is of the essence of means that they should be variable according to time and circumstance, and Mercantilism, in its various phases, reveals such variety

These considerations explain the essential kernel of Mercantilism, they do not explain the particular form which mercantilist doctrines assumed. To carry the analysis to this further point, two further elements in the problem must be invoked. The first centres in the perpetual neediness and indigence of the prince, the second hes in the reactions, immediate and more remote, on European financial conditions occasioned by the discoveries of the precious metals in the New World. These two causes, mingling together, give Mercantilism its most characteristic outer features.

The King in his capacity of father of his people, the responsible custodian of the national interests, had perforce to incur heavy and onerous expenses So long as there still remained in the air vague memories of the pleasing tradition that " the King should live of his own," taxation inevitably lagged behind the needs of the situa-That the King should live of his own implied that he should live as other barons did, on the revenues of his private estates. It was indeed a relic of the time when he did not differ materially from other barons, but the doctrine was incompatible with the new conditions when the King was the defender of the safety and the welfare of his country In short, taxation, which in an earlier age had spasmodically supplemented the King's private revenue, had of necessity to be regularized and become permanent Old wars might have been waged by retainers, as part of the universal system of payment in kind, the new age of standing armies was at hand, and this required money Indeed pecunia nervus belli became one of the accepted maxims of the age For all the purposes of government, the King required a revenue, thought of in terms of money, a steady and calculable revenue, unfortunately also an increasing revenue to increase this revenue became the first thought of those concerned with public policy

The possibility of securing a revenue by taxation was itself, however, one of the indirect consequences of the geographical discoveries, and in particular of the discovery of America What first commended the New World to the European was its promised store of precious metals The influx of silver rapidly revolutionized the trade, commerce, and the finance of Europe The consequence of the new discoveries of silver on the European price-level is one of the most familiar exemplifications of that dowdy platitude, the quantity theory of money

But more important than the nominal rise in the price of hens and sheep is the fact that the influx of the precious metals over a large part of the field resulted in the transition from a natural economy, based to a considerable extent on barter and the feudal ideal of services in kind. to a money economy Taxation is no easy matter in a natural economy, when all things are thought of in terms of money, and when money is effectively used in all transactions, the possibilities of taxation are enormously In an age when the engine of regular taxation was thus newly fashioned, the administration of the King's, or the State's, affairs became more akin to a merchant's There was expenditure and there was income, I both thought of in terms of money Increased power rested on the attainment of a balance of revenue over expenditure, and thus inevitably the possibilities of increasing the sources of revenue were assiduously explored

Wealth, as the source of a nation's power, above all visualized as money-that form of wealth which endures. which is adaptable to all ends, which can be made to fetch and carry at command-had thus come into respect But the picture would not be complete without some reference to the correspondingly changed view of the individual Not merely was the State directed to the pursuit of wealth and the discovery of the sources of wealth, leading among other things to colonial acquisitions and colonial rivalries, the individual likewise was liberated from the restraining abstemiousness and asceticism which had marked the finest thought of the Middle Ages so long ago it had been sinful, or at least perilous, to accumulate wealth-perhaps because in what was solargely a natural economy it had been so difficult and indeed "unnatural" to do so In a money economy, the accumulation of wealth became meritorious and to 7:

some inevitable. Hitherto it had been held that a merchant could hardly be pleasing to God, now the proof of the pudding was in the eating thereof, and if merchandise yielded a profit, it was it is justified. On this side also deeper causes were operative. The Renaissance on one side was a frank bubbling up of

ustified On this side also deeper causes were operative. The Renaissance on one side was a frank bubbling up of delight in the enjoyment of life. Rabelais, perhaps more than any other, sums up the spirit of the Renaissance Waiving his philosophy, his name recalls vast uproanous laughter, unashamed delight in eating and drinking and whatever else may minister to a sense of satisfaction.

that it is a good thing to be alive It is a far cry from St Thomas Aquinas to that Rabelaisan Abbey of the Thelemites, living joyfully under the motto 'Fais ce que vouldras' Here indeed is the ideal of reckless individualism, so markedly at variance with the mediaeval ideal of an individual swallowed up in his

class, with duties and obligations imposed upon him by his status in life

The Reformation in certain of its aspects doubtless

The Reformation in certain of its aspects doubtless encouraged a spirit of asceticism, but it was an asceticism which no longer viewed with horror the accumulation of wealth Max Weber indeed has familiarized us with the idea that modern capitalism has its roots in Calvinistic Replayer Protestaryless natherity in the Calvinistic Calvinistic

theology Protestantism, particularly in its Calvinistic form, is essentially individualistic in its outlook <u>Salvation</u> is a matter between each individual and his Creator, even though the <u>ultimate</u> decision may be rather a one-sided affair <u>But Calvin</u>, or <u>so Weber would have us believe</u>, <u>Taid down in the economic sphere two cardinal injunctions</u>; firstly the duty of working assiduously in

believe, and down in the economic sphere two cardinal injunctions, firstly the duty of working assiduously in one's calling, and secondly the duty of refraining from pleasurable consumption of wealth. The only possible outcome of unrestrained activity, combined with a self-denying refusal to enjoy the fruits of that activity.

an accumulation of wealth Indeed increased substance came to be regarded as a special sign of divine favour, and thus it was possible to grow nich to the greater glory of God It is impossible here to consider the element of truth in Weber's thesis, it is sufficient to note that Protestant ascelucism, unlike mediaeval ascelucism, so far from being hostile to the accumulation of wealth, tended rather to look upon it with favour

These last considerations are perhaps on the fringe of our subject. But enough has been said to indicate that in the transition from the Middle Ages to the modern period, a multiplicity of causes tended to re-create Europe as a family of centralized states, living in uneasy rivalry, each struggling to be strong against possible competitors, that the conditions of the time attached a new importance to wealth, and that as the individual could now unashamedly pursue wealth, so also the State saw in wealth the secret of strength. Out of these conditions Mercantilism arose

II. General Outline of Mercantilist Doctrine and Devices

It has been wisely noticed as worthy of remark that none in the mercantilist theoreticans approved of all the expedients which are ordinarily embraced under the designation of Mercantilism. The complete mercantilist, in fact, never existed. Even if, for this reason, any general statement of mercantilist views is apt to mislead, it may nevertheless be convenient to attempt something of the nature of a composite photograph of the mercantilist mind, it being clearly premised that this represents the views not of any individual mercantilist, or of the mercantilists of any particular country, but rather the general type to which mercantilists everywhere in greater or less degree tended

to conform One other caveat is necessary Mercantilism was pre-eminently rooted in practice Although, particularly in England, the movement is reasonably prolific in theoretical exponents, it is noticeable that these came rather late in the day. In its origins and by its very nature, Mercantilism was anything but a "system", it was primarily the product of the minds of statesmen, civil servants, and of the financial and business leaders of the It follows also that in its earlier and cruder manifestations, Mercantilism is frequently best discovered as an inference from the terms of an Act of Parliament, in an obster dictum inserted by a garrulous Parliamentary draftsman in the preamble of an Act, or in a memorandum

or State paper, dealing with a specific administrative Any attempt to state generally the essence

of Mercantilism must be read in the light of these reservations Fundamental for the mercantilist was the strength of his country This was the end to which all means were subservient Moreover, in considering the prosperity and the strength of his country, the true mercantilist had always at the back of his mind a comparative standard His country was engaged in a race with other countries, and in this race it must not be a loser When the mercantilist came to look for a test of strength, he found it in the wealth of his country-above all in that portion of wealth which consisted of the precious metals Herein, in a sense, they transferred to the State an idea which is entirely appropriate when applied to the individual citizen The difference between a man of straw and a man of substance, between the man who can do and get what he wants and the man who cannot, can best be ascertained by consulting the banker who keeps their accounts. So also by a transference of ideas more permissible then than now, the king who could support a large army and maintain a

powerful navy was the king who had behind him the treasure necessary for the purpose, that precuria nervus belit. Hence the mercantilist attached preponderating importance to treasure and bullion as the most enduring, the most useful because the most generally acceptable, form of wealth. Adam Smith, who rather made the mercantilists a classical example of clotted economic nonsense, is responsible for the view so long prevalent that they confused money and wealth. Here Adam Smith was less than just. Despite incautious statements, it would probably be truer to say that they regarded money and bullion as the sign of wealth, and also, at least among the more enlightened, that they regarded the precious metals as beneficial because of their power to stimulate the economic activity of the country.

Assuming that bullion is in some sense wealth par excellence, how is a country to get it? If it has mines, or can acquire plantations with mines, well and good task, then, is merely to prevent the silver and gold from flowing to other countries-if need be by "sanguinary laws," to use Adam Smith's phrase But if a country has no mines, the desired silver and gold can only be obtained as the result of trade, and the whole trade of the country must accordingly be so ordered and conducted that as a result of its operations gold may come into the country It is familiar doctrine that in respect of its exports-what a country sells to others-a country must receive payment, which will ultimately be in the form of silver or gold, on the other hand, what it buys in the form of imports will have to be paid for In a most rudimentary form of the equation of indebtedness, exports represent money coming into the country; imports represent money going out An order that the supply of bullion in the country may increase, it is therefore necessary that there be a "favourable balance of trade," represented by

an excess of exports over imports, leading in its consequence to a balance of payment being due to the country. It is an easy further step to consider that the trade with any country should be cherished or discouraged, according as that trade, viewed in isolation, tends to render the balance favourable or unfavourable, and it is likewise easy to conclude that all exports are, in the nature of things, good and desirable, whereas all imports are evil and damnable. It was thus a primary principle of the typical mercanthist to maximize exports while minimizing imports.

While the conception of the balance of trade has become popularly almost synonymous with Mercantilism. the consequences of the doctrine were no less important than the doctrine itself. For if exports are to be increased. then every lawful industry which produces goods meet for exportation calls for encouragement So also, if imports are to be restricted, then we must make shift to do without foreign wares, or alternatively we must, out of our existing resources, contrive to supply ourselves with what would otherwise have come from abroad The country must be a hive of industry, primarily with an eye on the needs of other countries There must be no waste, preferably there ought to be a certain austerity of life, so that there may be more for export Complete use should be made of all the resources of the country In a sense the nation's life should, in current jargon, be "rationalized," so that no economy may be missed, no opportunity for gain neglected

A further consequence of the importance attached to the balance of trade lies in the fact that it naturally led in the mercanthist doctrine to a hierarchy of occupations.

Least important was agriculture. Agriculture, it is true, might feed the population, intensive tillage of every waste strip, especially if exotic crops like tobacco were raised.

might render certain imports unnecessary. But in itself agriculture, as is indeed true of all domestic trade and industry, brought no money into the country, and therefore on the cruder mercantilist theories did not increase the country's wealth. More important were industry and manufactures, where a definite excess could be created for the satisfaction of the needs of other countries, and the sale of which, therefore, was pure gain for the country. Most important of all, however, was trade. If a former age had doubted the acceptability of a merchant in the sight of the Almighty, the merchant had now come into his own, and was become the head-stone of the corner.

Such was the mercantilist programme and the mercantilist point of view, equally significant was the machinery whereby that programme was to be carried into effect For in all their schemes the mercantilists looked to a benevolently paternal government, assumed wise enough to interfere everywhere Mercantilism was a policy of ubiquitous and perpetual governmental activity. There was nothing the Government might not do, there was nothing it ought not to do, if thereby its activity was calculated to promote the general well-being In regard to the primary matter of restraining imports and encouraging exports, the machinery was obviously at hand in the form of import duties to keep out foreign goods, and bounties to encourage exports But when it comes to the remoter stage of encouraging enterprises which in their consequences will lead to a healthier balance of trade, no limit can be assigned to the list of expedients devised or prescribed The issue of patents of monopoly in respect of the introduction of new processes, the direct importation of foreign workers in order to establish a new industry, the fixation of prices and wages (partly in the interests of production), the whole series of devices to encourage shipping and the Navy, of which the Navigation Acts are the most familiar and the prescription of fish days the most curious, the creation of pinvileged trading companies, the foundation of plantations in order to secure supplies of raw material as well as a market for the finished commodities—such are only some of the more outstanding examples of Mercantilism in practice, of devices tending to the greater glory of the King and the increased strength of the Realm So, too, in certain of its phases, Mercantilism tended to incorporate a definite policy with regard to population For increased population does, or should, mean both an increase in the potential number of soldiers and sailors and an increase in the number of productive workers, and consequently encouragements to increased population can almost claim to be an essential part of Mercantilism

In its view regarding the functions of government activity there is an obvious kinship between Mercantilism and State Socialism. The mercantilist regarded the State as the appropriate instrument for promoting the well being of his country. Moreover, in his view the country was regarded as a unit, there were national interests to be promoted, quite irrespective of the interests of particular sections or individuals. Indeed, the interest of the State might be in conflict with that of the individual, and in such a case obviously the interest of the whole was to be preferred. Mercantilism thus endeavoured to take a comprehensive view of the needs of society, and looked upon the State as the appropriate administrative machine to secure the satisfaction of these needs

Until recently the mercanthists have suffered from the cloud cast over them by Adam Smith Lately there has been a disposition to treat them with greater respect They were certainly not such consummate fools as was apt to be assumed in the middle of the nineteenth century The rather harsh traditional judgment rests on two mis-

interpretations, firstly that the balance of trade theory represented substantially the whole of their aims and doctrines, whereas in fact this was but one aspect of a larger and more comprehensive policy, and secondly, that they were guilty of the folly of Crossus in thinking that wealth consisted in gold and silver instead of the things that gold and silver could bring. A fair interpretation would probably be that they valued gold and silver as the avenues by which power and strength were to be attained, and a final judgment, moreover, should take into consideration how far their views were justified by the circumstances of the time Yet, even so, they can scarcely be entirely acquitted of the suspicion that at times they confused the means and the end, and wrote as if bullion were possessed of some magic quality which made its acquisition the only worthy end of national policy On two other grounds also criticism is permissible In witnessing their intense desire to increase the national productivity, their zeal to make the best use of everything, one sometimes gets the impression that they regarded wealth, and labour that results in wealth, as the end to which man's existence is subservient. In bustling too much, one forgets that the purpose of labour is rest, that wealth exists to be consumed, that man's chief end is to glorify God and enjoy Him for ever The second obvious line of criticism is that the mercantilists never thought out their theories to a logical conclusion was of the essence of their view that what one country gained, another lost, the idea of a mutually advantageous trade eluded them The device of the favourable balance of trade was intended to ensure that it should be the other country that should lose But clearly all countries could not have, in the mercantilist sense, a favourable balance of trade simultaneously
It was a device which all sought to practise, but which nevertheless could not be generalized So also it is doubtful whether they ever asked themselves what would happen in a country which, in the delectable phrase of the Scottish Payliament, should find itself "stuffed with bullion" as a sesult of the application of mercantlist expedients, or what measures could effectively be taken to prevent the stuffing from coming out But this is merely to say once again that the mercantlists were practical men and not a school of economists.

III. Four Representative Mercantilists

The previous section has perhaps been concerned with an attempt to define an unreality, to wif, the complete mercantilist who can only be imagined as resulting from the fusion, into one personality, of many mercantilists, each stressing different aspects of the problems of his age. It may be convenient to supplement this general composite representation by a brief reference to four typical mercantilist writers, representing four different nations. The authors so cited are not, however, to be regarded an eccessarily the leading mercantilists, others with equal appropriateness might have been hailed for the purpose. They are merely examples from a cloud of witnesses, summoned to give precision to the foregoing account.

Montchrétien

From France a convenient representative may be found in Antoine de Montchrétien¹ (1576-1621), who in 1615 dedicated his Traucté de l'Œconomie Politique to the King and the Queen Mother This work derives a slight additional interest from the fact that it represents, so it is

¹ Born at Fallaise about 1576, led an adventurous life, punctuated by duels A tragic poet and a manufacturer of bardware Shot in a kind of battle in 1621

said, the earliest use of the phrase "Political Economy" But let no one, beguiled by the title, imagine that he will here encounter a treatise on Political Economy in the modern sense It is really a survey of the industries of France, inspired by an exuberant and ebullient patriotism. and interwoven with much advice to the King on how matters may be improved. The book is divided into four parts, in which successively the author deals with the manufactures, the commerce and the navigation of France, and lastly of the "principal cares" of the Prince. Of the industries surveyed it is remarkable, and not wholly characteristic of mercantilist thought, that agriculture appears first Indeed he writes here with something of Physiocratic enthusiasm Agriculture—and he appeals to Aristotle and Cato-is to be regarded as the beginning of all wealth,1 if agriculture is depressed, it is not due to the infertility of the soil (a suggestion which would imply disloyalty to France) but to the poverty of the labourers But, despite this, it is clear that Montchrétien's real interests are engaged elsewhere in the other industries which he discusses

The first point of general interest in Montchrétien—and here he is in the true mercantilist tradition—lies in the importance which he attaches to the principle that everyone should work, and in his antipathy to all kinds of idleness. Man, he says, is born to live in continuit exercise and occupation, the policy of the State should be to secure that no section of the population remains idle. As a result of Eve's remote offence, labour are inseparably united. He never fails to react to the word l'oysiuté with constant varying phrases of moral indignation. Men reduced to doing nothing are easily induced.

Reprint of 1889, edited by Funck-Brentano, p 41.

^{*} Ibid , pp 21-22

to do evil, idleness corrupts the vigour of men and the chastity of women Idleness is a fatal pest to rich and flourishing states, it is the mother of all vices and the cause of all sins. Thus for Montchrétien, prosperity and morality alike demand the intensity of the bee-hive If the happiness of man consists in wealth, then wealth consists in labour

The second general characteristic of Montchrétien is, on the lyncal side, a glowing enthusiasm for France, which, when Montchrétien forgets that he is also a poet, becomes tainted with a rather rigid and chairunistic exclusiveness. The object of the rulers of France, dowered as France is, should be to make the country lightly regarded as "incomparable". A proud self-sufficiency is his ultimate aim. For France is a world in itself. "En un mot, la France est un monde, qui l'a touté veue, a tout veu ce qui se peut vorr," and it follows from this proud boast that it can dispense with what it receives from neighbouring countries, but neighbouring countries can in no wise do without her, it has infinite wealth, known and to be known.

A country which is thus a world in itself ought to be in a position to maintain her children, "being born in France, it is right that they should live there," but this they cannot do, if they lose their means of subsistence ⁵ Much of Montchrétien's volume tends to be a dirge on the familiar theme of trade lost to the foreigner, who is represented as in process of strangling the industries of France He enlarges on the unrestrained liberties which foreigners enjoy in France, compared with the restrictions imposed in the contrary case His ideal, indeed, approaches the **jest-forserne* Handelsstaat, except in so

far as France may be able to export out of her pure superfluity. The arguments are on the usual lines—the folly of having made by another that which one's self-can produce, the evil economy of spending one's substance on what can be obtained by one's efforts. The argument, though not peculiar to Montchrétien, is put by him with unusual vigour:

"Premierement, je represente à vos Majestez que toute la quinquaillerie, à la fabrique de laquelle sont occupez, tant dedans que dehors le royaume, non des villes seules, mais des provinces entières, se peut faire abondamment et à prix tres raissonable dans le pays de vos Seigneuries, que d'y en admettre et recevoir d'estrangere c'est oter la vie à plusieurs milliers de vos subjects dont ceste industrie est l'heritage et ce travail le fonds de leur revenu, c'est diminuer d'autant vostre propre richesse, laquelle se fait et s'augmente de celle de vos peuples "1"

On this he accordingly exhorts the King. "Faites-nous donc jouissance du fruict de nostre industrie, c'est à dire, rendez nous à nous mesmes" Such is the ideal of proud national self-sufficiency, and Montchrétien in his appeal does not disdain what would now be called sob-stuff, by invoking "the tender sighs of the women and the pittable cries of the children of those whose labours have suffered from foreign competition" 2

For Monchrétien, the foreigner is in the main a person given to fraud and guile Specifically "whatever is foreign corrupts us "3" In particular, foreign books "poison our spirits and corrupt our manners," and therefore their importation should be prohibited 4. Indeed Montchrétien approves of a vigorous all-round national exclusiveness, hinting at a divine ordinance which has assigned to the inhabitants of any area the use of the

[elements and the goods which it produces Further, he cites with admiration the example of Lyons, which acted on the principle that "each town should have something particular and in reserve for its own children, in order that it may help them "! Montchrétten is thus a stout defender of the maxim which enjoins upon us the expediency of rendering to our own sea-maws their ancient and prescriptive dues

On some other questions Montchrétien shows a departure from the mercantilist norm His scheme of things would be almost destructive of international trade, and there is therefore little, if anything, of the doctrine of the balance of trade in Montchrétien Likewise he appreciates the importance of internal trade, and in one place he argues. and doubtless with truth, that no country could excel France in happiness and wealth and glory, if it could only keep the whole of its internal trade to itself, and restrict its foreign trade to the export of what it produced in superabundance He approves of the doctrine que l'un ne perd jamais que l'autre n'y gagne," but draws from the maxim the inference that in domestic trade between citizen and citizen, there can be no loss for the public such as he fears may result from foreign trade Varying the familiar analogy which finds in a national debt a transfer from the neht trouser pocket to the left. domestic trade is like a man with a jar in each hand, pouring a liquid from one to the other Foreign trade is thus for Montchretien something of a snare and a gamble, it may lead to loss, and from this danger domestic trade at least is free

From all this it naturally follows that the bullionist aspect of Mercanthism is less prominent in Montchrétien the approves, it is true, of the old dictum that money is the "nerve of war," and adds on his own account that

gold has often been shown to be more powerful than iron 1 But he never loses his head on this aspect of the question, and there is one remarkable passage-it is true a somewhat isolated passage-in which he seems to fly in the face of all mercantulist orthodoxy "It is not the abundance of gold and silver, the quantities of pearls and diamonds which make states rich and opulent, it is the conveniency (accomodement) of things necessary to life, and fit for wearing, he who has more of these has more of wealth" Then after contrasting the position in the time of Charles VI, when, if money was scarcer, prices were lower, he adds "It is true we have become more abundant in gold and silver than our fathers were, but we are not therefore more comfortable and more rich "2 It is such a passage as this that reveals the fatuity of endeavouring to make the mercantilists conform to a type Montchrétien, in short, is the rather repellently patriotic patriot, contemptuous of other peoples His vision is that of the isolated state, with France in acknowledged supremacy, supplying all her needs, and having in addition something left over for export, so that trade represents a system of one-way traffic Domestically all are to work. and work incessantly, idleness is to be banished to foreign parts-where presumably it will do no harm

Thomas Mun

Of the innumerable company of English mercantilist writers and pamphleteers, one has, by common consent, a pre-eminent claim to be chosen as spokesman of the somewhat heterogeneous group It is not merely that Thomas Mun³ (1571-1641) approaches most nearly to a

¹ Ibid, pp 141-142
² Born in London 1571, engaged for most of his life in merantile affairs in connection with Italy and the Levant A member of the Committee of the East India Company Died 1641

86

systematic statement of mercantilist principles, of no less importance is the fact that he wrote at a time when Mercantilism was yet scarcely exposed to the disintegrating forces which made the mercantilist of the middle and later periods in some respects anticipators of a more liberal policy Mun is perhaps the nearest approach to the perfect mercantilist, yet even he was unnecessarily conscious of heterodoxy, and is at times involved in those contradictions which are inevitable in a school, one of whose characteristics it was to refuse to push their thoughts to their logical conclusions

Mun's book was written for the better upbringing and instruction of his son, and it only appeared posthumously in 1664. Its very title is a condensed summary of Mercanthism England's Treasure by Forraign Trade, or, The Ballance of Our Forraign Trade is the Rule of our Treasure. His opening statement, after he settles down to business, gives a precise statement of the theory of the balance of trade in its least compromising form To increase our wealth and treasure we must ever observe this rule "to sell more to strangers yearly than we consume of theirs in value". This rule, moreover, will give us a precise index of the amount of precious metals entering or leaving the country. If exports are \$2,200,000 and imports \$2,000,000,

Such being the situation, the secret of accumulation lies in choking off the imports and in the encouragement of

[&]quot;we may rest assured that the Kingdom shall be enriched yearly two hundred thousand pounds, which must be brought to us in so much Treasure, because that part of our stock which is not returned to us in wares must necessarily be brought home in treasure"²

¹ England's Treasure, chap 2

¹ Ibid , chap 2

exports, and the most valuable chapter in Mun is that in which he proceeds to enumerate twelve methods whereby these so desirable ends may be attained. This chapter (No 3) is really a complete catalogue of mercantilist devices, as these were apprehended at the time when Mun wrote, and the programme he outlines gives so luminous an account of Mercantilism in its robuster days that it may be permissible to reproduce the main points of his programme

Firstly, Mun recommends the cultivation of waste grounds (which he rashly says are infinite) in such a was will not interfere with the revenues derived from already cultivated lands. Thus hemp, flax, cordage and tobacco could be grown and the corresponding imports cut off

Secondly, we should "soberly refrain from excessive consumption of foreign wares in our diet and rayment", also from unnecessary change of tashion, "which vices at this present are more notorious amongst us than in former ages"

Thirdly, with regard to exports, "we must consider our neighbours' necessities" Where they cannot get elsewhere the wares they require, we must sell them as dear as possible, but if they can supply their wants elsewhere, "we must in this case strive to sell as cheap as possible we can, rather than to lose the utterance of such wares"

Fourthly, the value of exports could be increased by confining these to our own ships, as then we should gain not merely the price of our wares, but also the merchants' gains—the invisible exports of modern theory

Fifthly, by frugally expending our natural wealth, we might have more left for export. If we insist on being prodigal, it should be with the manufactures of our own

country, so that the poor may be employed This, however, Mun clearly regards as only a second-best, it would be still better if the poor were employed for the use of strangers.

Sixthly, the fishing in the adjacent seas should be developed, instead of allowing the Dutch to serve many places in Christendom with our fish

Seventhly, a Staple, for the encouragement of the entrepôt trade, should be established, making England a distributing centre, thus increasing shipping, trade, and the King's customs

Eighthly, Mun recommends, in curious contrast to Smith later, that we should especially cherish trade with far countries. The reasoning is curious and fallacious, if pepper is 2s the pound in London, it may be 2od at Amsterdam, but the merchant may get it in the East Indies at 3d, "which is a mightly advantage".

The nuth device Mun regards as a heresy in himself, and therefore postpones it for further consideration, it is that in certain cases it would be beneficial to allow the export of money itself

The tenth and eleventh points are akin Mun recommends that manufactures of foreign materials (velvets, silks, etc) should be allowed to be exported free. This would furnish employment, increase exports, and by encouragement of imports with a view to manufacture, would increase His Majesty's Customs. So also native commodities should not be burdened with too great customs, lest their enhanced price diminish their sale abroad. Logically, of course, this would lead to a system of bounties to encourage exports.

The twelfth and last munction is vague and comprehensive; it is that "we must endeavour to make the most we can of our own" Such is Mun's programme, and it will be seen that taken in its entirety, it represents a policy of abstemious frugality, shunning all waste, and despising no opportunity of gain, leaving it to the foreigner to enjoy life, provided we enjoy the turnover

All of this, except the ninth point, is of the mercantilist scheme of things. On the question of the exportation of money, Mun recognizes that his view is contrary to the common opinion, his argument in favour of allowing money to go is, briefly, that "money begets trade and trade encreaseth money "I He argues—and here true Mercantilism begins to crumble—that merely keeping the money in the kingdom will not make a quick and ample trade; that depends on the need other countries have for our wares. Indeed, he realizes that abundance of money raises prices, and "dear wares decline their use and consumption," thus leading to a diminution in the volume of trade. It is therefore necessary to have faith that our moneys sent out in trade will come back again in treasure

Like all mercantilists of yesterday and to-day, Mun is scathing in his condemnation of the idleness of his countrymen, contrasted with the industry of the foreigner For him, the Dutch were, morally, the good boys of Europe In contrast with them

"we leave our wonted exercises and studies, following our pleasures, and of late years besotting ourselves with pipe and pot, in a beastly manner, sucking smoak, and drinking healths, until death stares many in the face "2"

Not the least interesting point in Mun is that even here, in the citadel of Mercanthism, there are signs of dismenserment. Thus in contradistinction to the rigour of the programme outlined in the third chapter, later on he will

¹ lbid , chap 4.

00

not allow all kinds of bounty and pomp to be avoided If we use few or no foreign wares,

"how shall we then vent our own commodities? what will become of our Ships, Mariners, Munitions, our poor Artificers and many others? doe we hope that other Countreys will afford us money for All our wares without buying or bartering for Some of theirs" "1

These are indeed searching questions!

And on another equally fundamental point he says this

"For although Treasure is said to be the sinews of the War, yet this is so because it doth provide, unite and

War, yet this is so because it doth provide, unite and move the power of men, victuals, and munition where and when the cause doth require, but if these things be wanting in due time, what shall we then do with our mony? "2"
"What shall we do with our money?" What indeed?

This, some wide do with our money? What indeed?

This, some would suggest, is precisely the question which the mercanthists refused to answer, and which they could not have faced without devastating effects on their scheme of thought. On the other hand, that such a person as Mun gets so far as to ask the question may also indicate that the mercanthists were not quite so muddle-headed as has frequently been assumed.

It is not possible here to consider representatives of later English Mercantilism, but even at the risk of repetition, it should be again emphasized that, increasingly, although the postulate of national power remains, there are doubts and divergencies from the original mercantilist system of thought. Thus Josah Child (1630-1699), after correctly defining the mercantilist conception of the balance of trade, refers to the practical difficulties of ascertaining what that balance may be, and concludes that the whole conception is "too doubtful and uncertain as to our general trade, and in reference to particular trades failible

¹ Ibid chap 15

¹ Ibid , chap 18

and erroneous" For him the best test is to be found in the volume of trade as revealed in the increase of shipping, and the greatest sumulator lies in an abatement of the rate of interest

Serra

To spread the net wider, one earlier mercantilist from Italy may be glanced at Like Mun. Antonio Serra epitomizes the mercantilist creed in the title of his short pamphlet, published in 1613 under the title A Brief Treatise on the Causes which can make Gold and Silver Abound in Kingdoms where there are no Mines 1 Serra begins by assuming as a proved proposition the importance of a kingdom abounding in gold and silver, the contrary belief being indeed an indication of doubtful sanity Apart from those blessed countries which possess gold and silver "naturally," the presence of the precious metals is due to collateral causes Certain causes may be peculiar to a given country, such as its situation, otherwise Serra finds four main factors to which the presence of gold and silver may be attributed. These are the quantity of industry, the quality of the population, extensive trading operations and the regulations of the sovereign With regard to the first point Serra gives reasons for the mercantilist's preference of industry to agriculture Firstly, it is safer, the artisan is more sure of a profit than is the peasant The peasant depends on the weather, and his efforts may therefore result in a loss

A selection is given in Monroe's Early Economic Thought. The whole pamphlet will be found in the Economist idel Cinque et Sucento, edited by Graziani. The only fact that appears to be known with regard to Seria is that his celebrated tract on how to secure an abundance of money was written in prison (in 1673) while Seria was undergoing sentence for coning. Or is this addition to the legend merely the happy invention of a later humorist?

"But in industry there is always a certainty of gain, provided labour is expended " To-day this sounds rather naïve Secondly, although of course he does not use the phrase, industry is subject to increasing returns, whereas agriculture is not Industry can always be multiplied two-fold or two-hundredfold, and with proportionately less expense (con minor proporzione di spesa) The third reason is that industry has a sure market Produce is difficult to keep, but the fruits of industry can be preserved for a long time They can therefore be held up for a better market, or exported (Serra was not familiar with the canning industry) Lastly, there is more profit in industry than in "produce" The other causes leading to abundance of gold and silver may be disposed of summarily The inhabitants must be diligent, eager to build up trade, without as well as within the country The country should possess a great trade preferably in the produce of other places rather than its own On the fourth cause, the regulations to be made by the sovereign -the essential point of Mercantilism in operation-Serra is feeble. It may be honest, but it is not helpful to say that "it is not easy to know how to arrange this factor well," or that it is necessary to attend to more than one cause, since the same cause may produce different effects in different cases (as a light whistle rouses dogs and quiets horses) Such instructions are hardly calculated to produce the great civil servant. When the Chancellor of the Exchequer whistles lightly, who are the dogs and

Much of the remainder of Serra's pamphlet is occupied with a consideration of the reasons which make gold and silver abound at Vennee, and why, on the other hand, Naples is poor, also—a characteristic of Italian Mercanfism—with questions relating to rates of exchange It is perhaps noteworthy that, like Mun, Serra disapproves of

who are the horses?

the prohibition of the export of money. If money is exported, it must be with an object, and therefore "if money is exported for any purpose whatever, it must return with a profit into the kingdom from which it was sent"—perhaps a rather large assumption, which incidentally would rather tend to make Mercantilism as a whole much talk about nothing

Von Hornick

To preserve the balance a fourth and last mercantilist, this time from Austria, may be cited Philipp W von Hornick1 (1638-1712), the author of Oesterreich uber alles wann es nur will, is amusing by reason of his uncompromising vigour and a certain quaint naïveté His definition of policy rests on what is regarded as an axiomatic truism. which is in itself an interesting statement of mercantilist faith It is to the effect that the might and eminence of a country consist in its surplus of gold and silver, and all other things necessary or convenient for its subsistence, derived from its own resources without dependence on other countries. For the attainment of this end Hornick lays down, after the manner of Mun, a sequence of rules which are "especially serviceable" These rules are nine in number, and naturally present a considerable degree of similarity to Mun's points, though there are interesting variations in emphasis Briefly, Hornick's programme of Mercantilism comprises the following points (1) The most is to be made of the country's soil, not a clod of earth is to be unconsidered, every form of plant is to be expenmented with, above all, if possible, gold and silver are to be discovered. (2) Commodities are to be worked up in the country (3) Population is to be encouraged, and people turned from idleness (the populationist element, it

¹ Born at Mainz, 1638, studied law and practised at Vienna; later in the service of the Cardinal of Passau

04

may be observed, is a peculiar characteristic of German Mercantilism) (4) Gold and silver, once in the country, are under no circumstances to be taken out for any purpose, equally, however, they are not to be hoarded, but are to remain in circulation (5) The inhabitants are to get along with their own domestic products, and do without foreign products as far as possible (6) When absolutely essential to obtain goods from the foreigner.

without foreign products as far as possible (6) When absolutely essential to obtain goods from the foreigner, these should be obtained in exchange for other wares, and not by the payment of gold and silver (7) In the event of the unavoidable importation of foreign goods, they should be imported in unfinished form, and worked up in the country (8) Per contra, opportunity is to be sought night and day to sell superfluous goods to the foreigner, but these should be sold in a finished form, and for gold and silver (9) No imports should be allowed whenever, there is a sufficient supply of the

and for gold and silver (9) No imports should be allowed whenever there is a sufficient supply of the relevant commodity in the country, and this even if the home article is of inferior quality and of higher price The condensed reproduction of this programme will not be merely vain repetition if the juxtaposition of the 8th point alongside the 6th and 7th serves to bring out the one-sided character of Mercantilism, and the failure of the mercantilists to realize that in the international clash. a State inspired by a Hornick might encounter a rival inspired by a Mun For the rest, Hornick is a mercantilist of pronounced jusquauboutist tendencies. His essential maxim from which all others flow is the fifth in this enumeration, and as a first step to the realization of the ideal of self-sufficiency he recommends the prohibition of the four chief groups of foreign imports-silk, woollen. linen and French wares In respect of these alone, the Austrians throw away to the foreigner every year at least ten million thalers Think how the lifeless body of Austria would revive if this sum were saved even for one

year! If it were saved for twenty years, what country in Europe would equal Austria? His fundamental doctrine is that it is better to pay for an article two thalers which remain in the country than only one which goes out As he dolefully and fatalistically says "What once goes out, stays out" Moreover, it is surprising to note how the other precepts will be automatically observed if this first measure is adopted Take, for instance, popula-Prohibition of these imports will throw many foreigners out of work, artisans go where they can get a living, they will therefore be compelled to come to Austria, and the population problem is solved On other questions, Hornick speaks with the authentic voice of Mercantilism Will there be any danger that, in the absence of foreign competition, prices will be unduly raised? Not if the Government supervises things as it should, and checks wantonness, further, the philosophically minded may reflect that it is better to be a victim to one's own countrymen than to a stranger Then again, what about Dame Fashion, for surely we must dress like other nations? "It would be a good thing," quoth Hornick, "if we sent Dame Fashion to the Devil, her father" An excellent sentiment with which to conclude a chapter.

CHAPTER IV

THE PHYSIOCRATS QUESNAY AND TURGOT

Quesnay

THE position of François Quesnay1 (1694-1774) in the history of economic doctrine is in many ways remarkable The man himself is an interesting and engaging personality A successful medical practitioner attached to the Court, with a record of medical dissertations on such subjects as suppuration, gangrene and fevers, he burgeoned as an economist at the age of sixty-two Yet despite this tardy blossoming, he lived to be the acknowledged head of the most compact of any of the schools of economists, and he died, at the age of eighty, the revered master of a large band of disciples, whose panegyrics sound extravagant even for an age when the art of eulogy was assiduously cultivated It naturally follows also from Quesnay's late absorption in economics that his literary labours were unusual. It is not true, as has sometimes been said, that he wrote little, but it is true that all he wrote has the appearance of disconnected efforts, contributions to encyclopædias, periodicals, and what not; and moreover hardly any of it was published under his own name.

¹ Born at Méré (Seine et Oise), 1694, brought up in the country and largely self-taught. After a distinguished medical career, became physician to Madame de Pompadour in 1749, and to the King in 1755.

It is a further consequence that Quesnay tends to merge into his school, that body of men who called themselves " economists," and whom posterity has agreed to designate as "Physiocrats" It is true, of course, that there were differences of view, different shades of emphasis among the Physiocrats, but it is a remarkable tribute to the cohesion of the school that common usage lumps them comprehensively under the name of the "Physiocrats," and seldom thinks of the units concealed behind the collective phrase It is, however, from Ouesnay that the entire impulse comes, and all that is characteristic of Physiocratic doctrine is to be found in his writings This section will therefore be confined almost exclusively to Ouesnay, as representative of the whole body of physiocratic theory

Three points of a general character can hardly fail to strike any reader who approaches Quesnay In the first place the economic doctrine, such as it is, is really only a corollary to something much larger For Ouesnay, as for all the Physiocrats, his economics was but part of a Weltanschauung-it is difficult to avoid the German word. He is a moralist, primarily perhaps the foundation of the whole structure is to be found in his view of natural and positive law, a conception indeed (that of the "rule of nature") to which the school owes the title by which it is now universally known. Consequently it is necessary to disentangle, somewhat gingerly, the economic aspects of the Physiocratic structure The second point is that, despite the immingling of relatively alien elements, Quesnay has substantial claims to be regarded as the real founder of Political Economy in the modern sense. With him the empirical element has gone, whether he is right or wrong, Quesnay realizes that the problem of the nature of wealth, the conditions of its production and the laws of its distribution are matters to which scientific and

precise reasoning may be applied with the object of arriving at universal truths The third point is perhaps rather a comment on, or an illustration of this, it is the extent to which Quesnay anticipates Adam Smith The differences are obvious, and have indeed been excessively underlined by Smith himself, but the similarities are still more remarkable The exact relationship between Ouesnay and Smith has been much discussed, and for a time there was a tendency to suggest that Smith may have been influenced by Quesnay, with whom he was familiar during his visit to France It is now clear, however, that the main outlines of Adam Smith's thought had been evolved while he was still professing in Glasgow. at a time when Quesnay could not have influenced him Almost certainly the similarities of Quesnay and Smith merely exemplify once more the wholly natural fact that independent inquirers do frequently, about the same time, arrive at substantially the same conclusions

Firstly, and warily, as to the fundamental point of natural law For Quesnay there is a "law" which governs human actions, no less than the rest of the field of nature, and the problem is to find the structure of society which will accord with this natural law What is this "droit naturel," most elusive of conceptions? It differs from the "droit légitime," the mere act of a legislature, in that it is recognized by the light of reason. and by that evidence alone. It is binding apart from any constraint, whereas a "droit légitime" is obligatory by reason of the penalty attached. Thus the "droit naturel" is superior to the laws of man's making, as is abundantly proved by the multitude of contradictory and absurd laws which have been enacted 1

But to change the ground and come to a point of more

¹ Le Droit Naturel, chap 2, pp 365-366, in Oncken's complete edition, to which subsequent references relate

direct economic substance, to what does man have a "natural right"? Vaguely, these are comprehended in the right he has to the things which are "propres à sa jouissance." But this must be defined. The theoretical right of everyone to everything is illusory, it must be limited to the right of everyone to that portion of things which he can obtain by his own labour The swallow might be said to have a right to all the flies that dance in the air, in fact, the swallow's rights are limited to those he can catch (The rights of the fly are not discussed)2 When men come to enter into society, they will increase this natural right, and guarantee its enjoyment. "if the constitution of the society is in agreement with the order which is evidently the most advantageous to men relatively to the fundamental laws of their natural right "3 One further natural right calls for emphasis, each one has the right to make use of all the faculties given him by nature on condition that he hurts neither himself nor others-though why, at this stage, he should not be allowed to hurt himself is not clear 4. It will be obvious how far, on the economic side, these propositions take Quesnay He has consecrated private property on the basis of labour, he has established the principle of freedom of contract, and he has made it a primary function of the State to guarantee these rights Indeed. so fundamental is this, that where property and liberty are not guaranteed, there is no government but merely anarchy 5

We come then to human society, which is subject to two

¹ Le Droit Naturel, chap I, p 359

^{*}Ibid, chap 2, pp 360-367

*Ibid, chap 3, p 368

*Ibid, chap 3, p 377

*Ibid, chap 3, p 374

*Ibid, chap 3, p 377

*Ibid, chap 4, p 377

*Ibid, chap 4, p 377

*Ibid, chap 4, p 377

*Ibid, chap 5, p perhaps even greater emphasis in the Maximes Générales See Maxime 4 and 13, pp 331, 333

kinds of laws, the loss naturelles and the loss positives, the former, despite their high authority and the praises bestowed upon them-are they not "immuables, et irréfragables et les meilleures lois possibles "?1-remaining somewhat nebulous, the latter being of human origin. and therefore strictly subordinate. Indeed the primary function of the positive laws is to "declare" the natural laws 2 The first positive law, underlying all other positive laws, consists in the institution of public and private instruction in the laws of the natural order, although, regrettably, the syllabus of the course is not provided Positive laws are merely deductions from, or comments on, the primitive natural laws The fundamental laws of society are imprinted on the hearts of man, they form the light which illumines his conscience. In relation thereto, the sphere of positive law is little more than that of interpretation Positive laws are thus essentially subordinate, and should only be introduced in so far as they are in conformity with, and rigorously subject to, these other essential laws They are therefore not of arbitrary institution, and "the legislator cannot render them just by his authority, except in so far as they are just in their_essence "4

Further discussion of the subtleties of "natural law" may be left to the political philosopher, to whom the subject properly belongs Here we are only concerned with the economic implications of Ouesnay's generalizations And it is clear in what direction he is heading The art of the legislator is to interpret for this confused world certain natural laws (though it is as well not to

¹ Bod. chap 5, p 375 2 Bod. chap 5, p 376 2 Bod. chap 5, p 375 3 Bod. chap 5, p 375 4 Bod. chap 5, p 375 4 Bod. chap 8, and especially sections 6 and 49, pp 642, 650

be too curious as to their contents). The test of good legislation is found in the extent to which positive laws are a faithful reflection of their shadowy prototypes. Clearly there must be no contradiction, but clearly, also. embroidery should be reduced to a minimum having caught the reflection of these eternal immutable laws, the less legislation the better Thus, on high abstract grounds, we arrive at the great principle of nonintervention, the doctrine of laissez-faire, laissez basser, to use the tag which the Physiocratic school has bequeathed to the world It is the duty of the Government to see to the defence of society Since prosperity (apparently a simple matter) depends merely on cultivating the soil and keeping down thieves and blackguards, positive law will be necessary to restrain the evil-doers 1 But if positive law fussily goes beyond the point of protection against invasion, restraint of the wicked, and of routine administration, can it lay any claim to be but a reflex of eternal law? The blessedness of Free Trade and of Government inactivity is knocking at the door

The search for the natural order leads, on the economic side, to the adoration of agriculture, the most familiar of Physiccratic doctrines. It was not merely, as Quesnay emphasized—and perhaps he was inpit—that agricultural nations alone can form empires which are fixed and durable. The Physiocratic accentuation of the importance of agriculture was doubtless in origin but a reflection of the needs of France at the time, and a reaction against that phase of Mercantilism which stressed the importance of manufactures, and which, being sponsored by Colbert, has made "Colbertism" almost a French synonym for

¹ Le Droit Naturel, chap 5, p 375, Despotisme de la Chine, chap 8, sec 6, p 642

² Despotisme de la Chine, chap 8, sec 12, p 647

Mercantilism Yet the Physiocrats devised for their instinctive preferences a theoretical basis which led to inextricable entanglements For they sought to prove that agriculture alone produced the wealth of the community, and that, in contrast to this "productive" activity, all other occupations, however laudable and necessary, were "unproductive" and "sterile" "Agnculture," says Quesnay, " is the source of all the wealth of the State and of the wealth of all the citizens "1 Again, "everything that is disadvantageous to agriculture is prejudicial to the State and the nation, and every thing that favours agriculture is profitable to the State and the nation "2 Nor is this merely an echo of the vague generalities of Xenophon and Cicero exaltation of agriculture over other economic activities rests on a subordination of these which is theoretically demonstrable In Quesnay's earliest articles on Fermes and Grams, this position is already emphatically stated

"Agriculture and commerce are constantly regarded as the two sources of our wealth Commerce, like industry, is merely a branch of agriculture. These two states exist only by virtue of agriculture. It is agriculture which furnishes the material of industry and commerce and which pays both, but these two branches give back their gain to agriculture, which renews the wealth which is spent and consumed each year "(Grains, p. 216).

Indeed Quesnay at times speaks as if the commercial classes were scarcely a part of the nation at all. A mixed agricultural and commercial kingdom, he says, unites two nations which are distinct one from the other. The agricultural is "the constitutive part of the society"

¹ Maximes Generales, No 1, p 331

² Analyse du Tableau Économique, p 319, but one might say bassim

The other is an extrinsic addition which forms a part of the general republic of external commerce 1 He returnsto the idea that the commercial classes are a race apart from all other nations, forming in a sense a sect among themselves: "Nos commerçants sont aussi les commercants des autres nations, les commercants des autres nations sont aussi nos commercants "2 As is natural in the light of this contrast, Quesnay regards it as a mistaken policy to show favour to the inhabitants of the towns, as by cheap grain, at the expense of the country. In this way "on desole les campagnes, qui sont la source des vraies richesses de l'Etat "3

The distinction between agriculture on the one hand and industry and commerce on the other, is further revealed by the fact that in the former there is a surplus, while the others merely pay their way This surplus is the famous " produit net," the token of Nature's superior bounty in agricultural operations On Ouesnay's theory, agriculture is carried on by advances of various kinds (avances foncières, avances primitives, avances annuelles), some of these falling on the proprietor and some on the cultivator. But when all expenses have been met, and the cultivators have taken all that is required to maintain themselves and reimburse them in respect of their annual advances, there still remains something over, a " produit net "4 (a rent) which is payable to the proprietor This " produit net" is in fact the real revenue of the nation, and it is this which, in one form or another, supports the manufacturing and commercial classes Thus we get the triple division of classes, so dear to the Physiocrats. There is, firstly, the class of proprietors, to whom the

Analyse du Tableau Economique, p 321.

I Ibid, p 328
Fermiers, p 180
Analyse du Tableau Économique, pp 304-309.

"produit net" is paid, whose services consist in making the avances foncières, and also in the more general and social duties which fall upon them, there is, secondly, the productive class, who cultivate the soil, and thirdly, there are all those who are lumped together under a title, which, despite all apologetics, has in it something wounding and depreciatory, the "unproductive" or sterile class Strictly speaking, there is a fourth class, the wage-earners, but they do not occupy a large place in Physiocrafic classifica-

The "sterility" of these unproductive sections of the community may require a further word of explanation So far as concerns commerce, there need be little difficulty, the view corresponds to what is in some ways an instinctive reaction of the unsophisticated human mind The man who merely exchanges does not "produce". and Quesnay pushes to its logical conclusion the view that the purchases represented by commerce are merely "exchanges of value for equal value, without loss or gain on one side or the other "1 The trader merely brings about a transference of wealth from one hand to the other Whereas the cultivators can pay with what they have received from the hand of Nature, rendered productive by their labours, the traders can only pay out what they have been paid. "ils sont pavés pour paver." The difference is between les salariants and les salariés; or in another phrase of which frequent use is made, the unproductive class is the classe stipendiée it is kept and maintained by the other 2

With regard to the industrial and manufacturing classes the argument is similar, though inevitably it seems more forced and unnatural. The common value of a commodity is merely the value of the original material and of the

¹ Du Commerce, p 458 ² Ibid , p 471.

cost of maintenance of the worker during the time he has been engaged 1 If the work of the artisan were " productive," it would be desirable to increase the amount of work necessary for a job 2 In fact, however, such labour cannot increase the wealth which the nation spends annually, because the labour is limited by the amount of this wealth, and this can only be increased by agriculture, and in no way by the expense involved in the work of the artisans (a question-begging argument, but he means for what it is worth, that to increase the number of cobblers, e.g., there must first be an increase in the number of cow hides) 3 The product of the artisan then is merely worth the expense involved, he merely acquires a right to share in the consumption of wealth produced by the cultivator But in contradistinction to agriculture, there is no surplus In his own language, which happens to be correct, the worker speaks of "earning his keep". he does not say that he produces it. "il dit qu'il gagne sa subsistance, et ne dit pas qu'il la produit "4 Thus by arguments which appear thin and unconvincing, as is inevitable in the defender of a confused cause, Quesnay demonstrated that the artisan, the industrial worker, is an unproductive labourer 5

The distinction between productive and unproductive labour was the most tiresome of the legacies which the

Sur les Travaux des Artisans, p 537

^{**}Ibid. p. 532 As this is of the essence of the argument the exact words may be given "Ces travaux ne peuvent done accrottre les nichesses que la nation dépense annuellement, pusqu'ils sont eux-mêmes limités par la mesure de ces nichesses, qui ne peuvent s'accroître que par les travaux de l'agriculture et non par les dépenses des travaux de artisans"

^{*}Réponse au Memoire de M.H. p. 300 **
Réponse au Memoire de M.H. p. 300 **
refer to the Réponse au Memoire de M.H. pp. 384-395, and the dialogue Sur les Trausus des Arisans, p. 256 et seq. where this point is discussed with much Physiocratic subtlety

Physiocrats bequeathed to the economic world For at least two generations every writer on these matters felt it incumbent upon him to return to the point and to attempt to give some sense to a distinction which in truth had none As expressed by the Physiocrats, the position is clearly untenable. There is no such sharp distinction between agricultural labour and all other occupations as would justify us in classifying the former as productive labourers and all others as unproductive It is a useful. if trite, maxim that production is not completed until the commodity, whatever it be, is in the hands (or on the table) of the consumer, and if we ask for bread, the ploughman, the miller, the baker, and the baker's vanboy (if he be indispensable) all alike minister to our needs What is wanted in this life is the right thing in the right place at the right time, and all who contribute to this so desirable end are economically, on this point at least, doing the same kind of thing Further, the argument that agriculture produces in rent a "produit net," whereas elsewhere there is no surplus, is of course entirely

fallacious But that is a matter for Mr Ricardo to deal with

This may be the place for such notice as is unavoidable of the "Tableau Economique," in its time the crowning achievement of Quesnay and the Physiocratic school, now perhaps better reduced to an embarrassed footnote

acmevement of Quesnay and the Physicataic School, now perhaps better reduced to an embarrassed footnote Despite Dupont's assurance that the Tableau Economique is obscure only to those who are lacking in the power of comprehension, it may be doubted whether it will ever be anything but a vast mystification, a subject to be treated gingerly by commentators, rendered uneasy by the feeling that they do not quite understand what they are talking about The attitude of the Physiocrats towards Quesnay's masterpiece was one of extravagant adoration. In the eves of Mirabeau (the elder) there had been since

						. ?
•	8		9	•		ľ
5	9	٠,	9 7 0	~	0	, ,
· · ·	9 9 9	•	٠		800	(2000 " " " Cotal 2000 " " Cotal 2000 " " Cotal 2000 " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "
	9		•		•	. 3 Tableau avant
,	000	. 8 .	0 2 6			, 8
	•	•	٠		900	Cotal 2000"
	3	'n	ž	3	*	20
	suproduisent met	reprodusent net	raproducent net	reproduisme net	sou surregarder	de s attacher a
	*	į	9	£,	8	A.
		. 5	9 7 0	0 1 3.	0	, §
	•	۰	•	•	008	d'est pas necessa
						~ "

草石

Reproduction of the Tableau Economique from the Element de la Philosophie rurale by the Marquis de Mindeau



the world began three great discoveries—the invention of writing, the invention of money and the Tableau Economique, and though Mirabeau reached the top-notch in adulation, others were not far behind in prophesying that posterity would derive incalculable advantages from Ouesnay's supreme discovery

It is easier to say what are the ideas behind the Tableau Economique than to explain how it gives expression to Firstly, as Dupont remarks, whereas these ideas Economics had hitherto been a conjectural science, subject to inductive reasoning, the Tableau Economique attempted to make Economics an exact science (or rather suggested the possibility of such an attempt being made) More important, the Tableau Economique endeavoured to trace the flow of wealth through a community in terms of Physiogratic doctrine, with the practical end of ascertaining the health of the community. It was thus to be a tool in the hands of the Government, "la boussole du gouvernement des états" Assuming that the "produit net" is 100 per cent of the avances annuelles, ie that 2,000 livres disbursed by the productive classes will yield 2,000 livres to the proprietors after all expenses are met, the problem is to trace the subsequent history of these 2,000 livres Half (Quesnay assumes) will go back to the productive classes, and this sum of 1,000 livres will again yield a "produit net" of 1,000 livres, the other half will go to the sterile classes, who, however, will pay back a half (500 livres) to the producers, and so we proceed by what Quesnay calls the "ziczac," dividing by two as we go along

In the case postulated by Quesnay there is equilibrium at the end of the proceedings, here we have a state in which there is neither increase nor decay. If an undue proportion of the national revenue is diverted to the right (i.e. to the unproductive classes) an unhealthy condition

arises and the State is threatened with decline. Though recognizing that the Tableau would vary according to the different data and assumptions, and that "a different case would lead to a different result,"1 no attempt seems to have been made (and probably none could be made) to apply the Tableau to the special circumstances of any community If the Tableau was an outil, as the Physiocrats were given to declaring, it was one which the Physiocrats made no attempt to use The idea that wealth flowed through a community, and that the health of the community depended on how it flowed, and might be imperilled by an undue diversion in one direction, was however, a useful conception Here alone is the significance of the Tableau Economique, otherwise, apart from its interest to the economic antiquarian, a detailed study of the "ziczac" is but an example of unproductive labour

On this general view of the relation between different classes of society, the encouragement of agriculture

becomes the chief article of policy of the Physiocrats For agriculture to be profitably pursued, it is essential, in Quesnay's eyes, that it be conducted on a large scale. This is the constantly recurring theme of his first two articles on Fermiers and Grans Indeed it is not too much to say that he aims at giving agriculture something of an industrial form. He constantly emphasizes the need of capital in agriculture, the importance of the rich "fermier". The "fermier" is not to be a labourer who himself tills the ground, he is to be an "entrepreneur qui gouverne". So, negatively, manufactures are not to be encouraged at the expense of agriculture. Above all, there is for Quesnay a wisdom in high agricultural prices, it is fatal to seek to encourage manufactures through

¹ Analyse du Tableau Economique, pp. 311, 316

¹ Grains, p 219

lowering the price of the means of subsistence ¹ His detailed observations regarding agriculture are, however, of his time, and hardly concern us here

It is, however, when Ouesnay advocates Free Trade and analyses the assumptions of the mercantilists, that he most clearly speaks with the voice of Adam Smith Money is supposed to be wealth, because with money one can buy what one has need of But money is not obtained for nothing, it also costs as much as it is worth to the buyer, and nations will always be able to obtain as much money as they require, if they have things to give in exchange for it Wealth consists in the sum total of things necessary for life and in the annual reproduction of these things, and the quantity of money may diminish without these things being affected, since in a rich country, with freedom of commerce, there are means of supplying the place of money The opulence of a state must not therefore be judged by the greater or less supply of money it possesses. Money is therefore but a richesse sterile, the only utility of which consists in its employment in effecting purchases and sales 2 Further, buying and selling are but two aspects of the same operation, every purchase is a sale, and every sale is a purchase. It follows that the central idea of the mercantilists, that of a nation selling more than it buys, is not merely erroneous but absurd "Instead of saying that you wish our sales to exceed our purchases, which is physically impossible, you ought merely to say that

² See in particular the seventh observation on the standyst, p 324, and the note on the thirteenth of the Maximus Genérales.

pp 347-348

¹ See Maximes du Gouvernement Economique (No 9), attached to the article on Grains, p 327, also Fermiers, p 180 Quesnay epigrammatically sums up his position in the eighteenth of the Maximes Genérales — Abondance et non-valeur n'est pas nichesse Disette et cherté est mistre Abondance et charté est opulence, p 335 — 156 in particular the seventh observation en particular de la company of the seventh observation en particular de la company of the seventh observation en particular de la company of the seventh observation en particular de la company of the seventh observation en la company of the seventh obs

you wish to purchase money with your productions."1 In an effective phrase, selling more than is bought is merely a "commerce commence," an incomplete transaction The argument resting on the greater durability of metal is admirably disposed of by a reductio ad absurdum, that if the contention be valid, then in logic it leads to the conclusion that any quantity of the metals, however small (being durable), is worth more than any quantity of commodities however large (being perishable) 3 The doctrine of the balance of trade is thus reduced to "nothing and perhaps less than nothing " Commerce is not to be regarded as a state of war against an enemy, the only interest a nation has is to leave trade entirely free, in order that the greatest possible competition of buyers and sellers may guarantee the highest possible price for the sale of its products and the lowest price for the purchase of what may be necessary 6 Commerce cannot be conducted at the expense of other nations, for a good and a just God has decreed that commerce shall always be "le fruit d'un avantage évidemment réciproque "6 All this and much more may strike the retentive student as strangely familiar, and as an echo of certain classic passages in the fourth book of Adam Smith

It would, however, be a mistake to consider that the Physiocratic advocacy of Free Trade was rooted in any importance attached to foreign trade as such On the contrary, foreign trade, like all trade, is for the Physiocrats essentially unproductive As Quesnay specifically puts it, it is only possible to buy from the foreigner as much as one sells to him, and the consequence is that in a state of free competition in foreign trade, there can only be an exchange of value for equal value, without

¹ Du Commerce, pp 478, 479.

² Ibid, p 473.

³ Ibid, p 479.

⁴ Ibid, p 479.

⁶ Ibid, p 482.

⁸ Ibid, p 479.

gain or loss on one side or the other 1 Reverting to Quesnay's distinction between the two nations-agricultural and commercial-combined in a mixed kingdom, the expenses of the second, even if necessary, are to be regarded as an "onerous expense," laid on the revenues of the landed proprietor 2 Foreign trade is thus primarily a liability rather than an asset The whole tendency of Physiocratic thought is to depreciate the importance of foreign trade It is above all by the condition of internal commerce that the wealth of a country must be judged. a nation that is making the best use of its soil and its men has no occasion to be envious of the commerce of its neighbours 3 It is curious that the first school in modern times to proclaim Free Trade did so, not from any belief in the advantages of foreign trade, but on general principles of a quasi-philosophical and religious nature

Quesnay's peculiar views with regard to agriculture as the only productive activity and the only source of the national revenue lead to certain important conclusions in the field of taxation Taxation also is subject to laws and immovable rules, capable of rigorous demonstration 4 Here it is only possible to note two of Quesnay's fundamental points The first (a counsel of perfection) is that the State should avoid loans: the statesman should hope for resources in special emergencies from the prosperity of the nation, and not from the credit of the financiers The objection to loans is primarily that they create a "traffic in finances," from which spring "fortunes pécuniaires" and "rentes financières" Sterile fortunes, withdrawing money from agriculture, are thus created (the correspond-

¹ Fifth observation on the Analyse, p 321

² Ibid, p 321 ³ Nos 12 and 13 of the Maximes, attached to Grains, p. 239. * Despotisme de la Chine, chap 8, sec 7, p 645

ing Tableau Économique would show a drain to the right to the unproductive classes) Moreover such "pecuniary fortunes" know no king nor country In more modern language, loans lead to a rentier class operating in an international field of investment.

The second point is more fundamental Since there is only one source of national wealth, all taxation must ultimately fall there, and therefore all taxation should be imposed at once where the burden will ultimately fall Ouesnay, in short, advocates a single direct tax falling on the "produit net" In this he is wholly logical on Physiocratic assumptions Sooner or later a tax is bound to be shifted on to the "produit net," if the "produit net" is what Ouesnay considers he has shown it to be, and therefore the simplest and least onerous way of raising a revenue is to divert directly to the State a part of what is the only real national revenue Quesnay in various places devotes considerable attention to proving the inconvenience and loss, and the detriment to the State which would result from any other method of taxation, and in particular, in The Second Economic Problem, he proves (at least to his own satisfaction) that though the landowner might imagine that he would be advantaged by some other form of taxation, yet in the end, owing to the shifting of the tax, the burden-and moreover an increased burden, owing to the mevitable incidental frictions and losses-will fall upon him It is interesting to note, in passing, that in the course of his discussion of the incidence of taxation. Quesnay enunciates what a later generation has come to know as the Iron Law of Wages. "Wages, and in consequence the enjoyments which wage-earners can obtain, are fixed and reduced to the lowest level by the extreme competition which is among them."2

¹ Nos 28, 29, 30 of the Maximes Générales, p 337.
2 Second Problème Économique, p 706

Turgot

Of the other members of the Physiocratic school, one only requires mention in such an elementary discussion as this, partly because in some respects he stood slightly apart from the main body of Physiocrats, partly because the lustre of his great name has given dignity to the school from which he drew his inspiration Moreover, his high office in the State, held for too brief a period, gave him an opportunity of revealing Physiocracy in action This is not the place to discuss the life and achievement of Anne-Robert-Jacques Turgot1 (1727-1781), who, as Intendant of Limoges and later Comptroller-General (August, 1774-May, 1776), did what he might to put things in order. His achievements were indeed reversed on his fall from office, but his admirers may, and do. claim that though in his life his greatest works were nullified, he triumphed at the Revolution and dominated the nineteenth century

Turgot's doctrine is to be found primarily in two small tracts, the Eloge de Gournay and the Réflexions sur la Formation et la Distribution des Richesses, in addition Turgot had the pleasant habit of infusing statements of economic doctrine into his official and state documents, and from this point of view such edicts as those suppressing the corvetes and the jurandes have an economic as well as an historic interest. The Eloge de Gournay is a pious appreciation of one of the earliest of the Physiocrats who, perhaps even more than Quesnay, influenced

¹ Born at Pars in 1727, pinor of the Sorbonne in 1749 entered the magistracy and rose to be Intendant of Limoges Called to Pars by Louis XVI in 1774 and made Secretary of State for the Navy, and soon afterwards Comptroller-General and Minister of Finance His reforms aroused opposition, and he was dismissed in 1776

Turgot's development While purporting to be a statement of Gournay's views, it is clear that Turgot is also expressing his own The Eloge, in fact, is a rather extreme statement of lassex-fairs, along lines which later developed into an orthodoxy Government restraints and interference, whereby towns come to regard each other as mutual enemies, are treated as "remnants of Gothic barbarism" The new system of M Gournay had rested on the maxim that "in general every man knows his own interest better than another man to whom that interest is entirely indifferent " The State is interested in commerce in two ways (I) it is interested in seeing that no one can do to another any considerable wrong, against which the sufferer cannot guarantee himself, and (2) it is interested in the mass of the wealth of the State being as large as The first of these objects requires that the Government should protect the natural liberty which the buver has to buy and the seller to sell Freedom of competition among buyers and sellers is a sufficient guarantee against any abuse, complete liberty in this respect can alone assure the seller a price capable of encouraging production, and give the buyer the best wares at the lowest price To go beyond this and to seek to prevent anyone from ever being defrauded is to assume the obligation of providing pads for all the children who might fall (de fournir des bourrelets à tous les enfants qui pourraient tomber), it is (since all regulations are onerous in execution) to impose on commerce and on the nation a heavy tax in order to dispense a small number of lazy people from the trouble of learning how not to be cheated.

A consideration of the second point leads to the same conclusion Qn familiar Smithian lines, the wealth of the nation, being but the produce of the soil and the industry of its inhabitants, will be greatest when the produce of

each acre of land and of the mdustry of each individual is carried to the highest possible point. But indeed it requires no proof that each individual is the only capable judge of the employment of his resources, he alone has the necessary local knowledge and experience. It follows, therefore, on all grounds, that the interest of the individual, who is left free to pursue that interest, "will more surely produce the general good than the operations of the Government, which are always at fault and necessarily directed by a vague and uncertain theory"

This is sturdy and uncompromising lassez-laire doctrine, and even the words "in general," in the fundamental formula regarding enlightened self-interest, leave but a small opening for retireat. For the rest, the Eloge contains familiar Physiocratic doctrine to the effect at "l'agriculture, animee par le commerce" (the modification is significant), is the source of all revenues and that all taxes are paid by the proprietor. Interesting also, in view of Turgot's later edicts, is the statement that what Gournay most condemned in the system which he attacked was that "it always favoured the rich and the idle section of society to the prejudice of the poor and labouring sections."

The Reflexions are arranged in a hundred short paragraphs, and may be taken as a summarized statement of economic doctrine, as apprehended by one Physiocrat In this brief treatise—written, it is said, for the instruction of two Chinese students—there are many acute and ingenious observations embedded in a dogmatic statement of the more untenable portions of Physiocrafic theory. The superiority of agriculture is here in its full glory, and the iron law of wages, as applied to the worker who has only his arms and his industry, is one of the consequences of this superiority. The simple labourer is beaten down in his negotiations with a master who has a choice among

many workers 1 It is different with the worker on the soil "Nature does not bargain with him to oblige him to be content with the absolutely necessary "2 The happy position of the agriculturist is that he receives, over and above his subsistence, a "richesse independente et disponible" which he has not bought and which he sells The land is therefore the unique source of all wealth, and that part which it gives as a pure gift (en pur don) is the net product There is something excessively naive in this touching faith in the bounty of Nature which few farmers -at least in Buchan-could share Of other points in the Réflexions, it must suffice to note Turgot's zealous plea for the abolition of all restraints on the fixing of the rate of interest, the payment of interest, being to the advantage of both parties concerned, should be determined solely by the course of trade 3 On this point, which Turgot also discusses elsewhere, he reveals the logic of laissez-faire in its most cogent form

Reference has been made to the importance, economically, of some of the State documents for which Turgot was responsible. The edict for the suppression of the furandes (i.e., for the abolition of all restraints on the freedom of work) may be taken as an example of Turgot's reforming zeal, and of the high grounds of economic policy on which his action was based "Le droit de travailler" which this edict established is an awkward phrase to translate, if one would avoid ambiguity Louis Blanc criticized Turgot because in proclaiming "le droit de travailler," he had in no wise recognized "le droit at travailler," What the edict did was to abolish the privilege

¹ Turgot's statement is not infrequently quoted, and is almost classical "En tout genre de travail il dort arriver et il arrive en effet que le saiare de l'ouvrier se borne à ce qui lui est nécesaire pour lui procurer sa subsistance" Réfiesions, section 6 ² Ibid, section 7

^{*} Ibid , sections 71-75

which conferred on some a monopoly of certain kinds of work, so that the right to engage in such work was preserved for a favoured section of the community. Here also what was aimed at was an enlargement of the liberty of enterprise, so that all the avenues of life should be open to everyone. This liberty, as indeed it ought to be on laussez-jare principles, is for Turgot the most fundamental of all rights.

"Dieu, en donnant à l'homme des besoins, en lui rendant nécessaire la ressource du travail, a fait du droit de travailler la propriété de tout homme, et cette propriété est la première, la plus sacrée et la plus imprescriptible de toutes"

The object of this step was indeed to assure to commerce and industry "the entire liberty and the full competute which they ought to enjoy" It is perhaps worthy of notice, in view of criticisms much later, when another age had brought other problems, that the fourteenth article of the edict forbade all and sundry, "maltres, compagnons, ouvriers et apprentis" to form any manner of association or assembly on any pretext whatever Here, if one chooses, expression is given to the view that the maintenance of full freedom requires the demail of the right of association. But it is rather unfair to entangle Turgot in the controversies of the nineteenth and the twentieth centuries; he might with reason plead that he wasn't there.

"This system, with all its imperfections, is perhaps the nearest approximation to the truth that has yet been published upon the subject of political economy." Thus Adam Smith on his immediate forerunners. The achievement of the Physiocrats was indeed remarkable. Even in these days when lassez-faire no longer commands the reverence it once did, it may be agreed that in reaction against the mercantilists the doctrine of laisvez-faire had

to be stated On all this side of the question the Physiocrats were courageous champions of freedom, and expressed the central truths of Adam Smith's doctrine with an enthusiasm, a vigour and a logic unexcelled even by the economist of Kirkcaldy This is their imperishable memorial. Their "imperfections" are too obvious to require disentanglement and comment They were

right, particularly in the circumstances of their time, in emphasizing the importance of agriculture, they were wrong in seeking to prove the superiority of agriculture by abstract reasoning, based on an untenable distinction between productive and unproductive labour From this all their errors flowed The whole doctrine of the net product, which makes rent a token of the bounty of nature, an extra douceur or tip given to those who work the soil, is tinged with eccentricity, and the consequent advocacy of the single tax is not so much a serious contribution to the science of finance as an exercise in logic, being indeed a perfectly valid inference from Physiocratic assumptions These embroidenes, with the fantastic Tableau Economique, add a piquant interest to the Physiocrats, and have been a godsend to countless

examiners, but that which is fundamental is their anticipation of Adam Smith Even an admirer of Smith may have no scruple in finding in the Physiocratic group the

real beginnings of modern economics.

CHAPTER V

ADAM SMITH

Hume

On the way to Adam Smith, it is only decent to salute one whose great distinction in other fields seems to be the chief justification for the rather meagre treatment which he generally receives when discussed as an economist David Hume¹ (1711-1776) not been a pre-eminent philosopher, he would have ranked as an eminent economist A perusal of his essays underlines, even more emphatically than a plunge into the Physiocratic morass, the extent to which Adam Smith was indebted to his forerunners That adequate justice has seldom been done to Hume is perhaps also in part due to the fact that he never ventures on a comprehensive treatment of any economic topic, rather is he a gentlemanly essayist who, with a dignity only attainable in the eighteenth century, combines grace, learning and profundity in discoursing of many subjects, among which (on a liberal estimate) some ten may be deemed to be economic in character. Of these, the Essavs Of Commerce, Of Money, Of the Balance of Trade, Of the Jealousy of Trade are specially noticeable as embodying on many points the essentials of Smith's

¹ Born in Edinburgh in 1711 In the main a student, with visits to the Continent as a tutor after the manner of the times Later (1752) Librarian to the Faculty of Advocates, Edinburgh

criticism of the mercantilists. To a large extent his argument is directed to proving, as against the mercantilists, the relative unimportance of gold and silver in the national economy Taking any kingdom by itself, "the greater or less plenty of money is of no consequence." Primarily, money is a method of rating or estimating labour and commodities, if in greater plenty, a greater quantity will be required to represent the same quantity of goods, the consequent change is analogous to the change springing from the adoption of a new method of notation The increasing quantity of gold and silver can only be favourable to industry in the interval between the acquisition of money and the subsequent rise of prices The secret of prosperity lies elsewhere "the want of money can never injure any state within itself, for men and commodities are the real strength of any community "1

In the essay Of the Balance of Trade, the same ideas are applied to the central doctrine of the mercantilists The fear that a country may lose its supply of precious metals is a groundless apprehension "L should as soon dread," says Hume, "that all our springs and rivers should be exhausted, as that money should abandon a kingdom where there are people and industry " Assuming a people adequately supplied with industry, they will get the money they require, and he tests this doctrine by two extreme hypotheses Imagine four-fifths of the country's money annihilated in one night, what would be the consequence? The price of all labour and of all commodities would at once sink to a mediaeval level What nation would then dispute with us in any foreign market? Consequently in how short a time would we not get back the money which we had lost? Prices would rise once more to the level prevailing in neighbouring countries, and we should lose the advantage of cheapness of labour and of commodities. Take the converse hypothesis and suppose that our supply of gold and silver had increased five-fold over night. Prices would rise to so exorbitant a height that no neighbouring country could afford to buy from us, and in spite of all laws to the contrary, their commodities." would be run in upon us, and our money flow out." And the conclusion of the argument is that

"a government has great reason to preserve with care its people and its manufactures
Its money, it may safely trust to the course of human affairs, without fear or jealousy"

A further consequence is that no nation has any reason to be envious of the prosperity of another, on the contrary, it is only if our neighbours are prosperous that we can expect them to be good customers and so contribute to our own prosperity If we succeeded in reducing our neighbours to a condition of sloth and ignorance, we should ourselves suffer and should soon fall into the same abject condition Hume's protest on this point is characteristic, and has frequently been quoted

"I shall therefore venture to acknowledge, that, not only as a man, but as a British subject, I pray for the flourishing commerce of Germany, Spain, Italy and even France itself"

Of Smith's immediate predecessors, Hume is the most considerable. He is introduced here, however, not that he may be adequately discussed, but because no account of Smith can claim to be fair or proportioned if it fails to disclose Hume in the background.

¹ Of the Jealousy of Trade

Adam Smith

Adam Smith1 (1723-1790), especially for us in this country, occupies so central a place in the history of Political Economy, and has become popularly so much of a legend, that the prudent mariner hesitates to embark on so vast an ocean Here, if anywhere, discussion in a few pages is bound to be inadequate, for the influence of Smith and the reactions against Smith, extend throughout the whole subsequent history of economic doctrine. There is at least this excuse for the lingering tendency to regard "Adam Smith" and "Political Economy" as terms which mutually exhaust each other Yet both aspects of the legend are wholly fallacious Adam Smith was not the founder, the inventor, or the discoverer of Political Economy That he did so much was entirely due to the fact that so much had been done before him. In no sense was he a pioneer The mercantilists, doubtless with practical obsessions, had discussed all manner of economic problems The Physiocrats had jointly come very near to giving a systematic account of the economic process, though they had embellished it with strange frills Quite apart from Adam Smith it was an age of much economic speculation, and doubtless out of the Physiocrats, David Hume and some others, the enterprising student could reconstruct a large part of The Wealth of Nations Smith throughout, in short, was discussing problems which had already been the subject of discussion The other aspect of the legend which assumes that Adam Smith found Political Economy brick (if so far advanced) and left it

¹ Born at Kirkcaldy, 1723 Educated at Glasgow and Oxford Professor of Logic and later of Moral Philosophy in Glasgow, 1751-1763 Thereafter travelling tutor to the Duke of Buccleuch Returned to Kirkcaldy 1767 Wealth of Nations published 1776 Commissioner of Customs in Edunburgh from 1778 until his death in 1790 On the philosophic side of his activities, wrote The Theory of Moral Sentiments'.

marble, is equally wide of the mark The Wealth of Nations, to speak candidly of the achievement of the greatest of Scotsmen, is a work which singularly abounds in obvious defects Those who, like Say, speak of it as a "vast chaos" may be harsh in their judgment, but it is certainly a disorderly book, in which the sequence of thought is successfully concealed behind lengthy digressions Moreover, on essential points, Adam Smith, for all his acuteness, is sometimes singularly confused, and on rare occasions as in the discussion of value—the reader may even suspect that Smith is not clear in his own mind as to which of two subjects he is discussing. In the same way, though this detect is sometimes a ment, he develops in one and the same chapter contradictory lines of thought, so that he hints at alternative doctrines without ever resolving, or apparently even being conscious of, the inherent contradictions Political Economy, as it left the hands of Adam Smith, was by no means a complete and rounded system of doctrine Like all the other works of frail man, it has its errors, its gaps, its ambiguities and its bias.

Yet, having said so much to placate the devil, it remains true that Adam Smith's name is incomparably the greatest in the history of economic thought Himself a groper, he at least marked out the ground He looked at things comprehensively, as none of his predecessors had done, and defective as his own arrangement may be, it is nevertheless true that his analysis, refined and made systematic by his followers, has to a large extent furnished the plan according to which all later economic thought has proceeded Before Adam Smith there had been much economic discussion, with him we reach the stage of discussing economics.

Unfortunately Adam Smith is difficult to apprehend in his totality, for the perverse reason that he is in parts so

regrettably well known are known even to those who make no profession of economic knowledge. His chapters on division of labour (above all as represented in the manufacture of pins), his discussion of the causes of different rates of remuneration in different employments, his canonis of taxation and the more purple passages in his polemic against the mercan-

thists—these are tit-bits which are not to be escaped even by those who foreswear economic study. But precisely this familiarity with Adam Smith's teaching on certain specific points makes it more difficult to grasp the sum and substance of his doctrines viewed as a whole, and the nature and extent of his influence on the development of economic doctrine. Instead of discussing airly certain

main endeavour to obtain a compendious view of *The Wealth of Nations* as a whole, so that the more familiar portions may be seen in their proper relationship to the whole structure

But before attempting to assess his doctrine, a few

aspects of Adam Smith, this chapter will therefore in the

sentences may be devoted to his more general standpoint. The influences producing Adam Smith were many, and need not detain us here Something may be allowed to his teacher, Hutcheson, in his emphasis on what is "natural", something—since all the critics agree and it is therefore the correct thing to say—to Mandeville and his paradoxical contention that private "vices" (private "interests" would be a saner word) may tend to the

is therefore the correct thing to say to manneyine and his paradoxical contention that private "vices" (private "interests" would be a saner word) may tend to the public good, something may be allowed to his familiar intercourse with the Physiocrats, although it is now certain that the main scheme of his thought was developed before he met the leaders of that school. It is enough that Adam Smith shared with the Physiocrats, and with other aspects of eighteenth century thought, the belief in a natural order. This belief, in Adam Smith's case, was founded

in ideas which were partly philosophical and partly religious The natural order implied the removal of restrictions of all kinds, and Smith is therefore a passionate (though not a dogmatic or doctrinaire) advocate of liberty But the removal of restrictions will not. in his view, lead to an economic chaos, on the contrary, only then will the natural order be able to function In such a natural state the divinely appointed impulse which keeps the machine going is a psychological instinct, in short the interest which each man has in himself and in his own. Herein lies the a brion element in Adam Smith, there is a natural order. appointed by a wise Providence in which self-interest will supply the necessary drive to make the machine go, and will also so act as to produce equilibrium between contending forces This leads to what is in the main a wholly optimistic view of a world in which a beneficent delty has arranged that progress and harmony shall result from the free-play of instincts which are, frankly, self-centred and self-concerned But alongside this a priori element, there is another aspect of Adam Smith, in virtue of which he is a hard realist, at times indeed a realist with a dash of cynicism With whatever philosophic bias Adam Smith approached his problems, his feet were always firmly planted on the ground He was no amateur in mundane affairs, and consequently his philosophical preconceptions never blind him to the possible expediency of waiving the application of those inferences which would seem to be implicit in his starting point. So also, though Adam Smith is undoubtedly optimistic in his general outlook, he is far from thinking that all's right with the world when it comes to particular cases

For the eighteenth century one method of stating the economic problem was to discover and lay bare the source of wealth The mercantilists, speaking broadly, had

attributed the increase of the nation's wealth to a favourable balance of trade, the Physiocrats had found that all wealth comes from agriculture, and that all other occupations are "unproductive" Adam Smith's opening sentence is significant of his whole position "The annual labour of every nation is the fund which originally supplies it with all the necessaries and conveniences of life which it annually consumes "1 The source of all wealth is thus found in labour, and to this is due the rather misleading practice, current on the Continent, of referring to Smith's system (or Smithianismus) as the industrial system It is misleading because Adam Smith in fact wrote before the Industrial Revolution and is therefore in no wise its exponent or apologist, as is sometimes assumed, he is, moreover, much more favourable to agriculture and much more critical of the industrial and commercial interests than is frequently realized Increase of wealth, on Smith's initial assumption, will therefore depend on the skill, dexterity and judgment with which labour is applied. It is thus that Smith is led directly in the first chapter of the first book to expound the principle of division of labour. The principle of division of labour is clearly in no sense new. It lay at the root of Plato's ideal state. Xenophon, as we have seen, knew all about it, and the proverbial wisdom of all nations has rendered homage to the essential part of the doctrine Yet popular opinion is right in attaching peculiar importance to Smith's exposition For here, division of labour is placed in the forefront as the cardinal fact of economic life The reaction of this on Smith's doctrine is enormous The influence of this fundamental conception in two directions may be indicated first place, division of labour postulates exchange later,

and in fact Smith, from his starting point, is led at the

next stage to consider money and value It has been said that the weakness of the Physiocrats is that they had no theory of value, Smith's point of departure inevitably impelled him to a consideration of this problem. The the second place, the emphasis Taid on division of fabour and its inevitable concomitant, the subsequent exchange of productis, led Smith to look on society as a vast concourse of people held together by the exchanges effected between them, and also to regard wealth as increased by anything tending to increase these exchangeable values. Here lies the root of much German criticism of Smith's thought

The significance of the opening chapters therefore lies not so much in what Adam Smith says with regard to division of labour as in the central and dominating place which it occupies in his theory That division of labour leads to increased output, that its advantages spring from increased dexterity, from economy of time, from the encouragement it gives to inventions are not, in themselves, epoch-making discoveries 1 Even the further celebrated dictum, that division of labour is limited by the extent of the market,2 is, properly viewed, little more than a truism, for the extent to which labour can be divided will clearly depend on the amount of work to be done or, otherwise expressed, the extent of the market His explanation of the effects of division of labour is more satisfactory than his account of the principle which gives It is not, he says, the result of human occasion to it wisdom, aiming at increased opulence
It springs from a natural tendency to truck or barter, as though the mere act of exchange was in itself one of the joys of life 3 But having made this lapse into the comparatively absurd, Smith at once and more reasonably makes division of labour the expression of man's continual need for the

¹ Ibid , Book I, chap I ² Ibid , Book I, chap 3 ³ Ibid , Book I, chap 2

co-operation and assistance of his fellows "Man has almost constant occasion for the help of his brethren, and it is in vain for him to expect it from their benevolence only" And the true Smith further adds "It is not from the benevolence of the butcher, the brewer, or the baker, that we expect our dinner, but from their regard to their own interest" On this view each one, labouring to satisfy the needs of others, secures at the same time that others will supply his wants Society is held together by exchanges which are prompted by self-interest, and which are founded on division of labour

Having thus disposed of division of labour in the first three chapters, Smith proceeds, in the fourth, to discuss the origins of money, the need for which he makes one of the consequences of the rise of different occupations among men "Every man becomes in some measure a merchant," and therefore, in effect, a currency is required to serve as "the universal instrument of commerce" Thus emerges the idea of "relative or exchangeable value of goods," and we arrive at that celebrated, almost notorious, paragraph in which Smith savs that value has two different meanings, sometimes expressing the utility of a particular object, and sometimes its power of purchasing other commodities-the former being "value-in-use," the latter "value-inexchange" He correctly notes the paradox of value, citing the instances of water and diamonds to show that a high value in use may be combined with little or no value in exchange, and conversely Here is the fruitful source of much discussion, above all when the Austrians take the boards But as for Adam Smith, in the immortal words of Mr Hubert Phillips,

> The wily bird Had never heard Of marginal utility.

Enough at this stage to note that Smith, having stared "value-in-use" in the face, proceeds to consider value-in-exchange

The next three chapters, so far as subject matter is concerned, logically develop the conception of exchangeable value thus arrived at In the first place, Adam Smith is concerned (in chap 5) to consider what is the "real measure" of this exchangeable value. This is one of the most obscure chapters in Smith, and it is difficult to resist the impression that in Smith's mind two entirely different questions were confused. At times he seems to be discussing what is the determinant of value, again he seems to be considering what, in this wayward, changeable world, is the best, because the most stable, measure of value Conceivably the value of my fountain pen may be determined by the amount of labour that went to its making, and if it costs ios, that may be because ios worth of labour, however calculated, is incorporated in it That is one question, but witnessing the oscillation in the value of 10s, calculated in 1800, 1800, 1920, to go no further, I may feel that I am not very much further on when I say that my pen is worth ros But if to-day a charwoman will work for 5s a day, I may say that my pen is worth two days' work of a charwoman. In that case I should be regarding labour as a better measure of the value of my pen than its gold price To consider what is the determinant of value, and what is the ideal measure of value are indeed two questions, and in the main it is the latter which Smith is after Without descending into minute points of textual criticism, the main conclusions in this important chapter may be indicated Perhaps the most fundamental passage is in the opening statement:

"The value of any commodity, therefore, to the person who possesses it, and who means not to use or consume it himself, but to exchange it for other commodities, is

equal to the quantity of labour which it enables him to purchase or command Labour, therefore, is the real measure of the exchangeable value of all commodities"

In short, the value of anything to a possessor who wants to exchange it, is best measured by the quantity of labour which its selling price will secure.

But though labour in this sense is the real measure of the exchangeable value of commodities, their value is in general otherwise estimated, from motives of convenience and custom they are in fact estimated in money whereas gold and silver vary in value, "equal quantities of labour, at all times and places, may be said to be of equal value to the labourer" Presumably he means that an aching back to Adam, after his expulsion from the garden of Eden, is equivalent to the aching back of a navvy taking up Regent Street With one other possible standard Smith toys for a moment quantities of corn, representing the subsistence of the labourer, will answer the purpose, probably better than any other commodity, and indeed, if we assume a generally operative subsistence law of wages and a uniformity in the requirements of life, this comes to pretty much the same standard as labour The final conclusion is that "labour, therefore, it appears evidently, is the only universal, as well as the only accurate, measure of value, or the only standard by which we can compare the values of different commodities, at all times, and at all places" From century to century, corn is a better standard than silver, from year to year, silver is a better measure than corn The whole discussion is befogging, involving not merely wholly unwarranted assumptions about the stability of the value of labour, but also a semblance of circular reasoning. The important point, however, is that Smith's conclusion primarily relates to labour regarded as the best measure of value.

and that, apart from certain ambiguities of expression. the argument is not directed, here at least, to proving that labour is the determinant of value.

In the following chapter (Book I, chap 6) Smith proceeds to consider the component parts of the price of commodities In an "early and rude state of society," before the accumulation of stock (capital) and the appropriation of land, he clearly now makes labour both the determinant and the measure of value At this stage the whole produce of labour belongs to the labourer, and (a different order of idea) "the quantity of labour commonly employed in acquiring or producing any commodity is the only circumstance which can regulate the quantity of labour which it ought commonly to exchange for " This, however, is only in the early and rude state of society When capital accumulates, over and above the price of materials and the wages of the workmen, there must be something for the profits of the undertaker. Although Adam Smith regards the profit of stock as merely wages for a particular form of labour, they are, he contends, regulated on wholly different principles from ordinary wages At this stage neither does the whole produce belong to labour, nor is labour any longer specifically the determinant of value Lastly, a third element arises with the appropriation of land landlords, like all other men, love to reap where they never sowed, and demand a rent even for its natural produce " Smith, whose views on rent were never clear, here regards it, it will be observed, as mere extortion Thus we have the very familiar tripartite division whereby in all prices there is an element of rent, of wages and of profits, corresponding to the great trinity, Land, Labour and Capital

But how does this work out in practice? The following chapter (No 7) "Of the Natural and Market Prices of

Commodities," contains Smith's answers He postulates (it is largely an assumption) in each society an ordinary or average rate of wages, of profit and of rent These ordinary or average rates he calls the "natural rates" (a horrible question-begging phrase) at the time and place in which they prevail Corresponding to this is the "natural price" of a commodity, which is arrived at when it vields the natural rates of wages, profit and rent In that case the commodity is sold "precisely for what it is worth," an expression which seems to imply that value is an objective reality, capable of being determined In short the natural (or shall we say "normal"?) price represents in its essence a "cost-ofproduction "theory of value. The natural price is in its nature an ideal, opposed to it, is the actual or market price which is arrived at by conditions of demand and supply and the competition among buyers and sellers As a result of this competition, the natural price will be the central price to which prices will continually gravitate. but in certain exceptional cases the market price may for a long period of time be above the natural price such cases he notes what we should now call quasi-rents. as represented by increased demand where "secrets in manufactures" are for a time successfully kept, cases where conditions of production are limited, but the demand is great, as in the case of wines requiring a peculiar soil and situation, and also the cases of monopoly.

under the influence of competition it constantly tends towards the cost of production, apart from exceptional cases where the seller is favourably situated Having thus deduced the contributory factors of

where the price is "the highest that can be got " Such, then, is Smith's theory of value In short, the ideal measure of value is labour at any given moment value is determined by conditions of demand and supply, but Labour, Capital and Land, Smith in the remaining chapters of the first book proceeds to consider how the corresponding revenues, that is to say wages, profits and rent, are determined. The eighth chapter, dealing with wages, is an excellent example both of the strength and (if one so chooses) the weakness of Smith. It may be suggested that his arguments lead to no definite conclusion with regard to a tenable theory of wages, on the other hand he suggests many trains of thought, and there are indeed few subsequent theories of wages which cannot appeal for support to some passage in this chapter.

The chapter opens with the doctrine that "the produce of labour constitutes the natural recompense or wages of labour "-a phrase again embodying the unfortunate word " natural" In the original state of society. Smith says, "the whole produce of labour belongs to the labourer" Here at the outset, if one cares, is high sanction for the doctrine of the "right to the whole produce of labour," which distinguishes a large part of nineteenth century Socialist theory Such a position, however, cannot survive the appropriation of land and the accumulation of capital Somewhat shifting his ground. Smith next outlines a theory of wages resting on the bargaining strength of employers and workers-in effect demand and supply as applied to labour Wages depend upon a contract between workmen who desire to get as much, and masters who desire to give as little as Hence there will be a tendency to combine on both sides, but Smith has no doubts on which side the advantage will lie. Masters are few and can combine easily, whereas combinations of workmen are prohibited Masters can hold out longer Despite appearances, \ " masters are always and everywhere in a sort of tacit, but constant and uniform, combination, not to raise the wages of labour above their actual rate " In every sense

the master is in a strong position, while the workmen seldom derive any advantage from their "tumultious combinations" Wages, then, depend on a bargain, but all the bargaining strength is on one side There is, however, a lower limit below which it is impossible to reduce wages for any considerable time. The labourer's wage must be sufficient to support himself and to enable him to bring up a family, if the race of workmen is to be maintained. Here is foreshadowed the Iron Law of Wages, arrived at as the natural outcome of a bargain in which the pull is all on one side.

Proceeding to consider cases where the labourer has an advantage (as in the "scarcity of hands"), we find ourselves quivering on the brink of the wages fund theory "The demand for those who live by wages, it is evident, cannot increase but in proportion to the increase of the funds which are destined to the payment of wages" While the fund for the payment of wages is thus dependent on national wealth; it is not the actual greatness of national wealth that is decisive, so far as wages are concerned, but its "continual increase" It is therefore not necessarily in the richest countries, but in the most thriving, that wages of labour will be highest Here we seem for a moment to be approaching the later produce theories of wages "The liberal reward of labour, therefore, as it is the necessary effect, so it is the natural symptom of increasing national wealth"

Contrariwise, in a stationary state wages may be low The chapter concludes with an extraordinary anticipation of the essence of Malthusianism in its relation to wages:

"Every species of animal naturally multiplies in proportion to the means of their subsistence, and no species can ever multiply beyond it But in civilized society, it is only among the inferior ranks of people that the scantiness of subsistence can set limits to the further multiplication of the human species; and it can do so in no other way than by destroying a great part of the children which their fruitful marriages produce."

High wages, on Malthusian lines, will increase the number of workers. If there is an increased demand for labour, wages must rise to stimulate the production of workers. Wages, in fact, reflect the demand for labour:

"It is in this manner that the demand for men, like that for any other commodity, necessarily regulates the production of men, quickens it when it goes on too slowly, and stops it when it advances too fast."

This sentence summarizes Malthus with a terseness and incisiveness which Malthus himself might have envied

In the main, then, Adam Smith's discussion of wages is interesting because he gropingly anticipates so much of later thought. The doctrine on which he lays most stress is that which makes wages the resultant of the bargaining strength of the two parties to the contract, and the bearing on this of what he calls the progressive, the declining and the stationary state

In turning, in the ninth chapter, to profits, Smith emphasizes that these also, like wages, depend upon the increasing or declining state of wealth of a country, but the relationship is in the opposite direction Increase of stock, by leading to competition, will mean a diminution of the rate of profit An indication of the rate of profit is to be found in the current rate of interest, inasmuch as a high rate of interest betokens that a profitable use can be made of capital. The general theory thus presupposes that wages and profits to move in opposite directions, not because of the exploitations.

tion of labour by capital, but because the increasing abundance of capital on which high wages depend, leads likewise to capital commanding a lower reward. To this general tendency there are two exceptions. In new colonies, wages and profits may be high simultaneously, and in a stationary state, in a country with its full complement of riches, both may be low. So much for wages and profits in general What,

however, will determine their variations as between different employments? This, so far as wages are concerned, leads to one of the most hackneyed passages in Smith (chap 10) Broadly, wages will vary in employments, according to their agreeableness, the ease in learning them, the constancy of employment they offer, the trust imposed, and the probability of success. His treatment of this question is apparently one of those things which age cannot wither, however much custom may stale its finite variety. His observations throughout are just and pertinent, but as a general criticism, it may be suggested that Smith's explanations only account for wage-differences in employments between which labour is easily transferable. He overlooks the fact that the reservoir of labour is not one, but rather that labour consists of many groups which are isolated from each other Smith's five causes rather inadequately explain the difference in remuneration between a fashionable doctor and an unskilled navvy, between a Hollywood star and a professor of Political Economy Of these five causes of variation, it should be added that Smith regards two only as applicable to profits, viz, the agreeableness or disagreeableness of the business and the risk involved Moreover, agreeableness affects the employers far less than the worker Therefore, on general grounds Smith infers that rates of profit will vary less than rates of wages On the general treatment of profits, it is

noteworthy that for Smith, profit is equivalent to the total return to capital, and that therefore interest is merely a constituent element in profits. Otherwise expressed, there is no systematic analysis of gross profits or net profits.

Lastly, there is Rent (chap II), with regard to which Smith remains amphibious His main statement tends to look upon rent as mere extortion. It is "naturally the highest which the tenant can afford to pay in the actual circumstances of the land" What is left over "is evidently the smallest share with which the tenant can content himself, without being a loser, and the landlord seldom means to leave him more" So far from rent representing a reasonable profit on money sunk in improvements, the landlord, he goes on, demands a rent even for unimproved land Ricardo, later, boggling at the "even," would say that this alone was rent. The rent of land is therefore "naturally a monopoly price." Conjointly with this, there is, curiously, the purely Physiocratic doctrine that rent springs from the bounty and generosity of Nature

"Land, in almost any situation, produces a greater quantity of food than what is sufficient to maintain all the labour necessary for bringing it to market, in the most liberal way in which that labour is ever maintained. Something, therefore, always remains for a rent to the landlord"

With all its confused thought, this is precisely the Physiocratic view. Here there is no Ricardian finesse, no subtle juggling with land at the margin.

For a conspectus of the economic views of Adam Smith, the second book dealing with "the nature, accumulation, and employment of Stock" (i e, capital) is of less importance than the first, but here also there are points

which reveal the essential Smith His analysis of the meaning of capital and his account of fixed and circulating capital need not detain us, but his discussion of the accumulation of capital and the distinction between productive and unproductive labour have been the occasion of so much criticism on the part of those writers who are unsympathetic to Smithianismus that these points ought not to be passed over in silence

The distinction between productive and unproductive labour, as has been seen, was an evil legacy of the Physiocrats, but with Smith the words have a new meaning Productive labour is now that labour which adds value to the material worked upon, unproductive labour is labour which, however useful, results in no increase of value In Smith's vigorous language, "the labour of the manufacturer fixes and realizes itself in some particular subject or vendible commodity, which lasts for some time at least after that labour is past "1 It has therefore been productive On the other hand, the services of a menial servant, taking him as an example of unproductive labour, "generally perish in the very instant of their performance "-and forthwith into this galley, along with the menial servant, goes the sovereign, accompanied by all the army, the navy and the civil service, followed by churchmen, lawyers, buffoons and opera dancers All these-and it is a hard savingrender services which perish in the very instant of their performance

But do they? the ordinary man asks Adam Smith's test of productive labour is that the labour should realize itself in a "vendible commodity"—or result in a higher vendibility. On this, as much as anything, is based the charge of materialism which the German idealist school brings against Smith His view of wealth, it is said in

The Wealth of Nations, Book II, chap 3

criticism, is that it consists of things that can be and are brought to the market and sold, and consequently that an increase of wealth occurs whenever there is an increase of exchange values The whole controversy with regard to productive and unproductive labour strikes us nowadays as rather futile-a fertile field for suggesting insoluble conundrums which are sometimes not unamusing things only need be said firstly, there may be all manner of occupations which are unproductive in the Smithian sense, but yet indirectly are of the highest productivity. The doctor who saves the life of a worker should be allowed some share in the worker's later productivity,1 and a nation whose existence has been saved by an army of mercenanes, ought not, in writing their epitaph, to record that their services perished in the instant of their performance Secondly, precisely the same services may or may not result in an increase of exchangeable values. The pastry-cook who produces a pie and sets it in the shop window, may pride himself on the productive labour which he has fixed in a vendible commodity, but what of the cook-general, a menial servant, whose pie perishes in the instant of its production?

The other point in the same chapter which is preeminently characteristic of Smith is his emphasis on parsimony as the basis of accumulation, not merely of private wealth, but also of the wealth of the State. To parsimony, indeed, rather than to industry, the increase of capital must be attributed. Though unacceptable later to Lauderdale, this pean, admirably adapted to further the Savings Movement, is on reasonably orthodox lines Every prodigal is a public enemy, and every frugal man is a benefactor, and reasons for this faith are vigorously annexed. What is important in Smith's exposition is,

¹ As Mill, later, realized, but it only led him deeper into the

however, the manner in which he links this up with his fundamental principle of self-interest, which is, by its impulse to economy, a power capable of saving the State, the errors of government notwithstanding.

"This frigality and good conduct, however, is, upon most occasions, it appears from experience, sufficient to compensate, not only the private prodigality and misconduct of individuals, but the public extravagance of government. The uniform, constant, and uninterrupted effort of every man to better his condition, the principle from which public and national, as well as private opulence is originally derived, is frequently powerful enough to maintain the natural progress of things towards improvement, in spite both of the extravagance of government, and of the greatest errors of administration. Like the unknown principle of animal life, it frequently restores health and vigour to the constitution, in spite not only of the disease, but of the absurd prescriptions of the doctor."

Here self-interest becomes the very life force of society, saving the State in spite of itself ¹

The last chapter in the second book (chap 5) deals with the various ways in which capital may be employed, and their relative advantages from the point of view of giving encouragement and support to productive labour Smith's argument is remarkable, firstly, for the notable

Another passage in the same chapter lays even greater stress on the permanent pervave influence of self-interet, though without linking it up with the public benefits flowing therefrom. But the principle which prompts to save, is the desire of bettering our condition, a desire which, though generally calm and dispassionate, comes with us from the words, and never leaves us till we go into the grave. In the whole interval where separates these two moments, there is scarce perhaps a single separates these two moments, there is scarce perhaps a single satisfied with his situation, as to be without any wish of alteration or unprovement of any kind. An augmentation of fortune is the means by which the greater part of men propose and wish to better their condition, "etc. etc.".

infusion of Physiocratic doctrine, of which Smith was never able wholly to rid himself, and secondly, for its enunciation of lausses-faure doctrine, more fully elaborated at a later stage. The problem is to determine in what order the various possible employments of capital should be ranked, having regard to their comparative advantageousness to society. At the head Smith places agriculture.

"No equal capital puts into motion a greater quantity of productive labour than that of the farmer" But the reasons he assigns are almost exclusively Physiocratic in character, based on the assumption that in agriculture, Nature is herself an active co-operating agency

"Not only his labouring servants, but his labouring cattle, are productive labourers. In agriculture, too, Nature labours along with man, and though her labour costs no expense, its produce has its value, as well as that of the most expensive workmen."

Nature herself, in short, produces something which has a value, it is Turgot's "pure gift" over again. After agriculture, Smith places manufacturers, and in the third place the "trade of exportation" Smith here is at one with the Phystocrattic reversal of the mercantilist scale of values. So far as concems trade, the home trade, from the point of view of employment, is preferable to the foreign trade of consumption, and that again is preferable to the carrying trade. But natural forces "without any constraint or violence" should determine the distribution of capital between the different employments.

"The great object of the political economy of everycountry is to increase the riches and power of that country. It ought, therefore, to give no preference nor superior encouragement to the foreign trade of consumption above the home trade, nor to the carrying trade above either of the other two. It ought neither to force

nor to allure into either of those two channels a greater share of the capital of the country than what would naturally flow into them of its own accord "

Thus does Adam Smith, the great apostle of lassez-faire, deploy his forces for the grand argument in the fourth book

The fourth book, designated "Of Systems of Political Economy," is devoted largely to a criticism of the mercanitists, and to a smaller extent of the Physiocrats, and it is here, as against the mercantilists, that the full argument for Free Trade and against Protection is developed. Adam Smith's statement of the mercantilist position, crudely that "wealth consists in money, or in gold and silver," is not wholly above reproach, but we are here concerned with the development of his own views rather than with his representation of the views of others. With becoming brevity, his view may be taken to be that gold and silver are commodities on the same footing as any others, and that the natural course of trade will bring to any country the gold and silver it requires:

"A country that has wherewithal to buy wine will always get the wine it has occasion for, and a country that has wherewithal to buy gold and silver will never be in want of these metals "They are to be bought for a certain proce, like all other commodities; and as they are the price of all other commodities, so all other commodities are the price of these metals "1

The freedom of trade that brings us the wines we require can be relied on to bring us the gold and silver we require Moreover, on account of their small bulk and great value, no commodities regulate themselves more easily or perfectly to the effective demand for them than do the precious metals

Not only so, but on lines familiar to modern monetary theory, Smith argues that it is a futile proceeding to endeavour to restrain gold and silver from leaving the country, if the natural course of trade would lead to their "All the sanguinary laws of Spain and withdrawal Portugal" cannot prevent the exportation of gold and silver from these countries if, owing to excess of the metals there, they should fall in value below what they command elsewhere This is merely the familiar point, already encountered in Hume, that excess of gold will result in high prices which, by making the country a good country to sell to and a bad country to buy in, will result in a drain of gold until equilibrium and stability are again established Gold and silver are, indeed, less essential than other commodities "If provisions are wanted, the people must starve", but there are expedients, as in a paper currency, for getting along without gold and silver It is only a small part of a nation's produce that is required for purchasing gold and silver from neighbouring countries, the greater part is circulated and consumed at home, even of that which is sent abroad, the greater part is destined for the purchase of foreign goods. All this could go on without the mercantilist itch for gold The whole of Smith's argument thus tends to look upon gold not merely as a commodity stripped of mystic qualities, but as a commodity which is really subservient to other commodities, and therefore less important.

"Goods can serve many other purposes besides purchasing money, but money can serve no other purpose besides purchasing goods Money therefore necessarily runs after goods, but goods do not always or necessarily run after money":

[&]quot;Look after trade, and the gold will look after itself,"

1 Ibid., Book IV, chap 1

is, in effect, the sum of Smith's teaching on this point Claims that gold is a more durable form of wealth rest on a misconception Hardware is more durable than wine, but we do not therefore heap up hardware "to the incredible multiplication of the pots and pans of the country" The number of pots and pans which it is expedient a country should possess is limited by the use there is for these utensils, and in the same manner the use there is for the precious metals sets a limit to the quantity of these which any country may wisely or profitably possess Increase the use of gold and silver, "and you

nothing will prevent the metals from going elsewhere

will infallibly increase the quantity", if you artificially increase the quantity beyond the use there is for them, If foreign trade does not exist for the purpose of acquiring gold and silver, wherein he its advantages? Adam Smith replies that it brings two distinct benefits It carries out surplus produce, and it brings back something for which there is a demand More significantly for Smith's general standpoint, as a result of foreign trade "the narrowness of the home market does not hinder the division of labour in any particular branch of art or manufacture from being carried to the highest perfection " Foreign trade is, in fact, for Adam Smith, merely an extension of domestic trade. It extends division of labour, it increases specialization, it multiplies exchanges So much for Smith's general criticism of mercantile It is difficult to resist the impression that assumptions

he somewhat overstates his case in arguing that gold is a commodity exactly like any other Originally it doubtless was: but when one commodity, for whatever cause and whatever it may be, becomes the universally accepted medium of exchange, it attaches to itself qualities which it did not possess before its elevation to the rank of money; and henceforth it is bound to be a commodity somewhat

different from all others The fact that gold is universally acceptable, that it is consequently wealth which can be potentially applied in any direction, sufficiently distinguishes this utensil from Adam Smith's pots and pans

Smith next proceeds to consider the various expedients whereby the mercantilists had sought to secure a favourable balance of trade His most instructive and illuminating chapters are those which relate to restraints upon imports Of such restraints he distinguishes two kinds, the first being restraints upon the importation of such commodities as might be made at home, irrespective of the country they come from, while the second class is represented by the more mercantilist idea of restraints on all goods which happen to come from countries with which the balance of trade is unfavourable. The first is the typically straightforward protective duty, aiming at giving a monopoly to the home producer Such a protective duty, Adam Smith admits, may give encouragement to any particular industry to which it is applied, and may direct labour and capital in that direction, but as industry is limited by capital, such a regulation cannot increase the quantity of industry in any country beyond what the capital will maintain A protective tariff will therefore merely divert industry from one trade to another 1

Moreover, Adam Smith argues, it will divert it from a more advantageous to a less advantageous channel. And this for two reasons Firstly, from motives largely of security, the merchant will prefer to put his money in the home trade rather than in the foreign trade of consumption, and in the foreign trade of consumption, and in the toreign trade of consumption rather than in the carrying trade. But that is the order in which the possible uses of capital are to be arranged, viewing them from the point of view of their advantageousness to the country. The second reason is more fundamental. and

¹ Ibid . Book IV. chap 2

leads to the core of Smith's doctrine Every individual is aming at a profit, and therefore uses his capital where the produce is likely to be of the greatest value But the annual revenue of society is the sum total of all that is produced Therefore each individual, pursuing his own ends, is unconsciously helping to increase the wealth of the whole:

"He generally, indeed, neither intends to promote the public interest nor knows how much he is promoting its preferring the support of domestic to that of foreign industry, he intends only his own security, and by directing that industry in such a manner as its produce may be of the greatest value, he intends only his own gain, and he is in this, as in many other cases, led by an invisible hand to promote an end which was no part of his intention."

Such is the invisible hand whose intervention miraculously harmonizes the pursuit of gain with the promotion of the public good—the quasi-religious sanction of laissez-faire. Moreover, in the pursuit of his own interests, each one is a reasonably competent judge, certainly, knowing the local circumstances, he is a better judge than any Government. It is a "most unnecessary attention" on the part of a statesman to direct private people how they shall apply their capital, and this is what is done when, by restraints placed on imports, trade and industry are diverted into channels which they would not have taken of their own accord. Protective tariffs are, in short, either useless or hurtful—useless, if without them the home product is as cheap as the foreign, hurtful, if it prevents people buying in the cheapest market.

"It is the maxim of every prudent master of a family never to attempt to make at home what it will cost him more to make than to buy What is prudence in the conduct of every private family, can scarce be folly in that of a great kingdom "1

Such, in somewhat condensed form, is the substance of Adam Smith's argument for Free Trade Fortunately it is not necessary here to try the great issue, but, without in any way impugning or even considering the case for Free Trade, it is worth noting how essentially Adam Smith's argument is based on the ideas and the circumstances of his time. The basic arguments are two firstly, that there is a natural order in which men direct their capital and their enterprise, and that this natural order follows a descending grade of advantageousness from the country's point of view This corresponds to the conditions of the time when capital was relatively immobile, and when men were timorous of letting it out of their sight "Home is, in this manner, the centre," says Adam Smith, "round which the capitals of the inhabitants of every country are continually circulating" With the development of foreign investment and the internationalization of finance and enterprise, no one would say this to-day second main argument is that of the invisible hand, the divinity which shapes our selfish ends to public purposes. Frankly, we do not believe it, rather have we learned that the interests and the prosperity of the individual may be in conflict with the well-being of the community, that no such simple process of mathematical integration as Smith suggests is permissible. If so, there must be a restraining power, and the restraining power can be vested nowhere but in the State itself We have thus lost faith in the fundamental assumptions of lassezfaire, and Adam Smith's observations on the "folly and presumption" of Government intervention, the outcome

¹ Ibid , Book IV, chap 2.

of many irritating restrictions on trade, do not awake the ready response that once they did Yet Adam Smith was far from being the doctrinaire free-trader of the type which a later generation produced. He notes two cases where some burden should be placed upon foreign, for the encouragement of domestic, industry, and two others where the matter is, as he says, one for deliberation Two of these at least are such that Adam Smith's authority may be, and has been, invoked in support of fairly wide departures from Free Trade policy The first definite exception is "when some particular sort of industry is necessary for the defence of the country." Defence is of more importance than opulence, in Smith's famous dictum, and Smith, an ardent patriot in spite of cosmopolitan tendencies, approves of the Navigation Act on this ground In these days, when in the event of war the whole nation and all its resources are pitted against the enemy, it is obvious that this exception, if admitted, will claim a wider application than in Smith's time

The second definite exception is of httle importance in these days: it relates to the imposition of an import duty where a tax of the nature of an excise duty is already imposed on the domestic production of a commodity. This merely equalizes the conditions as between the home and the foreign goods, and it is therefore merely a step towards equality of treatment. The first of the two matters of deliberation relates to the vexed question of retaliation, where high duties are imposed by a foreign country, and where the object of introducing duties is to persuade the foreigner to remove his Of such duties, Smith gives a vague approval, if they are likely to succeed, but whether or not they are in any case likely to succeed he refers to that "insidious and crafty animal vulgarly called a statesman or

politician." The fourth of the exceptions (the second of the cases for deliberation) relates to how far and in what manner it is proper to restore Free Trade when it has been lost. The argument is not without interest in modern times. Smith realizes that the sudden introduction of Free Trade may result in wide unemployment in industries suddenly exposed to foreign competition, and he adds that "humanity may in this case require that the freedom of trade should be restored only by slow gradations, and with a good deal of reserve and circumspection." Smith's willingness, in the interests of humanity, to postpone the restoration of Free Trade in order to prevent the emergence of unemployment, is of some interest in an age desenged, with pleas for protection to cure existing unemployment.

From Adam Smith's criticism of the second class of restraints on imports (restraints on all goods from countries with which the balance of trade is unfavourable1) two points of general interest emerge Firstly. as against the mercantilist tendency to consider that the interest of each nation consisted in beggaring its neighbours, he emphatically asserts the common interests of all nations in these matters. It is a point familiar alike to the Physiocrats and to David Hume A trade carried on "without force or restraint" is advantageous to both parties, and, whatever may be the case during war, it is in peace to the advantage of every nation to be surrounded by wealthy and prosperous nations "As a rich man is likely to be a better customer to the industrious people in his neighbourhood than a poor, so is likewise a rich nation." The second point is his adumbration, as against the balance of trade, of another and more important balance, the balance of the annual produce and consumption This is the real test of

¹ Ibid , Book IV, chap 3

prosperity, and it may exist in a country without foreign trade, cut off from all other nations, just as it may exist in the world yiewed as a whole. A country may enjoy a balance of produce over consumption when its balance of trade, estimated on mercantilist lines, is unfavourable. But Adam Smith does little more than hint at this idea without developing it

The criticism of the Physiocratic system (entitled "Of the Agricultural Systems") which follows the campaign against the mercantilists is a shorter encounter. Its chief interest perhaps lies in the revelation of the extent to which Smith remained, unconsciously and despite his protestations, in the Physiocratic line of thought. In criticizing the Physiocratic use of the words "productive" and "unproductive" he says, and with evident trith

"We should not call a marriage barren or unproductive, though it produced only a son and a daughter, to replace the father and the mother, and though it did not increase the number of the human species, but only continued it as it was before "1

True, but the Physiocrats, and not merely the Physiocrats, would say that such a marriage was not ultimately productive of an increased population, which is the essential Physiocratic point And even more emphatically of the Physiocratic train of thought is a subsequent sentence

"As a marriage which affords three children is certainly more productive than one which affords only two, so the labour of farmers and country labourers is certainly more productive than that of merchants, artificers, and manufacturers. The superior produce of the one class, however, does not render the other barren or unproductive." In admitting the greater productivity of agriculture, Adam Smith accepts the essence of Physiocracy. As Mr. Gonnard has well put it, Smith, in protesting against the word, accepts the idea.

Other points, and these by no means the least interesting for a comprehension of the complete Adam Smith, must here be passed over. In particular there is his scheme of Imperial Federation, a by-product of his criticism of the mercantilist colonial policy, there is his discussion of the principles of taxation which has lived on in so many text-books, there is his chapter on the expenses of the Sovereign, from which inferentially may be deduced his views on the functions of Government. and the exceptions (again considerable) which he would allow to the general principle of non-intervention. But enough, it is hoped, has been said to indicate the main features of Adam Smith's system of thought viewed as a whole, and his commanding place in the development of economic doctrine For undoubtedly he is not merely the central and greatest figure in the development of Political Economy as a separate branch of study, his influence, both in thought and practice, has been greater than that of any other economist His great merit lay in presenting an interpretation of life which, up to a point, corresponded, and still corresponds, with the facts of the case He saw society on its economic side working automatically through competition and self-interest, the whole being knit together by division of labour and the multiplex process of exchange resulting therefrom. The efficiency of this self-adjusting machinery was such that all he demanded was liberty for its fuller and better functioning Much of this, it is true, is a priori in its character, but the Adam Smith who took refuge in the shadow of an invisible hand is constantly corrected by

¹ Gonnard, Histoire des Doctrines Economiques, Vol II, p 211.

another Adam Smith, a hard-headed, shrewd, far-seeing, somewhat cynically wise and slightly sceptical Scot, such as every village on the eastern sea-board of Scotland produces in large numbers, though few may attain to the eminence of the most eminent son of Kirkcaldy

The Adam Smith of the large unproved assumption is indeed admirably balanced by that other Adam Smith who knew so much about his fellow-men that he is never surprised into anything more than a biting phrase which is almost kindly even when it cuts Smith, perhaps for the same reason, is full of contradictions, putting it more generously, he is many-sided. A cosmopolitan, if ever there was one, no one was ever more patriotic, the supreme exponent of lassez-fasre and of the ultimate beneficence of the individualistic and self-centred instincts, he is under no illusions regarding the consequences of unrestrained selfishness. The oracle of Free Trade from his own to the present day, he has furnished the Protectionist Party with the motto which, if it were wise, it would inscribe on its banner. That Smith contains something for all men and for all causes, is the supreme proof that he was a giant compared to all who stand around him

Yet Smith has not escaped criticism, and to one school of thought he has apparently continually acted as an irritant Smith's feet were so firmly planted on the ground that those whose heads are lost in the clouds have never realized how secure his footing was The idealist school and those whose patriotism is barbed with enmity for others, have been uniformly unkind to Adam Smith Hildebrand, List, Muller, and in our own day Spann, represent a well-defined line of criticism. It may be as well to note wherein hostile criticism suggests that the weakness of Adam Smith les

Firstly, it is suggested that his view of wealth is too

narrow. His starting point, it has been seen, leads to the idea of exchangeable value, and he therefore identifies wealth with exchangeable value. His theory, therefore, merely relates to the "formal laws of value and of price," and consequently the wider aspects of national welfare elude him. Here, as in most of these points, Smith is his own best corrective, and a perusal of The Wealth of Nations in its totality will rectify any erroneous impressions based on particular passages

Secondly, there is the charge of excessive individualism, that he is, in the German phrase." atomistisch," viewing society as a vast crowd of individuals, unrelated except by the interaction on each other of their self-interested motives, consequently, that he misses the significance of the State in which, on one view of politics, the individual alone attains true significance. That Smith is not one of those who regard the State as a Holy Leviathan may be frankly admitted, that he did not recognize the need for the protective functions of the State can hardly be a charge against one who, in fact, wrote before the Industrial Revolution, since the modern idea of the State as a protective agency is largely the result of conditions which became apparent after Adam Smith's day

Thirdly, the critics have found in Smith a certain materialism. In absence of idealism. Partly this is an extension of the first point mentioned above, the limitation of wealth to things that can be bought and sold But partly also the criticism goes beyond this, and suggests that Smith does not approach questions relating to the right consumption of wealth or the higher ends and uses of wealth Superficially again, there may be some substance in the criticism, especially if based on

¹ See Spann in the translation now available under the title Types of Economic Theory

isolated passages, in fact Smith's treatment has a comprehensiveness which will be sought for in vain in most of his successors. So far as there is any foundation in the criticism, two answers may be suggested. Firstly, deliberately and of intention, The Wealth of Nations is only a half of Smith, designedly it embodies his thought on the economic and material side of life alone. And secondly, like all (or most) Scots, Adam Smith did not easily wear his soul on his sleeve, and was rather tonguetied in the presence of the lofty theme? The true Scot shrinks from speaking of the ideal, fearing lest he may thereby look silly

And so we take leave of Adam Smith.

CHAPTER VI

MALTHUS AND RICARDO

Malthus

THOMAS ROBERT MALTHUS' (1766-1834) the second of the trunty who laid the foundations of classical English Political Economy, is, for a fairly prolific writer, surprisingly the author of one book, the protagonist of one idea. That book is his Essay, to which it is scarcely prudent to give a more precise title, since it varied with the years, the idea is the so-called "Principle of Population". It is safe to say that no reputable citizen has ever been so vilified and abused as Malthus, no writer of the first magnitude has been so often "refuted"—even though, as must be added, by writers who give so copious evidence of neither having read nor understood the object of their hatred

Yet, in a sense, Malthus neither wrote anything very new nor anything very startling. If by Malthusianism is meant the doctrine of Malthus—which is perhaps a large assumption—then Malthusianism was not without its clear exponents before the days of the Essay on Population In that chapter on wages, in which so many wage theories are hinted at, Adam Smith, as has been seen in the passages already quoted, had observed that "the demand

¹ Born near Dorking, 1765; ninth wrangler, Fellow of Jesus College, entered the Clurch, Professor of Political Economy at Haileybury College (East India Company) In addition to the Essay on Population, wrote a considerable number of pamphlets (as on Rent and Importation of Corn), and also Principles of Political Economy

for men, like that for any other commodity, regulates the production of men." Here, if one so chooses to regard it, is the quintessence of Malthusianism, and many other writers in the second half of the eighteenth century, including the elder Mirabeau—to name but one—might be drawn upon to furnish quotations in the Malthusian line of thought. Such originality as may be claimed on behalf of Malthus, lies in the fact that he realized the importance of a principle which others had casually stated, what others had disposed of in an obster dictum became for him a central and dominating principle illuminating all things.

Malthus is comprehensible only in the light of the impulse to which the Essay on Population owes its origin and the curious stages by which Malthus's doctrine developed The essay sprang from a domestic debate between Malthus and his father. Daniel Malthus, a man of left-wingish views and a friend of Rousseau The air was then full of beatific visions of terrestrial paradises, represented by the glowing enthusiasm of Condorcet, and crystallized in this country in the Political Justice (1796) and The Enquirer (1707) of Godwin. Godwin, if anything, is a political theorist, and only by his repercussions concerns economic doctrine, it is therefore sufficient to say that the state which he prophetically foresaw was an anarchic society, in which happy men, freed from the fetters of property, of the passions and of marriage, would live blissfully at the cost of half an hour's labour a day On such day-dreams, based on the perfectibility of man, and indeed his ultimate immortality here on earth, father and son disputed, and the dispute being ended or suspended, young Malthus, moved by the esprit d'escalier, proceeded to commit to paper the arguments which would most effectively have cornered his father, had he thought of them in time

Thus arose the first edition of the Essay on Population issued in 1798, and its character corresponds to its origin. It is indeed a work full of the vigour and fire of youth, a dashing polemic against Godwin and Condorcet, designed to disprove the "perfectibility of man" in the Godwinian sense, by proving the instability of the state of society foreseen by Godwin

In the first edition Malthus, for the routing of Godwin, makes two postulates, firstly that food is necessary to the existence of man, and secondly that "the passion between the sexes is necessary, and will remain nearly in its present state Population, in short, has a greater power of increase than the means of subsistence—the celebrated geometrical and arithmetical progressions appear in a hardened and somewhat dogmatic form in the first edition Thus armed, Malthus has much enjoyment in disposing of Godwin, for assuming the Godwinian society safely launched, it would be impossible to imagine a state of society more favourable to population Apply the geometrical and arithmetical progressions, and where would it be in the brief period of fifty years? Back come the hateful passions, the mighty law of self-preservation reasserts itself, and "the whole black train of vices that belong to falsehood are immediately generated." The thesis of the first edition is simple, if it be granted that population has a greater power of increase than the means of subsistence, there must be forces keeping the population within its due bounds Now since these checks on population are reducible to vice and misery, the Godwinian Heaven upon earth is but a beautiful fabric of the imagination which vanishes at the severe touch of truth

Having fired his squib, Malthus proceeded systematically to get up his subject, and he travelled and read

¹ First edition, reprinted by the Economic Society, p 11.

extensively with the object of turning his earlier impulsive production into a mature discussion of the whole question The result was the second edition of 1803, in some respects a very different book from its forerunner. In one particular the criticisms and discussions of the intervening years led Malthus to make an alteration which fundamentally altered his argument. In his first sketch he had allowed only two checks on population, namely vice and misery, but, as has been hinted, there are many old bachelors who are obviously not unhappy and who are not obviously vicious To meet this difficulty, Malthus now introduced a third possible check on population, represented by moral restraint, but in thus varying his original doctrine to meet an obvious criticism. Malthus in effect knocked the bottom out of his original argument. For with moral restraint as a third possible check on population, the argument against the perfectibility of man (within reason) was clearly deflated, since the Godwinian earthly paradise might never be exposed to the insidious attack of the black train of vices, if it were a possible (even if unlikely) contingency, that the inhabitants might practise the necessary amount of moral restraint Consequently, Condorcet and Godwin, whose names had figured on the

In the more careful statement of the second (and subsequent) editions, Malthus obligingly summarizes what he has to say in the opening chapter where his doctrine is conveniently compressed into his three celebrated propositions:

title page, tend to fade out of the picture

- r Population is necessarily limited by the means of subsistence
- 2 Population invariably increases where the means of subsistence increase, unless prevented by some powerful and obvious check.

3 These checks, and the checks which repress the superior power of population, and keep its effects on a level with the means of subsistence, are all resolvable into moral restraint, vice and misery 1

Of these three propositions the first requires no proof: there cannot be more people than the means of subsistence will support The second is obviously regarded by Malthus as an excessively cautious statement, and he hankers in a footnote after a more precise formula to the effect that "population always increases where the means of subsistence increase," and throughout he argues on the more precise conception that where the food is increased, there will the population also be increased, so that food is in effect a demand for population. On this point hinges the discussion of the two ratios, the geometrical and arithmetical progression, which play so large a part in Malthusian controversy Malthus assumes that the impulse to increase of numbers in the human race is constant at all times and in all circumstances As he phrases it in one place, "the passion between the sexes has appeared in every age to be so nearly the same, that it may always be considered, in algebraic language, as a given quantity." This is, of course, a mere assumption. incapable of proof, and the denial of this assumption furnishes later one of the lines of attack on Malthus But granting for the moment that this assumption is justified, then if population is capable of doubling itself in twenty-five years (as seemed to be evidenced by contemporary American experience), in successive periods of twenty-five years population would have the power

¹ Essay on Population, Book I, chap 2, pp 18-19 in Vol I of Everyman edition, to which, for convenience, subsequent references relate
² Ibid, Book II, chap 13, Vol I, p 312

of increasing according to the progression, 1.2.4.8. 16 32. etc

The reasoning establishing the arithmetical ratio as governing the increase of the means of subsistence is less It is indeed no proof at all, and is by no means creditable to a ninth wrangler All that Malthus says is that if we allow that the produce could be doubled in the next twenty-five years, it will be "probably a greater increase than could with reason be expected ",1 and with regard to subsequent periods of twenty-five years, he merely makes a supposition that in each period there would be a uniform increase of production equal to the amount at present produced. But there is no proof of the arithmetical progression, it is merely what Malthus regards as an outside estimate, a "supposition, which, without pretending to accuracy, is clearly more favourable to the power of production in the earth than any experience we have had of its qualities will warrant "

Accepting these ratios, there must be forces restraining the increase of population within the limits of subsistence In the revised version these are comprehensively described as moral restraint, vice and misery, but a no less important cross division classifies them under the preventive and the positive checks The preventive check denotes all those influences which lead to a diminution of the birth-rate Moral restraint, if the restraint is carried far enough, clearly falls under this head; so also for Malthus do all vicious practices which result in a reduction in the number born Positive checks, on the other hand, are all those influences which increase the death-rate, "the whole train of epidemics, wars, plagues and famines" If these are brought upon us by the laws of Nature, they are exclusively misery; if they are caused by our own actions. they may be described as vice, and their consequences are misery How far this latter distinction is logical might furnish matter for argument, it may be that by foresight and knowledge, pestilence and famine and the devastation of many waters are no less avoidable than wars. It is a sign of man's coming of age that he is prepared to shift the responsibility for such happenings from the Almighty to himself.

Moral restraint, it has been observed, is an innovation in the second edition, yet the innovation is more apparent than real. In the first edition Malthus in substance repeatedly emphasizes the principle of moral restraint, but for the purpose of his argument against Godwin, it had to be assumed, rather violently, that the single state was a state of misery, or, alternatively, was productive of vice Something very like this conclusion was in fact reached by the Malthus of 1798. It is perhaps not an unjust comment on Malthus to say that in the first edition he recognized in substance the principle of moral restraint, but that his argument compelled him to regard it as equivalent to misery

In one respect Malthus provides a curious complement to the work of Adam Smith, who, in his attacks on the mercantilists, had shown the superfluousness, indeed the injuriousness, of Government intervention with a view to

¹ Maithus uses the expression in a very restricted sense. By moral restraint I would be understood to mean a restraint from marriage from prudential motives, with a conduct strictly moral during the period of this restraint. Book I, chap 2, footnote. Vol. I, p. 14.

footmote, Vol 1. p 14

"This restraint almost necessarily, though not absolutely so, produces vice" (First edition, p 29)

"Ferry obstacle in the way of marriage must undoubtedly be considered as a species of unhappiness" (p 89)

"Even the slightest check to marriage, from a prospect of the difficulty of maintaining a family, may be fairly classed under the same head" (i.e., a species of misery) (p 108)

The cypic may be tempted to observe that Maithus married between the dates of the first and of the second edition.

the increase of wealth These things were subject to law, and it was merely mischievous for authority to seek by artificial restraint to increase the amount of bullion in a country 1 This idea of law Malthus now applied to the increase of man himself. Here also there was law. not arbitrarily to be set aside Here also it was vain for governments to seek artificially to stimulate population. which would not increase unless the means of subsistence increased, and which would assuredly increase, apart from Government encouragement, if these means were multiplied Encouragement of population, as practised by most governments, was perfectly futile, and could only lead to increased mortality.2 indeed, death was the most powerful of all the encouragements to marriage by its action in opening the way to the marriages of the younger To act consistently, those who desire to generation encourage early unions should, if they shrink from famine, at least "sedulously encourage the other forms of destruction which we compel Nature to use "3 So, following the same train of thought, a country cannot be depopulated merely by emigration, those who depart will merely make room for others, but "take away its industry, and the sources of its subsistence, and it is done at once "4 Prosperity does not depend on population, but population depends on prosperity. It is not true that God never sends a mouth without sending food, but it is true, such is the pestering of the unborn, that wherever there is food. there also a mouth will appear Food takes the lead, and the only precept for increased population is to increase the food supply, and leave the matter to individual enterprise, which assuredly will not fail

¹ Malthus himself draws attention to this analogy Book III,

¹⁴ Jibid , Book II, chap 4, Vol I, pp 195, 196 ³ Ibid , Book IV, chap 5, Vol II, p 179 ⁴ Ibid , Book II, chap 10, Vol I, p 272

But if Malthus in one respect completed the doctrine of Adam Smith, in another he was diametrically opposed to him For Adam Smith postulated an essential harmony in things, typified by that invisible hand which, out of the conflict of individual interests, would bring the greatest good for the community He was thus entirely and sturdily optimistic, even if the invisible hand is but an act of faith Malthus, on the other hand, is profoundly pessimistic. Here, too, there is law, but how different is its character! Apart from moral restraint, in which he has clearly no great practical confidence, the sanctions lurking behind his laws are vice and misery The laws of Nature to which he frequently refers are essentially punitive, and these laws of Nature, as he reminds us, are the laws of God Natural evil is the instrument by which God warns us from any mode of conduct which is not suited to our being "If we are intemperate in_eating and drinking, our health is disordered if we multiply too fast, we die miserably of poverty and contagious diseases" Such are the laws of Nature and of God. warning us that we have followed our impulses too far. and if we are heedless, "we justly incur the penalty of our disobedience, and our sufferings operate as a warning to others "1 The note of gloom and pessimism which distinguishes so much of the economic doctrine of the nineteenth century is in no small measure the legacy of Malthus

When it comes to practical proposals, Malthus is somewhat ineffective Contrary to the usual view as to what is involved in Malthusanism, he restricts himself to telling us not to be in too great a hurry to get married, with a special appeal to his women readers, who, "if they could look forward with just confidence to marriage at twenty-seyen," should (and would) prefer to wait

¹ Ibid , Book IV, chap 1, Vol II, p 152

until then, "however impatiently the privation might be borne by the men "1 This is the voice of a dear and kindly old uncle, rather than of the monster for whom Malthus has so frequently been mistaken, and it is as ineffective as the advice of an uncle in such matters usually is For even with marriage at twenty-eight there is time for a disconcerting and devastating torrent of children Unlike Mill, Malthus in no wise suggests the exercise of moral restraint after marriage. His view is rather that while man may exercise his discretion in postponing marriage, the responsibility for what may happen after marriage must rest elsewhere "When a man marries, he cannot tell what number of children he shall have", and he even gives a mild blessing to a modified form of family endowment in the case of very large families, and almost speaks the language of the movement when he discusses the question of relieving such a one " from a species of distress which it would be unreasonable in us to expect that he should calculate upon "2

His other practical proposal, which hardly concerns economic theory, relates to the gradual abolition of the Poor Law His suggestion, explicable by reference to the conditions of the time, is that the State should formally disclaim the nght of the poor to support, that there should be incorporated in the marriage service a short address emphasizing the obligation on every man to support his children, and making clear that those who erred in the face of these express warnings should be left to the punishment of Nature, the punishment of want—or to the pity of some kind benefactor Moreover, we can rely on God (or Nature) to apply the appropriate punishment, so that no conscience need be seared "When Nature will govern and punish for us, it is a very miserable ambitton to wish

¹ Ibid , Book IV, chap 2, Vol II, p 163 ² Ibid , Book IV, chap 13, Vol II, p 255

to snatch the rod from her hand and draw upon ourselves the odium of executioner." It is the laws of Nature. which are the laws of God, that have doomed him, and society at large may look the other way while punishment is being inflicted. It is one of the least pleasing aspects of Malthus that in his scheme of things the poor are entirely responsible for their poverty. Neither the rate of wages, nor the parish, nor the institutions of society, nor Providence, nor the State are to be blamed are themselves the cause of their own poverty "2 In particular, "the inference . . . drawn against governments from the unhappiness of the people is palpably unfair." inasmuch as the principle cause of want and unhappiness is only indirectly connected with government 8 It is scarcely necessary to emphasize the close connection of this doctrine with the laissez-faire view of government

It should be redundant at this date to defend Malthus against the old assumption that he was a monster who gloated over pestilence, famine and war, and who prayed nightly for the periodic recurrence of such scourges as the divinely appointed method of ridding the world of superfluous babies Malthus himself thought it at least expedient formally to disclaim any approbation of his various checks,4 yet it is difficult to read his work consecutively without feeling that he was asking for trouble. Probably in the first edition Malthus was trailing his coat, a posture which is always picturesque in the young, as against all visionaries, he takes obvious delight in administering the cold douche of reality, and he manifests a singular sang-froid and imperturbability in constituting himself the chronicler of death and pestilence.

¹ Ibid., Book IV, chap 8, Vol II, p 202 ² Ibid., Book IV, chap. 3, Vol II, p 170 ² Ibid., Book IV, chap 6, Vol II, p 193 ⁴ Ibid., footnote to Book I, chap 5, Vol I, p 53

He uses his very great literary skill to invest his views with a pungency which, if not designed to wound, was almost certainly intended to shock. And at times he sails rather near the wind, as in his studiously callous reference to the "unhappy persons who in the great lottery of life have drawn a blank,"1 or again when he speaks of the preventive checks superseding "the necessity of great and ravaging epidemics to destroy what is redundant," apologetically interjecting that he is using an expression "which certainly at first appears strange" When he contemplates the response made by Nature confronted with a redundant population, there is an air of complacency in his voice as he states that "though we cannot always predict the mode, we may with certainty predict the fact "3 Nor is it possible for the ordinary person to read, without a slight shudder, his lucid objective account of the process whereby, when we close up any of the channels through which the great stream of mortality is constantly flowing, others will become proportionally more fatal 4 Malthus has been much misrepresented and much misunderstood, but it is difficult to exonerate him from all responsibility for the caricature which did service throughout a large part of the nineteenth century

¹ Ibid , Book III, chap 2, Vol II, p 20 ² Ibid , Book II, chap 13, Vol I, p 312

^{*} Ibid. Vol I, p 312
4" The small-pox is certainly one of the channels, and a very broad one, which Nature has opened for the last thousand years to keep down the population to the level of the means of subsistence, but had this been closed, others would have become wider, or new ones would have been formed "(Book IV, chap 5, Vol II, p 183) But the whole chapter reveals Malthus in his happiest vein Characteristic also of the "brutaity" of Malthus is his curt dismissal of the illegitimate child "The infant is, comparatively speaking, of luttle value, as others will immediately supply its place" (Book IV, chap 8, Vol II, p 203)

Malthus is in many ways a peculiar and striking figure. By a premature and incautious statement of his thesis, he gained a degree of attention which would probably not have been bestowed upon him had he been guided by the academic rather than the journalistic instinct Though original in his method rather than in his substance, he was fortunate in the moment of his exposition, since on the one hand the growth of population in Great Britain was attracting attention, and on the other hand the positive checks, as represented by bad harvests and in the consequences of war and in the prevailing squalor, were visible to all men Moreover. though his detached air offended many, yet in an age of dominant laissez-faire, his subtle suggestion that the poor were responsible for their poverty, and that the Government had no responsibility for the prevailing misery, commended his doctrine to those who were thus exonerated. Yet, taking the revised text as the statement by which Malthus should be judged, there is little in his doctrine that one need hesitate to accept. Nevertheless, though the European War led to a marked revival of interest in Malthus, the problem has in large measure lost its terrors for the present generation. Nor is the reason far to seek. The fundamental assumption of Malthusianism in the strict sense is the uniform power of increase of population, subject to the operation of the preventive checks, this means in effect a potential uniform birth-rate But one of the most marked features of population statistics is the steady decline in the birthrate during the last fifty years-so marked, indeed, that in some countries the fear is of depopulation, and children are consequently at a premium This, fortunately, is not the place to consider the cause of the decline in the birth-rate, but, without expressing views on a matter with regard to which no one will enter the

witness-box, it is clear that the cause must be either in a diminishing reproductive power, such as Godwin, and later Carey, postulated-in which case the fundamental assumption of Malthus would be proved falseor, alternatively, in a deliberate and internional restriction of births after marriage. This last, as has been seen, was in no wise contemplated by Malthus As applied to present-day conditions, therefore, it must be

uniformity of prolific power was stated too dogmatically, was wholly unfamiliar

conceded either that his fundamental assumption of a

or alternatively, that the preventive checks require restatement to include points of view with which Malthus Even a brief account of Malthus calls for some reference to his doctrine on the subject of rent, as expounded in An Inquiry into the Nature and Progress of Rent (1815), in which to a very considerable extent he anticipated the essential points of the teaching of Ricardo Malthus, in his tract, is concerned at the outset with the causes of the high price of agricultural produce, and dissents from the view expressed by those of his predecessors who, like Say and Sismondi, had regarded the high price as solely the result of a monopoly The high price of raw produce he attributes to three causes, the first being that quality of the earth which enables it to yield more than is necessary for the maintenance of those employed on the land (a Physiocratic remnant), and the third being the comparative scarcity of the most fertile land. The second cause, which fits in with his general doctrine of population, is the ingenious point that agricultural produce, in contradistinction to any other commodity, produces its own demand In other commodities, demand is exterior to. and independent of, the production itself, so that abundance leads to cheapness; whereas, contrariwise,

since food is a demand for population, the demand for the necessaries of life is dependent upon the produce itself. Hence it follows, paradoxically, that here the cause of the high price is to be found in abundance rather than in scarcity

The explanation of rent follows from these considerations "While fertile land is in abundance nobody, of course, will pay a rent to a landlord" Later, "land still poorer, or still less favourably situated, may be taken into cultivation" But the produce is in each case sold at the "natural or necessary price," since "the price of produce, in every progressive country, must be just about equal to the cost of production on land of the poorest quality actually in use" How closely Malthus came to the Ricardian and the ordinantly accepted statement of the doctrine of rent, will be apparent from the following passage which is based on the comparison of the earth to a "vast machine"—a simile later so dear to the heart of Carev.

"The most fertile lands of a country, those which, like the best machinery in manufactures, yield the greatest products with the least labour and capital, are never found sufficient to supply the effective demand of an increasing population. The price of raw produce, therefore, naturally rises till it becomes sufficiently high to pay the cost of raising it with inferior machines, and by a more expensive process, and as there cannot be two prices for corn of the same quality, all the other machines, the working of which requires less capital compared with the produce, must yield rents in proportion to their goodness." (p. 38) 1

¹ It should be noted that Malthus is more subtle than Ricardo on a point on which Ricardo has been frequently criticized, inasmuch as he makes it clear that price rises and rents emerge before resort to an inferior soil, and that these are indeed conditions of such a resort "No fresh land can be taken inte-

Ricardo

According to the point of view, David Ricardo1 (1772-1823) is the evil genius who switched Political Economy on to the wrong lines, diverting it to a harsh, barren and inhuman orthodoxy, or, alternatively, by virtue of his incisive logic and ruthless pursuit of an argument, he is about the greatest economist of all times-though perhaps one should hasten to add that little of the Ricardian edifice has endured. Nevertheless no one has been more influential than Ricardo, even if it may have been in ways which would have surprised Ricardo himself. His has indeed been a curious fate. It is round him more than anyone that controversy has raged In his hands classical English Political Economy reaches its final and complete form, so that, for the next generation, the leaders of economic thought can do little more than repeat the perfected blend of Adam Smith, Malthus and Ricardo, vet-curious example of the irony of human effort-it is in Ricardo that there are to be found all the conclusions which, gratefully accepted by the scientific socialists, became an armoury of arguments with which to attack "bourgeois economy" and the established order of things If Marx and Lenin deserve busts, somewhere in the background there should be room for an effigy of Ricardo

As to the form rather than the substance of Ricardo's cultivation till rents have usen or would allow of a use upon what is already cultivated "Again, "it can never answer to take fresh land of a poorer quality into cultivation, till rents have usen or would allow of a use, on what is already cultivated "(Pages 27 and 28)

Born in London in 1772 of Jewish extraction, his father (a banker) having been born in Holland, on the Stock Exchange

Born in London in 1772 of Jewish extraction, his father (a banker) having been born in Holland, on the Stock Exchange from a very early age, made a fortune, became a landowner and entered Parlament In addition to his Principles, his yvarious pamphlets, eg on the high price of bullion, ète of the

highest importance

writings, it is perhaps sufficient to say that he was no writer He himself dimly realized that he was a bad writer, but it is doubtful whether he can have known the whole truth It is undiscerning flattery to regard his chief work, The Principles of Political Economy and Taxation, as a book at all Rather does it suggest the sweepings of a busy man's study-chapters of very varying length, which he clearly found it difficult to arrange in the right order, brusque notes and memoranda on points which interested the author. In defence, it may be admitted that Ricardo had the plea, on which few authors can rely, that he did not mean to write a book These were indeed memoranda written for himself and his friends, published on his friends' incitement But this is poor consolation to the lonely traveller befogged in the Ricardian jungle

It is customary to say that Ricardo is the supreme example of abstract reasoning in economics. This is true, yet it is not the whole truth Ricardo does indeed proceed from certain principles accepted as true, and he follows these to their bitter-sometimes very bitterconclusions If to-day we are frequently aware of the gulf between his conclusions and the world we know, it is as well to remember that probably the gulf did not vawn so widely in Ricardo's time. It is true also that his book is a horrible concatenation of "supposes," so that one seems to gasp for breath in a world of abstract suppositions Yet if Ricardo appeared to write in a world of abstract assumptions, he did not live in such a world On the contrary, no economist ever knew the world better, or made so handsome a fortune out of it Moreover, in all his occasional writings, which are no less important than his Principles, Ricardo always had before him a very practical and urgent question of the day

Perhaps the dominant factor in making Ricardo what

he was from a literary point of view is to be found in a certain inherited Jewish sublety Mr. Gonnard has elaborated, on the basis of their common racial origin, an impressive companison between Ricardo and Marx, who certainly have many spiritual points of kinship. To this must be added his experience on the Stock Exchange, where the Ricardian assumption of free competition is most fully realized. His literary work is, in fact, the production of an unliterary Jewish stockbroker who had neither time nor skill to give grace or clarify to his writings, and who made the mistaken assumption that his readers would be as ingemious as he himself was

One general point as to Ricardo's views on the scope of Political Economy meets the reader on the threshold In his preface he lays it down that the produce of the earth is divided into rent, profit and wages, and that "to determine the laws which regulate this distribution is the principal problem in Political Economy", and more emphatically in a letter to Malthus (20th October, 1820) he says:

"Political Economy, you think, is an inquiry into the nature and causes of wealth I think it should rather be called an inquiry into the laws which determine the division of the produce of industry amongst the classes who concur in its formation. No law can be laid down respecting quantity, but a tolerably correct one can be laid down respecting proportions. Every day I am more satisfied that the former inquiry is vain and delusive, and in the latter only the true object of the science." 2

Attention is thus concentrated on the problem of distribution, above all with a view to determining the proportions of the various claims made on the national

¹ Principles of Political Economy and Taxation, Everyman edition p I

² Letters of Ricardo to Malthus, p 175

dividend—a programme which Ricardo hardly fulfilled. If many of the Ricardian theories are admirably fashioned to the hands of Marx, the new emphasis on distribution is no less significant. For if in the field of production the ultimate test is efficiency, in distribution the underlying question which sooner or later thrusts itself forward is that of justice.

For an understanding of Ricardo's place in the history of economic thought, it is almost sufficient to study his Principles Here his starting point-whether it was in fact the starting point of his thought may be doubtedis the venerable question of value, to which he consecrates his first and longest chapter Here his doctrine, at the first blush simple and comprehensible, trails off into modifications which leave the reader who pushes so far, not quite sure how much of the original simplicity is left, and which indeed later left Ricardo himself somewhat doubtful of the whole doctrine. He starts off in the footsteps of Adam Smith, distinguishing between value-in-use and value-in-exchange, and points out that to have exchangeable value, a commodity must have utility, though utility cannot be the measure of exchangeable value Assuming the presence of utility, the exchangeable value of commodities comes from two sources (1) their scarcity, and (2) the quantity of labour required to obtain them But how are we to apportion the influence of these operative causes? Here Ricardo, with magnificent daring, effects a simple dichotomy of all commodities. There are some of which no labour can increase the quantity, and therefore their value cannot be lowered by an increased supply In these cases value is determined by scarcity alone. Of such are "rare statues and pictures, scarce books and coins," and all the other objects of the type of the Great Auk's egg. which figure in the economist's museum. On the other

hand, there are those commodities which can be multiplied "almost without any assignable limit" by the exertion of human industry. Here, the foundation of exchangeable value is "the comparative quantity of labour expended on each," though even in his general statement at the beginning of the chapter, Ricardo limits this by restricting the proposition to the "early stages of society," and further slips in a modifying "almost

exclusively "1 Apparently, however, in all stages of society, it remains the foundation 2 It may be suggested that such a bold division of the problem involves its own condemnation. The problem of value is one, and a theory of value should explain value wherever it occurs. It is in the highest degree unlikely that value should flow from one group of causes in the case of one type of commodity, and should be determined by wholly different causes in another Ricardo's fundamental error here lies in the assumption that there is a sharp line of division between things which may only be increased in quantity by the operation of a miracle (the possibility of which may be ignored in an economic text-book), and those which can be increased "almost without any assignable limit," if people so choose It might be argued that neither of these classes really exist, it is certain that, if they do exist, they fade into each other by a series of infinitely small gradations The truth is that all commodities at a given moment are limited in quantity, it is indeed because they are so limited that the question of increasing their quantity arises At the other extreme, though it may sayour more of sophistry, there are few goods which are for practical purposes so limited that they cannot

Originally he had said "solely" Ricardo's doubts soon set

Principles, chap I, On Value, section I

under any circumstances be increased Old Masters and Great Auks' eggs are probably the traditional examples It is true that the works of any particular Old Master are obviously limited, so long as the ingenuity of the trade is restrained. But the production of Old Masters goes on, though the products may take five hundred years to mature. In the year 2400 the market for Old Masters may conceivably be flooded with Augustus Johns So with our friend the Great Auk. the eggs she has left are indeed numbered, but it is certainly possible, though it might be troublesome, to increase the eggs of extinct birds, if only by sending sparrows and starlings the way of the Great Auk He would be a bold man who should say that the complete success of the Farmers' Anti-Sparrow League would have no influence on the value of Great Auks' eggs It would, because thereby the supply of eggs of extinct birds would be increased, and though admittedly the new supply would in a sense be a substitute for the Great Auk's egg, nevertheless some consumers of these eggs would doubtless be prepared to accept a substitute

It may be observed that Ricardo, less daring than/Marx, in fact gives no proof that the increasable commodities exchange in accordance with the quantity of labour expended on each. The nearest approach to a purported proof is in the passage where he states that, to convince ourselves of the truth of the proposition, we have but to "suppose any improvement to be made in the means of abridging labour". And the result? Clearly, a fall in the value of the final product—a proof of the proposition which rests on the truth of the proposition.

But the principle thus advanced as the "foundation" is immediately made subject to a number of reservations,

¹ Ibid , chap I, section 3, p 15.

the effect of which is to damage the foundation more than Ricardo was prepared to admit-at least in The Principles The first difficulty arises from the different qualities of labour Ricardo here tells us that "the estimation in which different qualities of labour are held comes soon to be adjusted in the market with sufficient precision for all practical purposes A scale is thus arrived at which, "when once formed, is liable to little variation" It ought to be clear, however, that a theory of value designed to explain what happens in the market cannot base itself on what does in fact happen in the market. It is the old problem which so pervades all economic discussion, of determining which of two phenomena is cause and which effect. Is the scale of values attached to different kinds of labour something which we arrive at, Heaven knows how, and which is subsequently reflected in the market in the values of the commodities produced, or is the value of commodities determined independently in the harsh. unsympathetic market and thereafter reflected back in the relative values attached to different kinds of labour? A theory which makes value in the market the expression of the amount of labour involved is clearly debarred from going to the market to find in what ratios different kinds of labour ought to be weighted 2

Other modifying conditions noted by Ricardo relate to the time and labour necessary to produce the capital involved, its distribution as between fixed and circulating

¹ Ibid, chap I, section 2, pp II-I2

³ It is curious to note how similar are the words of Marx on the same point "The different proportions in which different sorts of labour are reduced to unskilled labour as their standard, are established by a social process that goes on behind the backs of the producers, and, consequently, appear to be fixed by custom "(Marx, Geptial, chap r, section 2, p 52) This mystenous process behind the backs of the producers is once again, of course, merely the indement of the market.

capital, the greater or less degree of durability of the capital, and the time that must elapse in bringing the commodities to market. Though Ricardo professes to regard all these as relatively unimportant, his own statement leaves doubts which, it is known, disturbed Ricardo's own peace of mind. Nevertheless in The Principles a brave show is made in identifying, as far as might be, value and the amount of labour necessary for production. His many qualifications and hesitations were easily overlooked, and Ricardo was assumed to have presented to the world a labour theory of value.

How far, it may be asked, is the doctrine that value is the expression of the labour involved, affected by the appropriation of land and the consequent payment of rent? The answer brings us to what is, if not the central, at any rate the most celebrated of Ricardian doctrines, namely the Ricardian theory of rent. That the Ricardian theory of rent had previously been stated in its essentials by others is true, nevertheless, Ricardo enunciated it with a fullness and clarity which has made it peculiarly his, for what it is worth, to all time The Physiocrats, it will be remembered, had looked on rent as proof of the bounty of Nature, Adam Smith, with confused Physiocratic remnants in his composition, was perhaps inclined to the same view Malthus, not to refer to less celebrated names, had shown the way to a theory of rent based, not on the bounty, but on the meanness of Nature

The Ricardian theory of rent has for us, in these latter days, something of the obvious and platitudinous, once Ricardo's restricted definition of rent is swallowed It is, in a too familiar phrase, "that portion of the produce of the earth which is paid to the landlord for the use of the original and indestructible powers of the soil"

Ilbid , chap II, p 33

178 DEVELOPMENT OF ECONOMIC DOCTRINE Ricardian rent is therefore a very different thing from

rent as understood by landlords, farmers, and the other vulgar, in whose minds rent always has been, and always will be, a payment in which are contained elements in respect of farm-buildings, capital sunk in improvements, and all the rest of it. A colonist might say that the soil had no original powers except those put there by the devil, an incompetent farmer might protest that it had no powers which were indestructible The ordinary person would say that, even assuming that there are such powers, they are so mextricably intermingled with others which have a later and more human origin, that it is futile even to attempt to visualize a separation of rent, as vulgarly understood, between the Ricardian or economic rent proper, and the other ingredients which are rather of the nature of interest The text-books have made the Ricardian theory of rent so familiar that the merest bones may suffice Assuming "an abundance of rich and fertile land" in the case of a new settlement, there will be no rent, as no one will pay for that of which there is an abundant quantity not yet appropriated. But this best land not being unlimited in quantity, it is necessary, with the progress of population, to resort to land of inferior

margin, and the existing rent on all the higher grades will be correspondingly increased. It is possible, however, to render the theory more precise "Suppose" (if we may borrow Ricardo's favourite word) that three grades of land, with an equal employment of capital and labour, yield a net produce

quality Rent will then arise on the land of higher quality, and so progressively, with each increase in population which "obliges" recourse to land of inferior quality, the land last cultivated will yield no rent, rent will emerge on that which had previously been on the

of 100, 90 and 80 quarters of com So long as the first only is untivated, there will be no rent, with resort to the second quality land, a rent equivalent to ro quarters will appear on the first grade, since there can only be one proce in the market, and that price must be such as to enable farming to make ends meet with a yield of on quarters So with the descent to the third quality land, the no-rent land will now be that which yields 80 quarters, the previous marginal land (yielding 90 quarters) will yield a rent of 10 quarters, and the rent of 10 quarters hitherto payable on the highest quality land will rise to 20 quarters. And so on indefinitely

It will be seen in what way the Ricardian theory of rent is connected with the labour theory of value. Value is determined by cost of production, but in the case of agricultural produce, there is clearly no uniform cost of production With which of the possibly infinite number of costs-of-production is value to be equated? Clearly, with the highest, since if the needs of society require resort to production under unfavourable conditions, the costs there incurred must be covered by the price received Price is therefore determined by the costs of those who continue to produce under the most unfavourable circumstances, and inasmuch as there is uniformity of price, there will be a surplus in the case of those who produce under better conditions The Ricardian theory of rent thus reduces itself to the comparatively obvious statement that if there is (as there must be uniformity of price in the market, then whenever two identical commodities are produced at different costs, a surplus will accrue on that which is produced under more favourable conditions Rent, as Ricardo specifically states, is "always the difference between the produce obtained by the employment of two equal quantities of labour and capital," and as so defined, rent

is clearly not confined to the sphere of agriculture, but may, as later theory realized, appear in any department of economic life

The critical may suggest that the whole of Ricardo's account of rent is vitiated by that fruitful source of confusion, the mistaking of cause and effect. His statement is that the progress of population "obliges" a country to have recourse to land of inferior quality, and that in consequence price rises to support the increased cost of production It is, of course, an imaginary world in which Ricardo moves, but even in such a hypothetical state, it is clear that the rise in price would precede, and not follow, recourse to inferior land. The true sequence would obviously be that when scarcity was felt, the price would rise, and the rise in price would then enable recourse to be made to land of inferior quality It is unnecessary to underline the bearing of this point on the general Ricardian theory of value suggests a reversal of the statement that "that corn which is produced by the greatest quantity of labour is the regulator of the price of com",1 on the contrary it may be the price of corn which determines how far it is profitable to carry cultivation

Certain footnotes to, and inferences from, the Ricardian theory of rent may be noted. While the theory implies that there is somewhere a grade of land that pays or would pay no rent, Ricardo realizes that subsequent "doses" of labour and capital (if a later phrase be admissible) may be applied to land of higher quality in preference to resort being made to lower grade lands. Such less efficient applications to land already cultivated will, however, give rise to rent in exactly the same way, since rent "invariably proceeds from the employment of an additional quantity of labour with a proportionally

less return "1" (Here the Law of Diminishing Returns is clamouring for fuller expression) To put it once more in modern terms, this means that the Law of Rent may operate intensively as well as extensively

The most important inference from the theory is that rent (in the Ricardian sense) does not enter into the cost of production, and that consequently the remission of rent would have no effect on price. This follows from the fact that price is determined by production under the most unfavourable conditions, on land which by hypothesis pays no rent-rent being merely an emergent surplus, representing the differential advantage of the superior land A further conclusion implicit in the Ricardian theory is that rent, the surplus which falls into the lap of the landlords, will tend to increase as society advances It is the effect of the increasing wealth of the country, and, with increasing wealth, there will (according to the true Malthusian doctrine) be increasing population, compelling for its maintenance the cultiva-tion of inferior land. Such a tendency to increasing rents might, of course, be checked by improvements in agriculture which would enable the additional quantities required to be obtained from land already under cultivation Anything that diminishes the inequalities of lands necessarily cultivated will diminish rent, and as Ricardo observes in one luminous sentence, rent increases most rapidly as the remaining disposable land decreases in its productive powers that is to say, the most favourable position for the landlord is when, after the best lands have been exhausted, the population is forced down with a bump to the cultivation of the Bass Rock.

It will be seen how far-reaching are the implications of the Ricardian theory Before any other claims are considered, a slice of the produce of the earth is assigned

to the landlords in return for no service rendered, a slice, moreover, which grows progressively with the increase of population, so that there is scarcely (from the point of view of Ricardian theory) any limit to the proportion of the annual produce which may be absorbed in this way. So, too, there is a complete reversal of the Physiocratic point of view which not merely assigned to the landlords a place of conspicuous honour and usefulness, but viewed the existence of rent as proof of the motherly benevolence of a bountful Nature Nature has now become stepmotherly. "The labour of Nature is paid, not because she does much, but because she does much, but of the labour of Station of the s

Of the other influential doctrines of Ricardo, the most important is that which is concerned with wages. Wages. that is the price of labour, is of course merely an example of value Value, as has been seen, is determined by the amount of labour necessary for production, but while this is the "natural price," there may be accidental and temporary deviations occasioned by the fact that no commodity is supplied for any length of time in precisely the required degree of abundance. It is under the influence of such deviations that capital is properly apportioned between the various lines of production, and the Ricardian scheme envisages constant oscillation in the market price, tending always to return to the natural price represented by the labour cost of production a Applying these principles to wages, the price of labour, there will here also be a market price and a natural price. The market price will be the price actually paid, depending on the proportion of supply and demand, excess of

¹ Ibid , chap 2, footnote, p 39 ¹ Ibid , chap 4, On Natural and Market Price

labour depressing and scarcity of labour raising the market price. But whatever these deviations, there will be a tendency, here as elsewhere, to return to the natural price.

What, then, is the natural price of labour? The answer is to be found in what later, following Lassalle, came to be known as the Iron or Brazen Law of Wages If there be obloquy attaching to the authorship of this law, it must rest, not on Ricardo, but on many others who expressed what was tending to become a commonplace view in the late eighteenth century. The very precise statement of Turgot has already been noted 1 According to Ricardo, "the natural price of labour is that price which is necessary to enable the labourers, one with another, to subsist and to perpetuate their race, without either increase or diminution "2 According as the price of food and necessaries rises and falls. so will the natural price of labour rise and fall. The market price of labour will tend towards the natural price, because it obviously cannot remain below it without leading to a reduction in the volume of labour, while, if it rises above it, the population will increase, and with redundancy of labour the market price will again tend to fall. For Ricardo, a complete Malthusian, "no point is better established than that the supply of labourers will always ultimately be in proportion to the means of supporting them "3 The natural price of labour, in short, is the minimum cost of producing men

Such is Ricardo's first brutal statement which suggests that the natural rate to which wages will always tend to fall is the bare minimum necessary to allow a labourer and his wife to bring up a family of approximately two; but as so often with Ricardo, the theory is imperceptibly

¹ See above, p 116 ² Ibid, chap 5, On Wages, p 52. ³ Ibid, chap 21, p 194

modified, if not seriously undermined in subsequent discussion. He admits in the first place that in what he calls an "improving society," in which one increase of capital follows another, the market rate may be above the natural rate for "an indefinite period." Apart from the fact that the operation of the law may be indefinitely suspended, there is the further damaging concession that even when estimated in food and necessames, the natural price of labour is not absolutely fixed and constant It not only differs in different countries. but it varies in the same country at different times

short, "it essentially depends on the habits and customs of the people" The standard represented by the Ricardian wage is thus not a standard of subsistence, but a customary standard If the natural rate is merely the customary rate, it is somewhat tautological to say that wages tend to return to their natural rate. What that may be depends entirely on "the habits and customs of the people" Ricardo emphasized the importance of a "taste for comforts and enjoyments" as a security against a superabundant population, we should regard it to-day as buttressing the conventional standard of life Clearly, if in one of these periods when the market rate is, for an indefinite period, above the natural rate, such a taste for comforts is developed, then at the end of this indefinite period, the "habits and customs of the people" will have changed, and Ricardo's natural price of labour will have to take account of the fact. So far from being rigorously adamant, Ricardo's statement of the "iron" law of wages suggests something peculiarly adjustable Even taking it in its cruder and more popularly accepted form, it must be remembered

that in two respects the doctrine that wages tend to be depressed to a minimum-a doctrine that probably did not seriously misrepresent the position in the period between Turgot and Ricardo.—has been undermined since Ricardo wrote. In the first place, he viewed the worker entirely in isolation, and as at a grave disadvantage when controlled with the employer, he could not foresee the reaction on wages of Combinations and Trade Unions Secondly, it is clear that the Malthusian generalizations, which lurk behind the Iron Law, require restatement. The preventive check is not what it used to be, it is certainly no longer so true as it was, that children rush into the world on the slightest suggestion of food the contrary, a rise in the standard of hife may, and generally does, lead to a decline in the birth-rate To these two considerations, above all, is due the fact that the so-called Iron Law of Wages is to-day of little importance except for the examinee

Ricardo's professions would lead the reader to expect a discussion of the proportion in which the "produce" is allotted among the various claimants. While his discussion nowhere approaches such a quantitative computation as might be anticipated, he does indicate how, in accordance with his theory, the proportion will tend to vary in future Rent, as we have seen, will rise, wages will tend to rise nominally, owing to the increased cost of food occasioned by resort to inferior land, but real wages (being governed by subsistence considerations) will not rise Indeed, though it may appear to be in contradiction with the stricter interpretation of the Ricardian law, the normal rise in wages will not be sufficient to compensate the worker for the rise in prices of commodities in general 1 With regard to profits, along with which interest is lumped, Ricardo is not entirely clear, but to one general proposition he adheres with the utmost tenacity It is that whatever increases wages necessarily reduces profits, and indeed nothing can affect

¹ Ibid, chap 5, On Wages, p 57

profits but a rise in wages 1 No place here for the "economy of high wages," or for a consideration of those causes which may lead to variations of the output of labour Wages and profits are diametrically opposed, and a fall in the one means a rise in the other. In the long run, as has been seen, money wages will rise, so that the rate of profit will tend to fall

Such is the Ricardian scheme of distribution, in place of the old harmony of interest, he has placed dissension and antagonism at the heart of things "The interest of the landlord is always opposed to that of the consumer and manufacturer." So also the interests of the worker and the employer are eternally and irreconcilably opposed, when one gains, the other loses Further, the outlook for all, except the landlord, is a process of continual peroration Gone is the large-hearted optimism of Adam Smith, transmuted into a pessimism that will not be Yet Ricardo remains immovably noncomforted interventionist "These, then," he says, "are the laws by which wages are regulated", and he adds inconsequently, "like all other contracts, wages should be left to the fair and free competition of the market, and should never be controlled by the interference of the legislature "3 In a world of Ricardian gloom one might ask, and did in effect ask, why there should not be interference An optimist carolling that God's in His Heaven, and that all's right with enlightened self-interest has a right to nail the lassez-faire flag to the mast, but a pessimist who merely looks forward to bad days and worse times ought not in principle to be opposed to intervention, unless his pessimism is so thorough-going

¹ Ibid, chap 6, On Profits, pp 70-71
2 Ibid, chap 24, p 225 It is true that there is a slight Ibid, chap 5, On Wages, p 61

as to lead to the conviction that, bad as all diseases are, all remedies for all diseases are even worse 1

Much might be said, but cannot be said here, with regard to Ricardo's writings relating to the theory of foreign trade and in the field of currency problems It must suffice here to say that, as Ricardo's starting point was in the urgent problems of the day, so in these more technical discussions his importance is as great as in the more general parts of economic theory. He explained the laws which govern the distribution of the precious metals among different countries as a result of international trade, in currency matters he expounded the quantity theory of money, and with much subtlety analysed the meaning of depreciation, he advocated economy in the use of gold and silver, and indeed he argued that "a currency is in its most perfect state when it consists wholly of paper money, but of paper money of an equal value with the gold which it professes to represent "2" He anticipated in his writings the peculiar features of the Gold Standard, as reintroduced in 1025 But the temptation to discuss the currency expert in Ricardo must be resisted.

Even if little of the main Ricardian structure be left to-day, it nevertheless remains true that Ricardo was one of the dominant influences of the inneteenth century, and that, moreover, in directions which would have made Ricardo shiver to contemplate His primary purpose was to elaborate the theory of distribution, and in particular to consider the incidence of various types of taxation. He did so on certain assumptions regarded

¹Mr Gonnard has very properly drawn attention to the illogical combination of pessimistic belief and the cult of individual liberty, characteristic of the school of Ricardo, which he ascribes to the Calvinistic and puritancial element in the British character. But in Ricardo's case it must go further back than Calvin. See Gonnard, op cit, Vol. II, p. 250
³Ibid, chap 27, p. 246.

as sacrosanct, and ruthlessly pushed on to certain logical There is no place in Ricardo for the conclusions waywardness of human action or for the multiplicity of causes of friction which place mere logic at a disadvantage in explaining the mechanism of society. Above all, competition-omnipresent, unrestrained and instantaneously operative-is assumed as the driving force of all action It is not so now, nor indeed was it ever so "Why should the manufacturer continue in the trade if his profits are below the general level? "1 He himself knows the answer, as he shows two chapters later, namely that people while losing money may continue in a trade for the very excellent reason that they cannot get out of it, that a shipbuilder overnight cannot turn his capital to agriculture But such distracting knowledge of the real world has little influence on the march of Ricardo's argument Again, despite occasional sympathetic observations, his whole attitude to the working class is that they are merely a means to production "Suppose the circumstances of the country to be such that the lowest labourers are not only called upon to continue their race. but to increase it, their wages would be regulated accordingly "2 Marx's Industrial Reserve Army does not more definitely set the workers aside as a separate race maintained for the high ends of enterprise Again in another celebrated passage which very properly stuck in the throat of Sismondi, he argues against Smith for magnifying the advantages of a large gross income rather than a large net income It is, says Ricardo. the net income that matters, and if the net income can be obtained with a smaller population, what purpose is served by the superfluity? His logic would lead to the

¹ Ibid , chap 17, footnote, p 160

Ibid , chap 16, p 143
 Ibid , chap 26, On Gross and Net Revenue, p. 235

desirability of the population being reduced to one, and that last remnant producing a vast net surplus with the aid of sorcery and mechanical continuances. The repellant doctrine that man exists for the production of wealth, rather than that wealth exists for the use of man, here finds its classical utterance.

All Ricardo's doctrines were left in a form to invite misquotation and abuse They are so easily remembered in their abridged form, it is so easy to forget (it was perhaps difficult ever to understand) the subsequent reservations in which Ricardo did so much to complicate and modify his simple doctrines. It is therefore not surprising that the crude and unrefined Ricardo should have been taken and applied to other purposes Above all, he provided, all unwittingly, the foundation stones of so-called scientific socialism The labour theory of value, with which he appears to have been increasingly dissatisfied, is the basis of Marx and the source of the claim to the "whole produce of labour" The doctrine of rent, especially if one forgets that Ricardian rent is an abstraction, appears as an instigation to agrarian socialism The Ricardian doctrine of wages, shorn of those reservations which go far to deprive it of all meaning, became the battle-cry of socialism His opposition of wages and profits seems to symbolize the class war For the ironically minded, nothing is more curious to observe than this supreme exponent of classical English Political Economy providing arguments to Marx and his followers That the Ricardian refinements were left behind in the transplantation is true but immaterial Perhaps the final lesson to be learned from Ricardo is that the literary graces are not merely ornamental but useful, and that he who is deficient in the art of expression has only himself to blame if he is misrepresented

CHAPTER VII

LAUDERDALE, RAE AND SISMONDI

WITH Adam Smith, Malthus and Ricardo, the structure of "classical" Political Economy is, in a sense, complete From this point onwards, it is, for a time, by no means easy to decide in what sequence our chosen representatives of economic thought should be marshalled, or how best they should be grouped for purposes of exposition. The classical school had made certain assumptions with regard to the relationship existing between the individual and the State, they had in their later developments arrived at a generally pessimistic outlook, as exemplified in the "principle" of population and the Ricardian Law of Rent, their defence of lassez-lasre and Free Trade had given them cosmopolitan sympathies, they had been hardened individualists Against each of these aspects of the classical body of thought, reaction was inevitable, it was likewise inevitable that some should continue to croon. with modifications, the accepted and orthodox doctrine

There are thus, in the next generation, critics of the excessive individualism of the classical school denying the assumptions on which lassez-jaire is built. There are advocates of Protection There are those who struggle towards a more optimistic view of the universe. There are those who plead the case of the nation against what they regard as a wishy-washy cosmopolitanism On a

larger canvas, these various lines of reaction against the classical school might be traced consecutively Unfortunately, the authors concerned do not consistently group themselves along different lines of development, and therefore in the next four chapters a rough and ready grouping is all that is possible. In this chapter a brief account will be given of three early critics of Adam Smith, viz. Lauderdale, Rae and Sismondi Lauderdale and Rae are largely concerned with what they regard as Smith's erroneous views on the nature of wealth Sismondi have this in common, that both are led to reject lassez-faire and to insist that extensive duties rest on the State It is true that while this reaction leads Rae to a somewhat paternal state, it rather urges Sismondi in the direction of socialism
In the next chapter three German writers. Muller. List and von Thunen, will be considered Muller and List have much in common, and represent the reaction to nationalism and Protection, though indeed Muller's criticism of Smith is extensive and comprehensive Von Thunen is rather a star that dwells apart, but he would probably be happier beside his German compatriots than anywhere else. In the ninth chapter, the reaction to optimism will be discussed (very briefly) as it is represented in Carey and Bastiat, though here again Carey might better be grouped with List as jointly representing, on the commonly accepted view, the leading exponents of Protection Lastly, in the tenth chapter, the continuation of the orthodox school will be exemplified by Say, Senior, Mill and Cairnes

Lauderdale

Of the group assigned to this chapter, two, it is to be noted, are Adam Smith's own countrymen. Though they have not succeeded in retaining a large place in the general memory, they are noticeable for their fundamental

criticisms of certain of the assumptions of Adam Smith While Smith was the embodiment of common sense and shrewdness, these critics are, however, rather to be regarded as interesting and curious, if not indeed eccentric Doubtless this eccentricity was more marked in James Matiland, eighth Earl of Lauderdale, than in John Rae

Lauderdale¹ (1759-1830) was in his time a striking figure, much given to entering protests in the journal of the House of Lords His contribution to economic literature is to be found in a work of which the complete title is An Inquiry into the Nature and Origin of Public Wealth, and into the Means and Causes of its Increase, a book of commendable brevity and of few ideas, pushed home with much pertinacity and ingenuity, and indeed carried to the point of habitual paradox. In his "advertisement," he claims that his views "are not only new, but even repugnant to received opinions" The central point of Lauderdale's theorizings is foreshadowed in the title of his treatise "Public Oeconomy," he tells us, "is of necessity in all stages of society, a subject of discussion, even amongst the most vulgar and illiterate, whose rude and erroneous conceptions must naturally lead to expressions founded on inaccuracy and pregnant with error."2 Lauderdale throughout shows a truculent and carping spirit wherever Adam Smith is concerned,3 and the implication of the whole discussion is that Smith likewise is a victim of these rude and

¹ Born 1759, entered Parliament 1780, succeeded to Scottish pecrage in 1780, and in 1790 was elected a representative Scots peer Politically he moved, during his lifetime, from the extreme left to the extreme right. In the language of his countrymen, "a crotchety body"

^{*}Public Wealth, pp 3-4
* eg "There is no opinion that has been anywhere maintained on the subject of the sources of national wealth, which does not appear to have been adopted in different parts of the inquiry into the Wealth of Nations" (Public Wealth, p 176)

193

erroneous conceptions. The particular example of the Idol of the Market-Place with which Lauderdale is concerned is that which confuses the wealth of a nation with the riches of an individual, and which assumes that whatever increases the riches of an individual will bro tanto increase public wealth Lauderdale, it may bel observed, consistently uses the word "wealth" when the appropriate adjective is "public," whereas in speaking of the individual, he uses the word "riches" The passage in Smith which Lauderdale regards as particularly peccant is that in the third chapter of the second book of The Wealth of Nations, wherein the "eminent philosopher" discusses the accumulation of capital "As the capital of an individual," says Smith in this passage, "can be increased only by what he saves from his annual revenue or his annual gains, so the capital of a society, which is the same with that of all the individuals who compose it. can be increased only in the same manner." It is the concluding part of this dictum which particularly displeases Lauderdale So far from it being possible to identify the capital (or wealth) of society with the sum total of the capital (or riches) of all the individuals, they are indeed fundamentally opposed To find the basis for the distinction, indeed antagonism, between public wealth and private riches, we must follow Lauderdale into that unending morass where economists and others assiduously and eternally discuss the meaning of value, and find no end in wandering mazes lost. That value may be conferred on any commodity, two conditions are, he says, requisite: firstly, the commodity, as being useful or delightful to man, should be an object of his desire, and secondly, the commodity should exist "in a degree of scarcity."1 If the circumstances with regard to demand remain the same, value will accordingly increase with

scarcity, and be diminished with abundance But if the individual's "nches" are increased by scarcity (existing elsewhere), there must, argues Lauderdale, be an antagonism between public wealth and private riches, and the increase of the two must depend on different causes. The popular idea regards public wealth as the integration of all private wealth, and, as exemplified by Adam Smith, holds that parsimony, "the most usual means of increasing private fortune," is likewise the "parent of public wealth." In fact, as has been seen, private riches postulate scarcity, and "the common senso of mankind would revolt at a proposal for augmenting the wealth of a nation by creating a scarcity of any commodity generally useful and necessary to man." He continues in a passage which has been frequently quoted

"For example, let us suppose a country possessing abundance of the necessaries and convenences of life, and universally accommodated with the purest streams of water —what opinion would be entertained of the understanding of a man, who, as the means of increasing the wealth of such a country, should propose to create a scarcity of water, the abundance of which was deservedly considered as one of the greatest blessings michent to the community? It is certain, however, that such a projector would, by this means, succeed in increasing the mass of individual riches, for to the water, which would still retain the quality of being useful and desirable, he would add the circumstance of existing in scarcity, which of course must confer upon it value "3"

The converse case is also forcibly argued

"Let us for a moment suppose it possible to create as great an abundance of any species of food as there exists of water what would be thought of the advice of a man

¹ Ibid , p 40

who should cautously recommend, even at the moment of the pressure of scarcity, to beware of creating this boasted abundance? for, however flattering it might appear as a remedy for the immediate evil, it would inevitably diminish the wealth of the nation. Yet ridiculous as this opinion might appear, as everything which partakes of the abundance of water or air must at once cease to possess value, it follows that, by occasioning such an abundance, the sum-total of individual riches would most certainly be diminished, to an extent equal to the total value of that species of food whose value would by this means be destroyed "1

There is thus complete antagonism between public wealth and private riches, the community is interested in abundance, the individual (who possesses) is interested in scarcity. Indeed Lauderdale goes so far as to affirm generally that "an increase of riches when arising from alterations in the quantity of commodities, is always a proof of an immediate diminution of wealth, and a diminution of riches is evidence of an immediate increase of wealth "2" Thus Lauderdale arrives at his definition of wealth as consisting "of all that man desires, as useful or delightful to him," while individual riches consist of "all that man desires as useful or delightful to him, which exists in a degree of scarcity "3". As scarcity increases, the former diminishes, while the latter must increase

In an elaborate discussion of the four causes which lead to variations in value (diminution and increase of quantity, diminution and increase of demand). Lauderdale is led to an analysis of what would now be known as the principle of elasticity of demand. Thus, taking the first of these causes, that of diminution of quantity (or scarcity), the effect of competition in raising value may be far beyond what might be expected. It will depend

^{&#}x27; Ibid . pp 45-46 * Ibid . pp 55-56 * Ibid . pp 56-57.

upon the extent to which people are willing to deprive themselves of a portion of other enjoyments, the governing consideration being the "desire of mankind to continue their usual enjoyments", and their obstinacy in attempting to acquire the same quantity of any commodity as formerly is "proportioned to the degree of inclination which either necessity, habit or taste has created for it " This explains the difference between the effects of scarcity on the value of different articles, in the case of necessities there may be a rise in value in the ratio of one to fifty. whereas articles of taste or luxury hardly ever rise to double or treble their usual value 1 As Lauderdale says in another part of the same discussion "No rise in value can induce men to renounce the acquisition of the necessaries of life, provided any sacrifices they can make will procure them "2

Lauderdale is also notable for his closer analysis of the function of capital in the production of wealth Land, labour and capital are, he says, all three, original sources of wealth, though at different stages of development they contribute to this end in very different proportions So far as the function of capital is concerned, the view which Lauderdale criticizes is that implicit in certain statements of Adam Smith (Book I, chap 6) to the effect that the profit of stock is paid out of and derived from the value added by the workman to the raw material, a doctrine which, as interpreted by Lauderdale, seems to savour of Marxian doctrine On this view, says Lauderdale, capital would not be an original source of revenue, since profit would be "only a transfer from the pocket of the labourer into that of the proprietor of stock "8 As against this

¹ Ibid , pp 65-66
² Ibid , p 76
¹ Ibid , p 75
² Ibid , p 75
² Ibid , p 758
He also quotes from Book II, chap 3, of The Wealth of Nations, a sentence which seems to be peculiarly susceptible of a Maxima interpretation "The labour of a

view, Lauderdale argues that the profit which capital receives is not a simple transfer of this nature, the profit it receives arises from its own independent services, that is to say "from its supplanting a portion of labour which would otherwise be performed by the hand of man, or from its performing a portion of labour which is beyond the reach of the personal exertion of man to accomplish "1 A man with a spade can do the work of fifty people using their nails (surely an underestimate), this portion of capital, therefore, supplants the necessity of forty-nine people, who are thereby rendered superfluous So with each and all of the five methods in which, according to Lauderdale, capital may aid production, the profit which' accrues to capital arises essentially from the displacement of labour 2 So far from its being the case, then, that capital is profitable in putting labour into motion, or in "adding to the productive powers of labour," its real function is to supplant labour And the consequences of this view are far-reaching On what, for convenience and following Lauderdale, may be taken as Smith's view, labour is limited by and proportioned to the quantity of existing capital, and therefore an increase of capital is the "sovereign and unbounded means of augmenting wealth " On Lauderdale's view, a country cannot be benefited by the possession of a greater portion of capital

manufacturer adds generally to the value of the materials which he works upon, that of his own maintenance and of his master's profit " "Manufacturer" has, of course, in this passage, its eighteenth century and true significance of one who does things with his hands

¹ lbid , p 161 3 In a characteristic footnote, Lauderdale observes "It is a strange confusion of ideas that has led Dr Smith to describe the operation of capital as increasing the productive powers of labour The same process of reasoning would lead a man to describe the effect of shortening a circuitous road between any two given places from ten to five miles, as doubling the velocity of the walker" (p. 185)

than can be advantageously employed in supplanting labour 1

This leads, on the practical side, to the central point in Lauderdale, carried to the excess of paradox. It might reasonably have been inferred that wealth could only be increased by those means by which it is originally produced, but popular prejudice, confusing the means whereby an individual may properly increase his private riches with the policy proper for the increase of public wealth, has pointed to parsimony as the path of enrichment 2 But Lauderdale will have nothing to do with parsimony, "the baneful passion for accumulation", nor does he support the orthodox and Smithian doctrine that every produgal is a public enemy, and that every frugal man is a benefactor. In the more primitive agricultural state, when a man is in possession of as much capital as he can use, it is neither advantageous to himself nor to others that he should abridge consumption merely to obtain more than he can use, and at all times there is a point beyond which capital cannot be profitably increased 3 Hence the folly of sinking funds; hence also the importance of stimulating demand, on which industry depends Lastly, Lauderdale strikes a note suggestive of much to come later when he argues that " great inequality of fortune . . has everywhere been the principal impediment to the increase of public wealth," and that a proper distribution of wealth insures the increase of opulence by sustaining a regular progressive demand in the home market.4

Ree

John Raes (1786-1873) has many points in common with Lauderdale, and though a flabbier and less pungent

¹ Ibid , pp 203-205 1 Ibid , p 228

^{*} Ibid , p 208 * Ibid , pp 345 and 349 * Born at Footdee (or Fittie), Aberdeen, in 1796, educated at

writer, he is not so markedly a disgruntled voice et præterea minil In large measure he also is critical of the foundations on which Adam Smith builds, and in particular of two assumptions, firstly, that the national wealth can be increased by the accumulations of private wealth, and secondly, that there is a natural harmony between private and national interests. These are the views expounded in his chief work, New Principles on the Subject of Political Economy (1834) Individual accumulation, as a means of advancing the national capital, has, he argues, limits beyond which it cannot pass 2 There is, as in Lauderdale's example, a limit to the number of flails a country can profitably use. Adam Smith, indeed, had in one place spoken to the same effect regarding pots and pans Beyond this point, mere accumulation in itself will do no good; that the national capital may increase further, the nation must be hitched up to a higher level of development. Flails must be replaced by threshing machines, that is to say, there must be invention and progress 3

This, for Rae, is the fundamental point of distinction In a passage too long for quotation, he argues that individuals in general increase their capitals by acquiring

Manachal College, later studied medicine at Edinburgh He seems to have emigrated under the double spur of financial and matrimonial misfortune. Led a very miscellaneous life in Canada and elsswhere, teaching, doctoring, etc. He ended up, a rather pathetic exile, as a kind of administrator in the Sandwich Islands

The full title is really much more cumbrously lengthy Rae's book was re-edited by Dr Mixter in 1905, under the title The Sociological Theory of Capital Unfortunately, in this edition, the contents have suffered a complete reshuffing in order to attain what the editor regards as a more logical arrangement This is what Adam Smith would have called a "most unnecessary attention" Reference is, however, made to this edition as being the most accessible

Sociological Theory of Capital, p. 157

^{*} Ibid . DD 152 153

a larger portion of the common funds One grows nch, while another grows poor, but while one man may add house to house and farm to farm the national capital itself may remain but little changed Neighbouring nations, on the other hand, advance and decline in wealth together Thus, in a characteristic summary.

"As individuals seem generally to grow rich by grasping a larger and larger portion of the wealth already in existence, nations do so by the production of wealth that did not previously exist. The two processes differ in this, that the one is an acquisition, the other a creation "!

It is a consequence of this view that Rae assigns to invention a place of primary importance in his scheine of things, indeed his work is largely a study of the place of invention in social development. Invention can alone be said to create, and as such it is an essential element in the process of the increase of national wealth; but, having fregard to the distinction indicated between national and individual wealth, it cannot be assigned a similar place in the increase of individual wealth 2 Allied to this is his dissent from Adam Smith on the question of division of labour Smith had noted the encouragement of invention as one of the consequences of division of labour; but this, argues Rae-not without cogency-is to invert the true order of events Knowledge and discoveries mean the multiplication of instruments, and variety of tools means diversity of trades It would be wasteful for all men to possess all tools, as in that case the great bulk of implements would in general be lying idle 3 Thus division of labour proceeds from, and is dependent on, the antecedent progress of invention, indeed, monotonous occupation, which is in part synonymous with division of

labour, will rather tend to dull and cramp men's faculties and so discourage further inventions in the victims of a monotonous regime. Here he is doubtless right in his readjustment of emphasis, as also perhaps in his inclination to minimize the place of "dextenty" in Smith's theory of division of labour. For dextenty is of importance only in the beginning of art, and with progress "the hand does less, the instrument more" the hand does less, the instrument more "I Division of labour, in short, is for Rae not a cause but a result of progress, it is but the manifest consequence of that inventive faculty which raises society to a higher level.

The fact that on Rae's view private accumulation rests largely on mere acquisition implies further that there is no natural identity between national and individual interests "Do the labours of the cool, calculating gambler, or of the sharper, add to public wealth?" Does the spirit of keen bargaining add to public wealth? In these and countless other ways self-interest may not be guided by an invisible hand to the promotion of larger ends 2 Rae is thus led to a reasoned refutation of the doctrine of lassez-fasre, to a plea for enlightened government interference. When men are united in large societies it is impossible for each to take an active part in what concerns the public good, this is the function of the legislator the theory of lassez-fasre each individual, seeking his own good, unintentionally and indirectly increases the national wealth Admittedly, then, it is only the legislator who can of design act with a view to increase the national opulence, but as his interference would disturb the course which events would otherwise take, this interference, being opposed to the course of Nature, is presumed, on lassezfaire principles, to be injurious 3

This presumption Rae holds to be unfounded; it is by by blod, pp 237-242 blod, p 345 blod, pp 357, 362

no means demonstrable that the art of the legislator is powerless to promote the prosperity of nations, and indeed the assumption should rather be the other way 1 The example which bulks most largely in his mind is that of the passage of the useful arts from one country to another These transplantations were originally due to violent causes, they were the consequences of war, revolution and exile But if such evil influences no longer operate, must we also sacrifice the indirect good which such causes may have produced, or is it not rather the duty of "the intellectual part of our nature" to achieve the good and prevent the evil?2 The original violent methods of dissemination of the knowledge of the arts should therefore be replaced by the direct efforts of the legislator to draw them there. Thus the legislator is called upon to act, but to act cautiously, and the weapons which Rae places in his hands for this purpose are represented by premiums, bounties and duties 3 This argument Rae further buttresses by the contention that cases in which private effort succeeds in transferring an industry to a new country are very rare, and that it is scarcely ever likely to be profitable "It would be more just and judicious," he says, "that the necessary first cost of the scheme should be borne by the whole community" rather than that we should wait "till the miscalculations of some unfortunate projector confer on us a public benefit "4 Thus does the infant industry argument gently crow from its cot

Rae's central doctrine is open to the criticism which

¹ Rae's analogy is interesting, if not wholly to the point "If a number of people have occasion to pass frequently between two number of people have occasion to pass frequently between two numbers of the people of the peo

must so often be passed on those who are determined to be critical While he suggests useful correctives, his main contentions, when generalized, are as unitenable as those which he attacks—It is curious to observe that he regards the theory which identifies increase of national wealth with accumulation of private capital as inconsistent with itself, merely because Adam Smith admits the importance of the introduction of the new arts. 1 It would be equally logical to regard Rae's theory as ship-wrecked by his admission

"that individuals, as well as nations, acquire wealth from other sources than mere saving from revenue, that skill is as necessary, and consequently as valuable, a co-operator with the industry of both, as either capital or parsimony, and that therefore the expenditure which either may be called on to make to attain the requisite skill is very well bestowed "2"

This is an impeccable statement which even Adam Smith in his more obtuse moments might have accepted, but it somehow torpedoes the crisp distinction between the sources of national and individual wealth which elsewhere Rae attempts to draw

Rae is an interesting study for those who are fascinated by the reaction of mind on mind perhaps in part owing to a common American environment, there is a good deal in him that foreshadows Carey, notably in his treatment of land as an instrument, indistinguishable from other instruments, and in a doctrine that approaches Carey's theory of value as determined by cost of reproduction.

¹ Ibid , p 407

Thus, in discussing "instruments." he explains that "a field fitted for use is an instrument

The power which has made it an instrument is the agriculturalist's labour" (p 16)
And again, "a portion of cultivated land, considered as an

So also there are hints of List, whose views likewise were moulded by his experiences and his study in America. When Rae-emphasizes the importance of introducing a new industry to serve later as a source of wealth, and indicates that the legislator may." by means of a small expenditure, effect an increase of the productive powers of the community." he is anticipating not merely the thought but the phraseology of the German economist Lastly, if it be not too fanctful, his comments on luxury and vanity, and his conjunction of the words." conspicuous "and "consumption" are a curious anticipatory echo of Thorstein Veblen.

Sismondi

Sismondi² (1773-1842), as a writer of economics, is extremely difficult to "place". This is due to the fact that his was a mind in transition, he never quite lost contact with his starting point, yet he never quite arrived at his natural destination. While having kinship with many schools, he is consequently at home with none. In his first important work, De la Richesse Commerciale* (1803).

instrument actually subject to the operations of the husband-man, does not drifer from any other instrument " (p 40). On the question of value where articles are produced with less labour than formerly, they "procure for their owners less of other articles in exchange than they did before. They exchange, not for what labour has been actually wrought up in them, but for what is now required to make others similar to them."

(p 107) Ibid , p 245 et seq

⁹ Born in 1773 in Geneva, where he also died Spent a considerable time in Italy, where he engaged in agricultura morte his suxteen-volumed work on the history of the Italian Republics in the Middle Ages Later also he embarked on a history of the French, of which twenty-nine volumes were written, and other works less markedly voluminous He visited England in his youth and also later

The full title is significant De la Richesse Commerciale ou Principes d'Économie Politique appliqués à la Législation du

Commerce

his position is simple, he is, as becomes a young man, content to be a not very critical follower of Adam Smith. Moreover, there is a refreshing honesty about his discipleship Of certain chapters he tells us that they contain no ideas really new to anyone who has studied Adam Smith,1 and further that his object is to appropriate to France the counsels which Adam Smith had intended primarily for England 2 There is an engaging candour in his later confession that if in this book he did not make any discoveries, he was at least well aware of the fact 3

In the main, then, the central point of this first work is to be found in Sismondi's restatement of the harmony of interests, the necessity of lassez-faire and the folly of Government interference. When commerce is left free, he explains in one place, capital naturally takes the direction which is most advantageous to the nation which possesses it. and there is more than an echo of the invisible hand in his assertion that "all men, in seeking their own interest, incessantly tend to serve the national interest " Thus we arrive at the glowing optimistic conclusion that "the capitalist, who consults his own interest, always works for that of the nation", and the sans cesse and the toujours stand forth brazenly without modification He thus quotes as a noble réponse, the alleged reply of the British business world to a Government anxious to help them that the only favour they desired was "la grâce de nous oublier" All this sayours of the clotted cream of lasssez-faire, but there

De la Richesse Commerciale, Vol I. D xx.

Ibid, Vol I, p 12 Nouveaux Principes, p vii

De la Richesse Commerciale, Vol I, p 267.

^{*} Ibid , Vol I, p 329 * Ibid , Vol II, p. 152. * Ibid , Vol II, p. 143.

are pointers in the direction of the later Sismondi, as when he exclaims that "no man of sensibility can without grief see the most interesting class in the nation, that which supports the whole by the fruit of its labours, deprived of its enjoyments in order to share it with people who are a charge upon it "1

When Sismondi, after an interval of sixteen years spent in historical research, returned to economics in his Nouveaux Principes d'Économie Politique2 (1810) he may be a respectful admirer of Adam Smith, but he is certainly no longer a meek disciple. In the interval he had seen the world in a period of rapid transition and industrialization, a world urged from every quarter to produce more, and to produce more cheaply He had looked upon it, and had found little to admire. Behind all this feverish accumulation there lurked the teaching of orthodox political economy, but for the maturing Sismondi, political economy ought to be something else It was " la théorie de la bienfaisance ",3 its object was to increase the physical well-being of man But in the Europe of 1819, above all in the England of that day, what had happened to the enjoyment of life? In sombre phraseology, in contrast to which Malthus appears a radiant optimist, Sismondi describes a world, hungry, suffering, degraded, and he is tempted to curse division of labour and the invention of manufactures as the rock on which the human race has made shipwreck 4 To Sismondi, it appears appropriate to ask the disturbing question: "Where are we going?" Where are the happy people in this world in which everywhere one

¹ Ibid , Vol I, p 109
3 The full title is again of interest Nouveaux Principes d'Economie Politique, ou de la Richesse dans ses Rapports avec

Nouveaux Principes, Vol II, p 248, Vol I, pp 8-9 4 Ibid , Vol II, p 313

207

observes the progress of things, everywhere the suffering of men ?1

Sismondi's central theme is simple, and it is so omnipresent that he tends to be a man of one idea. That idea is to be found in his emphasis on the evils which flow from unrestrained competition, above all as evidenced in the excessive production of goods for which there is not, and cannot be, any demand This over-production which so haunts Sismondi is, it will be observed, a general over-production of all commodities, and not merely overproduction of certain commodities relative to others It is, in his view, a direct result of division of labour and large-scale production. In isolation, a man produces for himself and knows when to stop and "repose", in society he is producing for others, and cannot know when enough has been produced 2. To the man in isolation there is therefore a clear limit to the extent to which he should amass The purpose of accumulation is subsequent enjoyment and rest, and it would be folly to accumulate in excess of the power to consume With a complex society, the efforts of men are separated from their natural rewards, so that one man labours and another man rests. Yet, though thus obscured, it is as true of society as it is of the individual that there is a limit to the power of consumption. But as this limit is no longer obvious, men are urged on by the "zeal to produce which every writer stimulates and which every Government encourages "3 Demand should precede production, but what, in fact, the producer considers is whether he is capable of producing more, not whether there will be a demand for the commodity when it is produced 4 Production, that is to say, is based on the extent of capital at the disposal of the producer. These

evil consequences are accentuated by the fact (which has much later been no immaterial factor in the tendency to industrial combination) that it is always easier to expand than to contract, that it is indeed almost impossible, for a variety of reasons, to restrict the size of an undertaking or to close down 1 To Sismondi, looking around, the world everywhere presented the spectacle of overstocked markets, of production for which there were no consumers-the consequence of apportioning production not to demand but to available capital 2

Sismondi's theory of distribution, on which the doctrine of over-production rests, is one of those things which are better left in their natural obscurity. His distinction between the annual production and the annual revenue, and his view that the annual production of any year is bought by the revenue of the preceding year, reveal a mind which was a stranger to strict reasoning On this side Sismondi's doctrines merely possess the value of antiquarian currosities, and as such should not be withdrawn from the museum, even for purposes of examination 3 His strength lay in the force of his general contentions, not in the abstract reasoning on which these were based Out of incomprehensible reasoning there emerges for Sismondi a sure conviction which is at least comprehensible, that there ought to be an equilibrium between production and consumption, and that nations

^{1 &}quot;Les producteurs ne se retireront point du travail, et leur nombre ne diminuera que lorsqu'une partie des chefs d'atelier aura fait faillite, et qu'une partie des ouvriers sera morte de misère " (ibid., Vol. I, p. 310)

² Ibid., Vol. I, p. 338

³ The reader who desires to fail to understand Sismondi may

be referred to Book II, chapters 5 and 6 of the Nouveaux Principes, on "The division of the national revenue between the various classes of citizens," and on "The reciprocal determination of production by consumption and of expenditure by revenue "

may be ruined either by spending too much or too httle.1 His is, in fact, a doctrine of under-consumption

Probably the economic foundation is so tangled and uncertain because the main inspiration may have come from elsewhere. His view of man is that of the moralist: there is indeed an almost religious fervour in his denunciations For Sismondi, man is a creature with whom rest. le rebos, is a natural taste, and in the scheme of things this natural taste should also find its gratification 2 "L'homme travaille pour que l'homme se repose," as he says with an air of benediction, but in the urge to produce, we forget the end of all labour and the purpose of life

Inasmuch, then, as it is not merely accumulation of wealth that matters, but control of wealth and the direction of wealth to secure the increase of happiness, the blessedness of unlimited competition vanishes Laissezfaire tends to incredible suffering, and it is therefore essential that there be a large measure of Government intervention 3 For the function of the State is to be the protector of the weak against the strong, the defender of the defenceless, the representative of the permanent interest of all against the temporary interest of each. And in the light of experience (since the days of Adam Smith, as he hints) it had become abundantly evident that there was need of such an authority " to prevent men being sacrificed to the progress of a wealth from which they will derive no profit "4

The doctrine of the harmony of interests and of the potency of the invisible hand accordingly receives short

¹ Ibid , Vol I, pp 120, 122 2 Ibid , Vol I, p 78 3 '' Nous invoquons presque constamment, pour surveiller le progres de la richesse, cette intervention qu' Adam Smith repoussait " (ibid , Vol I, p 54)

'Ibid , Vol I, p 55

*TO DEVELOPMENT OF ECONOMIC DOCTRINE shrift from the later Sismondi, and though he does not

use the phrase, he realizes how lassez-faire may break down on inequality of bargaining power There may be self-interest displayed in highway robbery, and selfinterest may likewise dictate to the victim the expediency of offering no resistance, if acquiescence is calculated to increase his prospects of escaping unmurdered 1 The institutions of society have, argues Sismondi, given rise to a similar kind of restraint, though it may not be accompanied by such obvious violence "It has as often as not placed the poor man under the necessity of submitting to increasingly onerous conditions, under the penalty of dying of hunger, in placing him in this perilous condition, it falls to society to undertake his defence "2 Left to its natural course, the conflict of interests, since it may be to the interest of the weak not to resist, may lead to the triumph of injustice 8 Thus we arrive in the most general terms at the

functions of government Each individual, pursuing his interests, tends to increase the speed of the machine. the task of the Government should be to slow down and regularize its movement, to exercise, in the pursuit of wealth, "une action régulatrice et modératrice." The difference between other economists and Sismondi. as he himself expressed it, was that while they had applauded the new industrial ardour, and had urged to greater rivalry and speed, he had felt merely alarm, and had summoned the friends of humanity to assist "in retarding the social chariot which, in its accelerated course, seems to be on the point of plunging us in the

abvss ''5

¹ Ibid , Vol I, p 197
* Ibid , Vol I, p 197
* Ibid , Vol I, p 197
* Ibid , Vol I, p 379
* Ibid , Vol I, p 379
* Ibid , Vol I, pp 381-385
* See Editeds sur l'Économie Politique. Vol II, p 211

211

Easy enough to speak of slowing down, but how? Take, for instance, the vexed question of inventions and machinery on which Sismondi has unusual views. In the main he detests inventions, they usually, though not necessarily, lead to evil consequences Man loses in intelligence, in bodily vigour, in health and gaiety, all that he gains in increased power to produce wealth 1 Even children, it is true, may make stockings from their most tender years, before they have tasted any of the enjoyments of life. But at what an odious price are these things bought! The normal effect of inventions is to diminish the labour demanded, and thereby make the country poorer, even though this broad effect may be concealed by the operation of foreign trade which. while creating prosperity in the producing country, may concentrate elsewhere the real ruin created. Thus in the case of English manufacturers, "all the suffering has fallen on continental producers, all the enjoyment has remained with the English "2 It is not, however, all inventions that are to be condemned, the criterion which Sismondi applies is to be found in the pre-existence of an unsatisfied demand. "Whenever demand for consumption surpasses the means of production, any new discovery is a benefit for society, because it provides the means of satisfying existing needs. On the contrary, wherever production is fully sufficient for consumption, any similar discovery is a calamity because it does not add to the enjoyment of the consumers anything else than that of satisfying their needs at a lower price, while it suppresses the very life of the producers "3 The market must first be extended, if this is done, there is room for invention. Modifying the argument, Sismondi contends that when an invention extends the market by putting

¹ Nouveaux Principes, Vol I, p 366 ³ Ibid, Vol I, p 322

³ Ibid, Vol II, p 317

the commodity at the disposal of a poorer class, it is beneficial. But if the market cannot be extended, either because all needs are already supplied or because, no matter what reduction in price is made, the poor will never be consumers, then the discovery is a calamity for the human race, for in this case it will only advantage certain manufacturers at the cost of others—or, it may be, certain nations at the cost of others. So also, when sismond assumes the part of a landator temporis acti, it is one of the marks of happier times that then men only thought of inventions when a new need had made itself felt?

It remains to be considered what can be done to protect society and the worker against the rage of inventors. Here Sismondi does not get beyond a very tentative and curious suggestion. He realizes that it would be idle to forbid the use of a permicious invention, if other countries were still free to make use of it. The sole measure of protection which he suggests is the suppression of the privileges accorded to the inventor; if all inventions are at once made known and available to all, then, as he says, revealing the individualist at his heart, "the zeal for such discoveries will grow cold."

With regard to population, that apple of discord which Malthus had lightly tossed to the economists, Sismondi views are likewise peculiarly his own Population, he holds, is limited by revenue In contradistinction to what Malthus had said, population never reaches and probably never will reach the limit of possible subsistence. It is checked because it becomes impossible to buy the means of subsistence, or because people are not allowed to work in order to produce it The check

¹ Ibid , Vol II, p 321

² Etudes, I, p 34 ³ Nouveaux Principes, Vol II, pp 331-333.

LAUDERDALE, RAE AND SISMONDI 213

thus comes, not from the means of subsistence, but from the "will" of man, above all of the landowner who will not allow others to work unless he receives a revenue for his assent 1 This, of course, is scarcely in opposition to Malthus, but in his further elaboration of the element of human volonté, his views as to the natural action of man differ profoundly from those of Malthus The vital thing on which population depends is the demand for labour 2 Given employment and an assured revenue, people will marry and have children, the vice of the new society is that there is no security as to the worker's revenue, and the worker being deceived as to his position, children are born for whom there is no provision. It is a firm article of faith with Sismondi that the worker will not marry until he can afford to marry, and that he will proportion his family to his revenue-that is, assuming there is stability and a fixed revenue But if there is no stability, if the workers are displaced by machinery, how grave is their situation! The workers' revenue is no longer within their calculation, it may be altered by others without their knowledge; the entrepreneur himself may miscalculate 3 So living from day to day, the "proletarians become content that their children should do the same", moreover, do not the children become a title to chanty, and may they not spasmodically earn wages from the age of seven? Thus the restraint on marriage disappears and children are born for whom society has made no provision 4 It is

not then so much demand for work that is important,

¹ Ibid, Vol II, pp 267-270 ³ The similarity to Malthus and the different emphasis are alike interesting "La population se mesure toujours, en dermère analyse, sur la demande du travail Toutes les fois que le travail sera demandé, et qu'un salaire suffisant lui sera offert. 'louvrier nattra pour le gagner'' (ibid, Vol II, p 284)
'loud, Vol II, p 259
'loud, Vol II, p 263

as regular and perpetual demand, for if the demand is intermittent, it merely creates workers in order to condemn them to death 1

We are back, it will be observed, at the old point Excessive population is the offspring of instability, which itself is the offspring of over-production and of all the devilish inventions of the era of the Industrial Revolution. His views, by way of suggested remedy, even if impracticable are of interest. He accepts as almost obvious the view that the obligations of celibacy rest on the married as soon as they have the family to which they are entitled-which would appear to be one boy and one girl To have more would be unjust and cruel, since such a course is tantamount to depriving others. either of the 10vs of marriage in youth or of the comforts of children in old age 2 Beyond this, the remedies which Sismondi hints at, rather than suggests, are drastic3, he would be prepared to prohibit the marriage of the poor. or at least to require certain guarantees. The aim of the Government, so far as the agricultural population is concerned, should be to unite labour and property. and Sismondi's suggestions would ultimately rest marriage on a property qualification. In the towns, though the task is not so easy, the end should be the same, namely, to abolish the precarious position in which the workers live, to make it possible for them to attain to a certain status, and to forbid marriage until that has been reached This means among other things a guarantee from the employer, it means vesting in the employer the right to veto the marriage of his employees, it means, on the other side, that the worker is to be a permanent charge on his industry 4

¹ Ibid , Vol II, p 285 2 Ibid , Vol II, p 295 3 He was more definite in earlier editions

⁴ Ibid , Vol II, pp 339, 363, etc

21

In summary, Sismondi is a protesting voice, and it is from this point alone that he is significant. In so far as he presented a body of "doctrine" to the world, he is negligible His chief obsession, that of a general overproduction of goods as an explanation of glutted markets and commercial crises, is obviously untenable, and the orthodox spokesmen have never had any difficulty in showing that while there may be over-production in respect of particular commodities, a uniform overproduction of all commodities simultaneously is unimaginable 1 Over-production, indeed, means disproportionate production, it means, within limits, that too much is produced, having regard to the volume of other products: that is to say, it involves under-production elsewhere So also, in his doctrines with regard to machinery and improvements, and in his insistence on the existence of a previous demand before expansion is justified, Sismondi turns a blind eye to half the facts of economic life, and neglects the possible reactions which variations in the conditions of supply may exercise on demand is scarcely too much to say that none of the peculiar tenets of Sismondi has stood the test of time. Yet he is not thereby negligible. In an age when the protest was necessary, he affirmed that wealth was made for man and not man for wealth, as the "chrematistic" doctrine seemed to imply,2 in the darkest days of the Industrial Revolution he asked, very pertinently, where the world was going, and insisted on the importance for the worker of stability and status. But in truth he gets nowhere in particular. He never reached Socialism. though it would be easy to collect a nosegay of Socialist sentiments from his works, and it would be folly to ignore his influence on later Socialist writers. But his final

¹ See Mill. Principles of Political Economy, Book III, chap 14.
² See Etudes, Vol I, p 6?

attitude is rather one of helplessness and bewilderment before a problem of which he cannot find the solution ¹ He is perhaps a moralist rather than an economist, reminding us, as certain of our own poets have done in these latter days, of the folly of those whose vision is machines for making more machines, of the poverty of a life which leaves no time to stand and stare. Righteous indignation, such as inspired Sismondi, is a noble passion, and the indignant prophet fulfils a useful purpose, even if indignation not infrequently leads to bad logic.

¹ The pathetic words with which (in some editions) the Nouseaur Funciples cloves have often been quoted "Je l'avoue, après avoir indiqué où est à nos yeux le principe, où est la justice, je ne me sens pas la force de tracer les moyens d'exècution, la distribution des profits du travail entre ceux qui concourent à les produire me paraît vicieuse, mais il me semble presque au-décessus des forces humaines de concevoir un était de propriété absolument différent de celui que nous fait connaître l'expérience".

CHAPTER VIII

MÜLLER, LIST AND VON THÜNEN

Muller

Of the group of three German writers discussed in this chapter, Adam Muller1 (1779-1829) is the least known in this country His has been a curious fate. If not entirely forgotten, he at least suffered a considerable measure of neglect until, quite recently, the neo-romantic school in Germany, elaborating a point of view similar to his, have recalled him from his oblivion and have engineered for him a reasonably successful revival Dr Spann would persuade us (according to the edition consulted) that Muller was the greatest economist of all. or of his own, times As his contemporaries included a Ricardo and a Malthus, even the more cautious eulogy savours of extravagance To others, again, it may appear that Muller was not an economist at all, but rather that he was merely one who wallowed in windy words Yet even if doubts be entertained on this point, he is rightly admitted here as representing a distinct line of criticism and because of his spiritual kinship with List

¹ Born in Berlin, 1779, studied in Berlin and Göttingen, received into the Roman Catholic Church in 1805, ultimately entered the Austrian State Service, and finally became Councilion in the State Chancellory in Vienna. His chief works were the Elemente der Staatskunst (1809) and the Versuche enner neuem Theorie des Geldes (1816), but there is in addition a good deal of miscellaneous writing.

Muller would probably have regarded himself as primarily a political writer, and only secondarily as an exponent of economic doctrine. His views on politics, it is true, are such that in his presentation politics and economics become inextricably intertwined, yet at the same time it is abundantly clear that his mind is preoccupied with considerations usually regarded as noneconomic To him more than to anyone else is due the reaction against certain aspects of Adam Smith's teaching Smith, without doubt, had raised his theory on the instincts and actions of the individual, though he had been by no means so one-sided as later criticism suggested Without violent injustice. Adam Smith's state could therefore be represented as being a conglomeration of individuals, the national wealth as the summation of individual substances, and for him the economic impulse was to be sought in the individual heart, moved by highly enlightened self-interest. In the phrase which later became so much beloved. Adam Smith was "atomistic". the public interest, so far as it was considered, was a byproduct, due to the silent functioning of an unseen hand With Adam Muller, on the other hand, the State comes first, and the individual has only significance in relation to the State It is therefore necessary to approach Müller's contribution to economics by way of his more general political doctrine.

Two dominant influences are obvious in the writings of Muller. In the first place, he was part and parcel of the so-called Romantic movement, and the leading representative of that movement on the political and economic side. As to the precise significance of the Romantic movement, as it existed in Germany, the phrase is one of those which are better left vaguely sensed than precisely defined. As a school the Romantics strove for the unseen and the eternal, for the intangible and the infinite; they concerned

themselves with the ultimate riddles of life, and so tended to a mysticism incomprehensible to those entangled in the seen and the temporal. The other primary influence is that of Burke, to whom Muller consistently refers in words of the highest eulogy.

The central point in Muller's theory is the Anstotelian doctrine that man is inconceivable outside the State Every individual is in the centre of civil life, intervoven with the State on all sides, just as every individual is at the central point in the life-period of the State, with a past lying behind, which must be respected, and a future which must be cared for. The State, then, is not an artificial creation, begotten for the attainment of particular ends and objects in civil life, it is the sum-total of civil life itself. In a phrase to which Muller constantly recurs, it is not merely a fundamental human need, it is the supreme human need.

Moreover—a point in which the influence of Burke becomes apparent—the State represents a continuity through time. In the great warfare which man wages with the earth, he has need of allies, and the alliance extends not merely to all those who are contemporaries in the struggle, but it also embraces all past generations, since no generation can successfully conduct this warfare, relying on its own strength alone. The State, then, is this double alliance, not merely of all who are at any time living together, but also of all those who follow each other in time. It is thus immortal and to be viewed not as something static, but as in continual motion. The mutual interdependence of all, in the stream of time, is thus made fundamental. The analogy of the household continually

¹ Elements der Staatskunst, Vol I, pp 29-31 The State is "das Bedürfniss aller Bedürfnisse des Herzens, des Geistes und des Leibes" References are to the recent editions in the Herdfinmen Series

^{*} Ibid , I, pp 59-60.

cecurs in Muller, each individual can produce and possess only in so far as he is a member of a group which together makes up a household, and it is because Muller believed that in the Middle Ages society was in fact constituted on such a family basis that his writings are so full of eulogies of the feudal system and of the place and function of a nobility in the State. That the State should be a leviathan, consuming the individual, is of the essence of Muller's political doctrine, and it is a not uncommon point of view in German speculation, what is more peculiar to him is that he somehow persuaded himself that his ideal was embodied in the feudal system.

With such a hasty sketch of the political background, it is possible to approach the more specifically economic doctrines of Müller. And first of all, his antagonism to . andividualism leads him to reiterated condemnation of absolute private property, that evil legacy of the Roman law, so gloriously absent under the feudal system result of cutting the individual off from the whole is that each and all will live in poverty, possessed by a lust for exclusive possession which no wealth, however great, may satisfy 2 As the members of a family, on an equal share-out. are all poorer than they were before, although arithmetically there has been no change in the sum-total of wealth. so in society there is a force present which heightens all values 3 Muller, indeed, finds that there are three kinds of property (a) pure private property, (b) corporative property; and (c) State property Moreover, all these elements are, or should be, present in all property, and the individual only possesses in so far as he is at all times prepared to share with others what is peculiarly his, and, should occasion arise, to surrender it to the State. Real

¹ Theorie des Geldes, p 10

^{*} Ibid . p 7 * Ibid . p 11.

property exists only in common possession, and all private property is but a right of usufruct 'Muller's devotion to the feudal system is due to the fact that in his view it embodied this principle, and indeed in one place he oddly defines feudalism as embracing all the legal bindrances in the way of private property a

Turning more to the nature of wealth and the meaning of production. Müller repeatedly emphasizes his divergences from Adam Smith In opposition to the doctrine of lassez-faire, what should be the task of the statesman? It should be to consider the totality of the needs of his State, viewed in the light of eternity Non-intervention is but the consecration of the right of absolute property, the renunciation of the claims of the State to all property? The doctrine which appeals to a law of Nature is " revolutionary" in so far as it implies that human institutions and laws are bankrupt . For Muller, the State is always in the centre of all things, and consequently all wealth, all production, all consumption must alike be viewed in the light of their reaction on this eternal thing which we call the State Value itself, as distinguished from price (which relates to the moment), is indeed but the significance which a thing has in the State, and for the perpetual rejuvenation of the State,5 and it is Muller's central criticism of orthodox Political Economy that it is a theory of wealth which takes no account of the value of things in this high sense 6 It concerns itself with things, never with persons Adam Smith, in Müller's indictment, is concerned only with tangible things that can be bought and sold; and admittedly. Smith's discussion of productive

¹ Ibid, pp 19-20
2 Staatshunst, I, pp 268-269
3 Theorie des Geldes, pp 102-103.
4 Ibid, p 132

^{*} Ibid , pp 58-59 * Ibid , pp 96-97

and unproductive labour, if viewed apart from the development of that rather foolish controversy, does seem rather harsh on the philosopher whose philosophizings are not embodied in a vendible book. But the question "What is wealth?" cannot, according to Muller, be considered apart from the other question "How does wealth come about? "1 A system of Political Economywhich aims at the multiplication of "products," and which removes all hindrances to the further increase of products, may result in a wealth which has no guarantee of its continued existence 2 Adam Smith, in limiting wealth to products which possess exchangeable value, had, like his predecessors, neglected other equally important questions Amongst other things, for instance, he had forgotten to consider what is the force or activity in the State which maintains wealth a

Thus we arrive at a view of Political Economy which is largely emancipated from external things which, if important, are important only because they increase the productive powers of the persons concerned 4 We have to discard the habit of counting wealth by sums and by figures As Muller, with characteristic Romantic affectation, observes "Nothing is worthy of admiration that can be expressed in numbers "8 He is indeed at one with Lauderdale, to whom he refers with approval, in rejecting current views on production and parsimony. The economic activity of society is at once an unending consumption and an unending production, and an excess of production over consumption is only apparent. National wealth is not to be arrived at by subtracting the nation's

¹ Staatskunst, I, pp 35-36

^{*} Ibid , I. pp 356-357
* Welche Kraft oder Thätigkeit im Staate ist erhaltend? "

⁽I p 375)

*Theorie des Geldes, p 14.

*Ibid, p 46

needs from the nation's products, that merely leaves a "dead deposit of possessions", on the contrary, true consumption is itself a source of national wealth, which exists in use as well as in possession 1. Out of the everlasting conflict between production and consumption there arises a higher product, which may be called the national credit, the national power, faith in the State, the State itself 2 The State is thus not merely the cradle in which all wealth is produced and the guarantor of all wealth. it is at the same time the end of all production and consumption

Akin to this is Muller's position with regard to what he termed spiritual capital It is in keeping with his. reverence for tradition and continuity that he should replace the familiar trinity of "land, labour and capital" by "Nature, man and the past" Capital is the contribution made by past generations to the present race of men for use in their struggle with Nature, and as such it belongs to the whole race of men and to all generations of men, subject to a right of usufruct 4 But so viewed, the legacy of the past is not merely material, it is also spiritual in character, hence alongside capital, as interpreted by Smith, it is necessary to find a place for spiritual capital To this idea Muller constantly recurs in one form or another. In this sense the wealth of the State comprises, among other more obvious things, the arts of administration, the constitution. the laws, and all great national memories 5 At times particular emphasis is laid on the element of legislation.

¹ See Theorie des Geldes, p 66, Staatskunst, I, pp 348, 474 ² Theorie des Geldes, pp 70-72 It is characteristic of the rather meaningless jargon which Müller loves that credit should be called "dieses Produkt aller Produkte"

Staatskunst, II, p 6

Ibid , I, p 161
Theorie des Geldes, p 12

as when he declares that the legislation of a Christian state is the only real wealth of that state, a proposition in an especial degree true of England, for England's power rests' not on the soil or on labour, but on capital—the drune capital of laws, customs, national reputation and credit.

Another point on which the polemic of Muller is directed against Adam Smith relates to division of labour citizen, he says truly, must not cease to be a complete man merely because he looks after his own business, and in an imaginative passage he pictures man in the beginning of society revealed in the comprehensive fullness of his capacities The whole State is then but a single man, but likewise the individual man is no less than the State All those who come after this original man together maker up the complete man in whom the various occupations of life are in as natural equilibrium as they were in him Thus division of labour (or of the various functions of society) is only possible by a personal relationship among those concerned, so that together, by their union, they shall represent a great and complete person 2 But while by progressive division of labour there is on this side an ever greater departure from the original man, in whom all activities were combined, the needs of each individual remain the same. Each one can therefore labour only on condition that all others labour for him He needs the totality of all others, in short, society, the union of all i

¹ Theorie des Geldes, pp 107-108, Staatskunst, II, p. 16 In a cunous elaboratou of the distinction between "physisches" and "gestiges" capital, Müller typifies them by the two great common possessions, money and language, thus giving four elements in national wealth land, labour, physical capital (money) and spuritual capital (speech, learning and writing) Corresponding to these, since even a philosopher cannot reasist the allurement of jungle, are the four classes of society: Lehrstand, Wahrstand, Nahrstand, and Verkehrstand. (Staatskunst, II, pp 29-33)

economic functions, the State, remains the "need of all needs," and this uniting force must become stronger as division of labour is carried further.

Adam Smith's curious explanation of division of labour, as due to a "propensity to truck," is mildly described by Muller as incomplete Division of labour springs from, and is dependent on, capital, or in Muller's use of the word, "the past," which furnishes a guarantee to the worker while he is devoting himself to his particular task. Yet division of labour (and it is to Müller's credit that he realized it) may in itself reduce men to the level of slaves and machines, unless there is a true national capital, the inheritance of the past, active in the minds of the workers to counteract these dangers?

In the course of his criticisms of Adam Smith, Muller constantly recurs to one point in partial extenuation of Smith's one-sidedness. It is that Smith's doctrines are t the peculiar product of Great Britain, and comprehensible when one has regard to the conditions prevailing in this country, and further, that the elements which Smith's doctrine neglected were those of most importance to continental nations where the conditions were different. Muller constantly speaks with an embarrassing appreciation of England. Smith, he says, could afford to neglect the element of spiritual capital, because everyone in England took that side for granted Production might there be emphasized to the apparent exclusion of the conservation or maintenance of wealth, because the principle of maintenance (Erhaltung) and of national unity had for centuries been instinctively implanted by the constitution and by the customs of the country also in Great Britain the application of the principle of division of labour would not have the evil effects it might

¹ lbid , p 138 2 Staatskunst, II. pp 17-23

have elsewhere, because of the existence there of a reintegrating national spiritual capital, whereby every Muller's doctrine be accepted, it is at least illuminating

citizen in this blessed island is again inspired and ennobled by the all-pervading spirit of former times and of common achievements in the past. It almost reads like a far-off echo of a verse in "Rule, Britannia" Whether or not As one of Muller's chief works is ostensibly devoted to a theory of money, a slight reference to his views on this aspect of economic doctrine may be expedient. Yet here, even more than elsewhere, Muller trails off into the mystical, the nebulous, the symbolic and, indeed, it is to be feared for most, the entirely incomprehensible It has been shown that the fundamental need of man is for reunion with his fellows, the desire for money is a reflexion of this desire for union or, otherwise expressed, for the State Men and things require to be linked together, and the great uniting force is the State. Money is the economic expression of this need for union, just as the law is its juridical expression. It is in fact a substitute for the State, and like the State, and, indeed, all property, it should be a common possession. Only in the moment of circulation, in passing from hand to hand, is money money, if it becomes an object of private possession and "rests." it ceases to be money In so far, then, as the essence of money is that it is the mortar that links society

together, money for Muller is something that goes beyond the ordinary conception of the market-place. In so far as any object is desired by two persons, it becomes money Money is thus a property inherent in all individuals (and things) in civil society by virtue of which they enter into relationship with other individuals The citizen himself, in so far as he becomes more necessary to others, becomes money; the more excellent he is, the more he is money So, elsewhere, the State is money; the law, likewise, is the purest form of money Money, thus representing the need of society, is something eternal, a primitive need, existing at all times in its two forms, later represented by metallic money and the "Word." It is, of course, open to anyone to define money (or anything else) as he or she chooses; but a theory of money as so defined is scarcely calculated to furnish much illumination, either at the Treasury or the Bank of England Into the abstruseness. and the curious symbolisms with which Müller's theory of money is adorned and obscured, it is, happily, unnecessary to enter here, but it is interesting to observe that Müller notes as one of the fortunate consequences of a paper circulation the fact that it links those who hold it more closely to their particular state. World-money or' metallic money, on the contrary, fosters the illusion that the holder's interests are dependent on the stream of world trade, and thus conceals the fact that he is much more directly dependent on the internal trade of his own country and on his own sovereign Gold and silver encourage the belief that wherever the language of metallic gold is heard, there is a man's fatherland, paper money attaches him to his own soil 3

List

Apart from the recent revival of interest in the origins of Romanticism. Müller's claim to consideration rests on the fact that his point of view is so largely reflected in Friedrich List³ (1789-1846). List was no visionary

For examples of the peculiar interpretation of money see Staatishunst, I, pp 33:-354, II, pp 78, 97-101, Theorie des Galdes, pp 180, 212 * Staatishunst, I, p 453 **Dorn at Reutingen in 1786, became Professor of Economics

at Tübingen in 1817 Obliged to resign in 1819, he entered the Würtemberg Chamber His agitation for reform led to his expulsion. Being released he emigrated to America, where he

dreamer He played a very practical part in the affairs of his day, and though the world broke him, he was yet a man of the world But, to a large extent, the substance of his views is in line with Muller's thought Muller saw darkly through a haze of mysticism and symbolism, and expressed in a cloud of words. List wrote and expounded in the spirit of a political pamphleteer.

For all practical purposes, List's contribution to economic doctrine is to be found in The National System of Political Economy 1 It suffers to a considerable extent from being very largely a tract for the times, issued for the encouragement of the Germany of List's day, and as such it is highly polemical in tone, the opponent to be disembowelled being a somewhat distorted Adam Smith. or an amalgam of Adam Smith and his less careful followers, known throughout List's volume as "the school" The attack on Adam Smith is lively and spirited, even if the book suffers from frequent and indeed intolerable repetition. Without aiming at a comprehensive review, certain ideas may be selected as representing the essential core of List's criticism of "the school"

Fundamental in his criticism is his contention that Adam Smith gives merely a theory of value, that his doctrine is nothing more than a shopkeeper's theory built up on the conception of "value in exchange" Wealth and the causes of wealth represent two entirely different ideas, and it is more important to consider the latter than the former.3 A person may possess wealth, but if he does

remained for seven years as journalist, etc. Returning to femanum be took up the causes of railway development, and of the Zoliveren Sufering ill-health and financial embarrass-ment, he died tragically in 1846 References to English translation by S S Lloyd * References to English translation by S S Lloyd * References to Political Economy, p 279

Müller's phraseology in making the same point is interesting, he contrasts "Nationalreichthum" and "Nationalbereicherung" (Theorie des Geldes, D 44)

not possess the power of producing objects of more value than he consumes, he will become poorer of producing wealth is therefore infinitely more important than wealth itself; it insures not only the possession and the increase of what has been gained, but also the replacement of what has been lost "1" On these lines List stresses throughout the contrast between what he calls the theory of values and the theory of productive powers, and illustrates the distinction by the example of two fathers. each with five sons and a surplus of one thousand thalers a vear The one invests his savings at interest, and keeps his sons at common hard work, the other uses his savings to educate two of his sons as "skilful and intelligent landowners," and in enabling the other three to learn a useful trade The former, acting on the theory of values, is the richer at his death, on the theory of productive powers, it is the latter who is to be commended 2

Throughout, therefore, it is the "development of productive powers" that has to be kept in view, and the causes that may influence this are infinite

"The Christian religion, monogamy, abolition of slavery and of vassalage, hereditability of the throne, invention of printing, of the press, of the postal system, of money, weights and measures, of the calendar, of watches, of police, the introduction of the principle of freehold property, of means of transport, are rich sources of productive power. We can scarcely conceive of any law or any public legal decision which would not exercise a greater or smaller influence on the increase or decrease of the productive power of the nation."

¹ National System of Political Economy, p. 108, and indeed all chap 12

² Ibid, p 112

^{*} Ibid , p 112

This, it will be observed, is nothing less than the sum total of the human legacy as incorporated in our national life, and indeed List so expresses it.

"The present state of the nations is the result of the accumulation of all discoveries, inventions, improvements, perfections, and exertions of all the generations which have lived before us, they form the mental capital of the present human race, and every separate nation is productive only in the proportion in which it has known how to appropriate these attainments of former generations and to increase them by its own acquirements. ""

This leads to the second and even more deliberate line of attack made by List on "the school," viz, their neglect of the national element. Quesnay had extended his investigations to the whole human race, and Adam Smith, following him, had likewise neglected true Political Economy Taking the literal meaning of the words, Political Economy ought to have reference to the life of a nation, the school had substituted for it "cosmopolitical or world-wide economy "2 Assuming a universal union or confederation of all nations, the Free Trade position is justified, but "the popular school has assumed as being actually in existence a state of things which has yet to come into existence "3 The position, however, as we know it, is that between each individual and the whole of humanity stands the nation, the embodiment of a tradition which List praises in words reminiscent of Burke and Muller 4 Individuals are not merely producers and consumers, they are also citizens of states and members of nations,5 and moreover, the producing power of all individuals is to a great extent determined by the

social and political circumstances of the nation ¹ The function of political economy, then, is to accomplish the economic development of the nation

It follows, therefore, that any attempt to keep economics free from politics is mistaken, and that the doctrine of laissex-laire is a delusion. As List says in one place—and he has been justified by the event—"the necessity for the intervention of legislative power and administration is everywhere more apparent the further the economy of the nation is developed. Laissex-laire is a maxim which sounds no less agreeable to robbers, cheats and thieves than to the merchant. It is not the function of the statesman to do nothing. "A statesman will know, and must know. how the productive powers of a whole nation can be awakened, increased, and protected, and how, on the other hand, they are weakened, laid to sleep, or utterly destroyed."

How, then, is this task of the statesman to be accomplished? The answer brings us to what is perhaps the central point in List's doctrine. Adam Smith had emphasized the advantages of division of labour as applied to any individual trade, as, for example, pinmaking, he had "no perception of the division of labour as affecting a whole nation," and as applied to a whole nation the principle of division of labour means the symmetrical development of all the powers of which the nation is capable:

"The popular school, masmuch as it does not duly consider the nature of the powers of production, and does not take into account the conditions of nations in their aggregate, disregards especially the importance of develoing in an equal ratio agriculture, manufactures and

commerce, political power and internal wealth, and disregards especially the value of a manufacturing power belonging especially to the nation and fully developed in all its branches."

This is the text on which List preached to the Germany of his times the imperative need for the encouragement of manufactures. His doctrine has obvious reference to the circumstances of his age as List saw them England was the workshop of the world, intent on nipping continental manufactures in the bud; was it safe for other countries to be content with a position of subordination, accepting this "insular supremacy"? List's argument is that the agricultural state is definitely inferior to the state which combines both agriculture and manufactures. and he is led. in emphasizing this point, to not a little disparagement of agriculture and to enthusiastic praise of the effects of manufactures in a community while it would hardly be proper to say that he reverts to mercantilist views, it is certainly true that he is led to a position entirely antagonistic to the Physiocrats He constantly recurs to the argument that navigation, inland and foreign trade, and agriculture itself, flourish only where manufactures have reached a high state of prosperity 2 A nation which possesses merely agriculture lacks the most essential division of operations among its inhabitants; it will attain not a half, scarcely a tenth, of the material wealth of the perfect nation 3 As against a "crippled state of agriculture," it is urged that in the state which introduces manufactures at the right time, the agricultural and industrial productive powers will react on each other, reciprocally promoting an increase -" indeed ad infinitum" says the optimistic List 4 A nation which carries on agriculture alone is like an

individual who lacks one arm.1 and how unhappy (with all respect to Varro and the Physiograts) is the condition of such a country, for there (to quote only one outburst) "dullness of mind, awkwardness of body, obstinate adherence to old notions, customs, methods and processes, want of culture, of prosperity, and of liberty prevail "2 Manufactures, on the other hand, are "the offspring, and at the same time the supporters and the nurses, of science and the arts "3 It is indeed only under the influence of manufactures that agriculture itself is raised to a skilled industry, an art, a science. Into the glories of the manufacturing state, where inventions are encouraged, where genius is valued and rewarded. where the gift of time is appreciated4 it is unnecessary to enter, it is enough that "all the mental powers of a nation, its State revenue, its material and mental means of defence, and its security for national independence, are increased in equal proportion by establishing in it a manufacturing power "5 Moreover, as has already been implied, this development of manufactures is required for the full development of agriculture itself farmer requires manufactures at his door, not in another country, manufactures increase the value of land tenfold. to the manifest advantage of the agricultural interests, as is evidenced by the fact that in a suitable nation the

¹ Ibid , p 130 1 Ibid , p 159

^{*} Ibid , p 161

^{4&}quot; How can the shepherd learn to estimate the value of time, to whom time is simply a burden which his pastoral pipe or sleep alone makes tolerable to him?" (p. 163) This beautifully indyluc conception of the shepherd's life still seems to survive from eighteenth century pastoral poetry. See Mr. Hearnshaw on the labour of the shepherd. "He sits and contemplates the stars, Nature does the rest, and he is rich" (Survey of Socialism, p. 351) As Mr. Robert Burns said of something else, supposed to be easy "Let them try". National System of Political Economy, p. 168

internal manufacturing production will occasion from ten to twenty times more demand for ordinary agricultural products than the most flourishing exportation of such products could do ¹

These considerations indicate the functions of the State. for with List economics remains political and national It is essential, in general terms, to promote the productive powers of the nation, in particular, it is imperative at the right time to secure the development from a lower to a higher stage-in the case which List had particularly in mind, from the purely agricultural state to the state combining agriculture and manufactures. In his complete statement, there are five stages of development through which a nation has to pass, and these he describes under the names of original barbarism, pastoral condition, agricultural condition, agricultural manufacturing condition, and agricultural manufacturing commercial condition It is in fact, however, only with the latter three that he is concerned 2. The primary weapon whereby a nation may progress from a lower to a higher stage is Protection in one form or another, but the common view that List is a stout, uncompromising advocate of Protection is as erroneous as the not uncommon impression that Adam Smith was a free-trader sans phrase It is true that in List the emphasis is all on Protection, because he is primarily concerned with the stage at which, on his view. Protection is appropriate. But in a complete statement of his theoretical position, List is properly to be described as being neither a Protectionist nor a free-trader Neither policy is for him an end in itself, each is merely a means, and the appropriate policy will depend on the needs of each case "History teaches us," as he says, "how nations . may and must-without on that account forfeiting the

end in view-modify their systems according to the measure of their own progress "1 In the earlier stages they will adopt Free Trade with more advanced nations as a means of raising themselves from a state of barbarism, and of making advances in agriculture, in the next they will promote the growth of manufactures, fishenes, navigation and foreign trade by means of commercial restrictions, and in the last, when they have reached the highest degree of wealth and power, they will revert to the principle of Free Trade-in order to preserve their agriculturalists, manufacturers and merchants from indolence He cites Spain, Portugal and Naples as examples of the earliest of these stages where

Free Trade is appropriate, Germany and the United States of America as examples of the next where Protection is the expedient policy, with France near the boundary of the highest stage, and Great Britain as the only example where the ultimate adoption of Free Trade is appropriate It is desirable to examine more closely the place, the purpose and the extent of Protection in the system of List The ultimate goal is Free Trade, but there can be Free Trade only among equals, hence "in order to allow freedom of trade to operate naturally, the less advanced nations must first be raised by artificial measures to that stage of cultivation to which the English nation has been artificially elevated "2 The artificial measures are, of course, represented by Protection, which is the only means of placing backward nations on terms of equality with a predominant nation 3 In speaking of the adoption of Protection. List has in mind, however, only nations of a considerable magnitude, and he speaks throughout rather contemptuously of small states "A small state," he says, "can never bring to complete perfection within its territory the various branches of * Ibid . p 107 * Ibid . p 103 1 Ibid , p 93

production In it all Protection becomes mere private monopoly." It is only by alliances with more powerful nations and by excessive sacrifices that such a small state can with difficulty maintain its independence 1 But even where Protection is appropriate, List is under no illusion on the point that it represents an immediate sacrifice It is a sacrifice of present advantages in order to insure future ones,2 but these sacrifices, he contends. are made good a hundredfold, and they are therefore properly to be regarded as reproductive outlay by the whole nation 3 He would doubtless have approved of the contrast recently drawn between the principle underlying a national debt and that implicit in Protection the case of a national debt it is thought proper that a part of the burden incurred for the benefit of the present generation should be borne by the future, under a protective system, expenditure for the benefit of the future is borne by the present, in either case, the apportionment is effected by the State, which alone has a sufficiently roving eye over time. When we turn to the extent of the Protection to be afforded, there are considerable limitations. In the first place, it is argued, on wholly orthodox lines, and in accordance with the principles of the school, that, so far as concerns the interchange of raw products, the "most extensive liberty of commerce" is always advantageous both to the individual and to the entire State Manufactured goods are in a different case, because here restrictions on importations "call into life and activity a mass of natural powers "4 The case for Protection is then limited to those states which, so far as manufactures are concerned, are capable of reaching the highest degree of civilization, "but which are retarded in their progress

^{*} Ibid , p 142 See also p 247 * Ibid , p 183. * Ibid , p 117 * Ibid , p 175

by the competition of a foreign manufacturing power," and even so, such a measure is specifically designed for the purpose of industrial development only, and "is justifiable only until that manufacturing power is strong enough no longer to have any reason to fear foreign competition "1 Moreover, it should not "exclude foreign competition at once and altogether, and thus isolate from other nations that nation which is thus protected "Nor should all branches of industry be protected in the same degree, it is only the most important that require Protection 2 The importation of machinery should also be free until such time as the country is in a position to produce it for itself. As to the height of the protective barrier, List says in one passage that when an industry cannot be established by means of an original protection of from forty to sixty per cent, and cannot continue to maintain itself under a continued protection of from twenty to thirty per cent, "the fundamental conditions of manufacturing power are lacking "3 It is also essential that the duties should be known in advance and maintained inviolably, and on this point he attacks Adam Smith, not without reason, on the ground of his (rathor half-hearted) approval of retaliation-a principle which would lead, in List's eyes, to "the most absurd and most ruinous measures," consequent on the disturbing influence of duties imposed and again immediately removed 4

It will be observed that, for List, Protection is strictly a transitional phase, yet there is a strange contradiction between the List who is concerned with a world of warring nations, and the List who appears almost as a prophet of the League of Nations. In the one case, he proclaims the doctrine that "Germany must care for

¹ Ibid , p 144 2 Ibid , pp 144-145

³ Ibid , p 251 6 Ibid , pp 254-255 .

Germany, and Russia for Russia": and urges that "instead of hoping and waiting and expecting the Messiah of a future Free Trade, it would be better that the cosmopolitan spirit should be thrown into the fire "1 In this mood also, inspired by a love of the big battalions. List is a pan-Germanist, making it clear that Holland and Denmark must come within the German Bund.2 elsewhere that Germany, with Holland, Belgium and Switzerland, should become a "commercial and political whole,"3 and finding war itself beneficial, if it leads to Protection and the advancement of a state from the purely agricultural stage to the stage of manufactures 4 Yet elsewhere, and doubtless with sincerity, he contemplates in the by-no-means remote future, the union of all nations, and indicates the forces making in that direction; Protection is indeed but the most efficient means of furthering such a union, and hence also of promoting true freedom of trade 5 It is of the highest interest in illuminating List's position that in his criticism of the mercantilist system, one of the reproaches he urges against it (again doubtless with sincenty) is that "chiefly owing to its utterly ignoring the principle of cosmopolitanism, it does not recognize the future union of all nations, the establishment of perpetual peace, and of universal freedom of trade, as the goal towards which all nations have to strive, and more and more to approach."

Von Thunen

Despite laudable efforts, such as those of Dr. Spann, it

```
<sup>1</sup> Ibid p 75

<sup>2</sup> Ibid , p 143

<sup>3</sup> Ibid , p 332

<sup>4</sup> Ibid , p 101, 103

<sup>6</sup> Ibid , p 272
```

as hardly possible to regard Johann Heinrich von Thünen1 (1783-1850), the third of the present group, as having any great spiritual kinship with Muller or List. He is indeed an economist by accident, because of his interest in one problem which was every day before his eyes as a practical agriculturalist. Also he was a man of a kindly heart who thought of his fellows, and was therefore led to 10t down his reflections on the social conditions of his humbler neighbours. But it is fairly safe to say that Muller would have bored him, and he showed small interests in the problems which excited List On the vexed question of the claims of nationality, he merely says that nations should cease to regard themselves as the "middle-point of the earth," and recommends that they should take as their aim the well-being of humanity. adding a word in praise of England for having recently shown a disposition to follow this self-sacrificing path 2 One gets the impression that the political side of economics (fundamental with Muller and List) had little attraction for you Thunen He was a landowner, interested in his estates and his workmen on the estates. with a passionate hobby for keeping accounts in the evening

Von Thunen's position in the development of economic theory is due to the work to which he gave the title of The Isolated State (Der Isoherte Staat), a work for which the whole of his life was in a sense the background He acknowledges himself as the disciple of Adam Smith, but Smith, as he rightly says, had been confused on a number of points He had confounded profits and interest, he had not properly explained rent; 18om 1783, the son of a landed proprietor in Oldenberg, for

² Der Isolierte Staat, p 514 (References to the edition in the Sammlung Sozialwissenschaftlicher Meister)

a time a student at Götingen In 1810 he bought the estate of Tellow and remained there Died 1850

on numerous other points he was "incomplete". His reverence for the master urged him to complete Smith's doctrine. The result is *The Isolated State*, a book which grew in the course of its author's life.

The Isolated State is among the most remarkable (and one is fempted to add, most curious) books in economic literature, alike in form, method and substance In its final form it is almost formless, an obvious bringing together of notes, comments, and arithmetical examples, with little unity of subject between the abstractions of the isolated state and the consideration of the social problem, which is jointly aftacked by humanitarian aspirations and alsebrace formulae.

It is in regard to its method that The Isolated State is most obviously original. Von Thunen had a fresh mind, not overburdened with the learning of others a premature engagement had snatched him betimes from the snares of excessive study and of the University of Gottingen The whole conception of the isolated state. with which his mind had amused itself from an early age, is highly abstract, and in a sense the kernel of von Thunen's work is concerned with unrealities The spirit of the mathematician hangs heavily on you Thunen On his first page he carefully sets out his "postulates" (Voraussetzungen), followed immediately by a statement of the Autrabe, the problem to be solved Euclid, as known to our younger days, would have smiled approval The postulates are, in short, a definition of the unrealities implicit in the isolated state. Imagine a large town in the midst of a fruitful plain, traversed neither by navigable river nor canal, the plain consists of uniform soil capable of cultivation, ending in the remote distance in an uncultivated wilderness, there is to be no other town in the plain, so that the town supplies all the

manufactures for the plain, and in return draws all its sustenance from the surrounding country. Such being assumed, there arises the question how the land will be tilled, and what effect greater or less distance from the town will exercise on agriculture

An abstract unreal problem, it will be observed, yet, curiously, you Thunen contrives to make his discussion bristle with reality Indeed, it may be said that von Thunen and Ricardo, the two masters of the abstract method, knew, better than any other economist, what they were talking about Von Thunen had had practical experience of farm management on his estate at Tellow. heaven knows how he had kept accounts His problem, being one solely of distance from the market, reduced itself ultimately into that of cost of transport, and no one had ever known, so accurately as von Thunen did, what everything on his estate had cost The isolated state, in fact, is built up on the experience gained at Tellow, and the carefully studied accounts of years provide the concrete material from which conclusions are drawn.

It requires little proof that in the unreal conditions postulated (which also inferentially exclude the existence of any main roads), cultivation will automatically be arranged in a series of concentric circles round the fown, according to the cost of transport of the commodity and the ratio in which its value stands to its hulk and weight But von Thunen's reputation does not depend on his success in unveiling the obvious. The order in which he arranges his six circles is in part in accordance with what might be expected, and in part somewhat surprising; but

¹ Market-gardening, forestry, Fruchtwechselwirthschaft, Koppelwirthschaft, Dreifelderwirthschaft, cattle (It is perhaps prudent not to translate words which can only be mistranslated) Spann comments on the high place assigned to forestry, that its

in any case the actual conclusion on this point is unimpor-What is significant throughout is the use of a technique suggestive, and indeed in places an anticipation, of much later methods of approach Thus he points out that in the inner circle devoted to garden produce, milk must also be included, both by reason of cost of transport and perishability, and he goes on to explain that, accordingly, the price of milk must rise so high that the land devoted to the production of milk cannot be used more advantageously for any other product 1 After a careful analysis of the cost of transport (with due allowance for the maintenance of the horses on the journey) he presents a diminishing scale of values for grain at different distances from the town until, at a distance of 40 05 miles, the grain becomes valueless, and beyond this distance cultivation becomes impossible, even if the grain could be produced without cost 2 It is but a simple elaboration of this idea to arrive at von Thunen's conception of rent, one of the points on which he was consciously acting as a corrective of Adam Smith A farm or estate (ein Gut) is always provided with buildings and other equipment which can be separated from the soil, the income which the farm yields does not therefore spring wholly from the soil, but is also in part interest on capital sunk in these valuable objects That part which remains after deduction from the total income of what is represented by interest on all capital sunk in objects which can be disassociated from the soil (and which thus belongs to the soil itself) is termed the rent of land,3 and on this definition a negative

relegation in practice to remote regions is largely due to the fact that forestry is assigned to places not suitable for other culture

¹ Ibid , p 13. 2 Ibid , p 18

² Ibid, p 23 The test of "separability from the soil" (dis nom Boden getrennt worden können) obviously covers an

amount of rent is theoretically conceivable A consideration of the causes that determine the price of grain naturally leads to an explanation of the origin of rent In order to obtain the corn it needs, the town must be prepared to pay a price which is sufficient to pay the cost of production and the cost of transport in the case of the most remote producer whose grain is required On this, von Thunen frames a general law (for the isolated state and reality) that "the price of corn must be so high, that the rent of that farm whose cost of production and delivery is highest, whose cultivation is at the same time necessary for the satisfaction of the demand for grain, shall not fall below zero "1 But, for the purchaser, grain produced in the vicinity of the market is of equal value with that produced at a distance, and he is indifferent which of the two has cost most to bring to market producer in the neighbourhood of the town receives over and above what it costs him, is for him pure gain ", it is a gain, moreover, which is perpetual and yearly recurrent, so that the soil of his farm yields a yearly rent Hence we get the explanation of rent "The rent of a farm arises from the advantages which it possesses over the worst farm, whether in situation or soil, the production of which is necessary for the satisfaction of demand "2

It is obvious at what point von Thunen has arrived, he has evolved the Ricardian theory of rent, although primarily in terms of situation and distance from the market, and not, as in the case of Ricardo, in terms of quality 3 When he comes to discuss taxes on rent, it is ambiguity, does the interest on the cost of improvements not so separable fall within or without von Thunen's conception of rent?

¹ Ibid , p 226 2 Ibid , pp 229-230 The whole argument of The Isolated State postulates uniformity of soil, so that situation would be the only variable, yet von Thünen shows a disposition to speak of superiority in 'Lage und Boden "

obvious that he has very clear ideas as to what the conception involves If a portion of "land-rent" has to be surrendered to the State, this will, he says, in no way alter the form or the extension of agriculture. The farms whose rent is near zero, will contribute very little to such a tax, and the most remote and the worst will not the affected Such a tax, therefore, could not detirmentally affect the extent of cultivation, the population, the application of capital, or the quantity of produce, indeed, if the whole of the rent were taken away by the tax, the cultivation of, the soil would remain as before 1.

In elaborating his theory of rent, you Thunen has in effect made use of the idea of the margin, and it is surprising how, throughout his speculation, he anticipated the technique of the later marginalist school Thus, with regard to wages, he lays down the principle that on an estate, beyond a certain point, each additional worker will vield a smaller addition to the product, the twenty-second less than the twenty-first, the twenty-third less than the twenty-second and so on, and that the increase in the number of workers must therefore be continued until the additional value vielded by the last worker is equal to the wage which he receives 2 Further, the wage which the last worker receives must act as the norm for all workers, since unequal remuneration cannot be made in respect of the same work 3 Here, undisguised, is the theory of the marginal productivity of labour So again. in discussing the relation of interest and wages, he emphasizes "the diminishing effectiveness of capital" in a wholly modern manner. By means of a lengthy table, such as von Thunen delights in, he shows that capital, as it increases in amount, can only find less profitable outlets, and that at each stage of its increase, competition will force down the rate of interest to that which the least

profitable opening can afford to pay. Consequently, interest (the rent which capital yields) is determined by the yield of the last portion of capital applied, and it is notable that in determining the rate of interest von Thunen regards the successive increments of capital as being infinitely small?

Even a brief notice of von Thunen would be incomplete without reference to an aspect of his writings which is somewhat remote from the abstract isolated state was troubled by the condition of the worker and the lowness of his wages, and he sought for something more satisfying than he could find in the pages of Ricardo. In a section " on the lot of the workers," incorporated in the second part of The Isolated State, he gives expression to what he calls "a dream of grave substance" It is a great evil, he says, that the most numerous class, that of the common hand-worker, is unrepresented in the State The reward of the hand-worker is disproportionately low compared with that of those who conduct industry, and there is no remedy by transference from one class to the other, because of the absence of "school-knowledge," which the worker cannot afford for his children owing to his low wage. These low wages, again, are due to the fact that, by reason of early marriages, the supply of workers is always greater than the demand To remedy this, nothing short of a change in the character of the people is required, and von Thünen pleads for greater prevision, postponement of marriage and better education, from all of which such astonishing results are anticipated, that even the word Paradies does not appear out of place

Viewed apart from this dream, there are two aspects

¹ Ibid , pp 495, 498, 558 "Es folgt hieraus dass wir das zuletzt entstandene und angelegte Kapitalteilchen, durch dessen Nutzung der Zinsfuss bestimmt werden soll, sehr klein—genau genommen, unendlich klein—annehmen müssen."

³ Ibid . D 440

of the wage-problem with which von Thunen grapples: firstly, why cannot wages rise so as to absorb the rent which the owner draws without labour? Secondly, is the low rate of wages founded in the nature of things, and thus in accordance with the will of Providence, or is the present position the result of force and oppression? On the first point von Thunen traces, in the most approved manner, the effects of a rise of wages "at the margin of cultivation, where the soil gives no rent,"1 leading ultimately to a drawing-in of the margin of cultivation towards the town, with displacement of labour and subsequent depression of wages On the problem of the true rate of wages, von Thünen rather tends to provide one of the curiosities of economic literature. He points out that wages must comprise two constituent parts. (I) What the worker must expend for his maintenance in order to remain efficient, and (2) what he receives as a reward for his exertion,2 so that Roscher hails him as one of the earliest to view wages as something more than the price of a commodity, "labour" But beyond this, his contribution to the theory of wages is somewhat bizarre In the pursuit of a natural and a just rate of wages, you Thunen proves, with a vast display of mathematical formulæ, and indeed along various lines, that the true formula for wages is represented by /ap, where a represents subsistence, and b the productivity of the worker The proof, such as it is, rests on assumptions which are unreal, and the very celebrated formula cannot therefore be regarded as more than a symbol of the view that the worker should share in the prosperity which he produces In practice, von Thunen would appear to have

¹ It is perhaps worthy of notice that von Thünen uses the word "Grenze," now irremediably consecrated "An der Grenze der kultuverten Ebene des isoherten Staats, wo der Boden keine Rente gibt" (tbid, p 463)

MULLER, LIST AND VON THUNEN

introduced a kind of profit-sharing scheme on his estate, which doubtless represented a distant obersance to \sqrt{ap} . Von Thunen himself, however, regarded the formula as his crowning achievement, and desired that it should be inscribed on his tombstone—a medium of publication in which strictness of proof is seldom exacted

CHAPTER IX

THE OPTIMISTS. CAREY AND BASTIAT

It has been seen that classical Political Economy, as represented by Malthus and Ricardo, tended to certain pessimistic conclusions, above all in the inferences to be drawn from the Law of Rent, based on a Law of Diminishing Returns, and from the so-called Principle of Population, especially if this latter be carelessly apprehended Moreover, apart from the disconcerting vision of a future in which an increasing proportion of the world's produce would be diverted to an idle class, in which for an ever-increasing number there would be "no place at Nature's feast," it is to be noted that the classical school tended to a system of disharmonies and conflict. Even if outward peace might be preserved, there was at the heart of things incompatibility of interest.

Carey

The reaction to a more optimistic view of things is primarily and most conveniently represented by Henry Charles Carey¹ (1793-1879), who indeed was at one time

¹ Born in Philadelphia in 1793, the son of an Irish emigrant who had become a successful publisher Carey succeeded to the business, but retired while still young (1835), and devoted the rest of his hie to producing economic interstire. Few authors surely can have written quite so much. His larger works cover some thriteen volumes, and his pamphlets are at least fifty-seven in number, not all of which are mercifully small. His chief works are Essay on the Rate of Wages, Principles of Political Economy (three volumes). The Past, the Present and the Future, Harmony of Interests, and The Principles of Social Science (three very large volumes).

regarded as the representative of a peculiarly American Political Economy In his time he exercised great influence, though less so in this country than in America and on the Continent, for us, at least, he has now faded into comparative insignificance, cursed by his own voluminousness and repetitiveness, and, it must be added, by a rather naive foolishness But to the persevering and buoyant reader he is not without interest. He had ideas which are not wholly unjust, he is, above all, the supreme example of the truth that the economist reflects his environment Moreover, there is always profit in reconsidering an author who, like Carey, has continued to rank higher elsewhere than with us While others may have been more than generous, we may have been less than just

The central point in Carey's theorizing is probably most conveniently found in his theory of value 1 Labour is. for him, the sole cause of value, but Carev's labour theory of value has variations which lead to his peculiar con-In the earliest Robinson Crusoe stage of economy (to which Carey is much addicted) articles will be measured or exchanged in proportion to the labour required, but with the appearance of capital on the scene, a change is effected in all existing values, and things will now exchange in accordance with their cost of reproduction But with the progress of humanity, this cost of reproduction steadily falls, and there is thus a steady decline in the value of all existing capital Value, in Carey's rather peculiar phrase, is an "estimate of the resistance to be overcome before we can enter upon the possession of the thing desired " It follows from this that, as labour aided by capital is superior in quality, the exchange value of labour expressed in commodities will constantly increase,

¹ Principles of Political Economy, Vol I, chap 2, especially pp 19-20

while at the same time existing capital will experience a corresponding decline in values, expressed in labour, since at each stage its value is limited by its cost of reproduction, which by hypothesis is constantly falling. Moreover, not only is the labour value of all previously existing machinery constantly declining, but Carey also shows, by reasoning which is by no means impeccable, that there is likewise a diminution in the proportion of the product of labour that can be demanded for granting the use of it. The position of the labourer, that is to say, is constantly improving, the capitalist's reward tends to increase absolutely, but it is a diminishing proportion of an increasing product.

Carey extends the sphere of his harmony by entirely identifying land with other forms of capital, and thus eliminating rent as a separate category. He held that "capital in land differs in no respect from that invested in other machinery, that rent is only interest for capital invested, and that the value of all landed property is due. like that of all gifts of Nature existing in unlimited quantity, solely to the labour employed in its appropriation and improvement "2 The analogy with other forms of capital also holds inasmuch as with the progress of society, later farms are brought under cultivation at less cost, so that here also the cost of reproduction is constantly falling This is proved by the fact that land invariably sells at less than its cost of subjugation, which sufficiently refutes the Ricardian assumption that a payment is made for the "original and indestructible powers" of the soil These last, in fact, have no existence

It will be observed that Carey's views are the expression of a belief in the progress, and indeed the indefinite progress, of society Everywhere he reflects the spacious

¹ Social Science, Vol I, p 158, Vol III p 133 ⁹ Political Economy, Vol I, pp 129-130

THE OPTIMISTS. CAREY AND BASTIAT 251

days of the early settler, when every new man added to the primitive community represented a fresh ally in the struggle against Nature. It is characteristic of Carey that, like Muller, though with a different emphasis, he makes association the fundamental need of man "The first and great desire of man is that of association with his fellow men, and it is so because he feels that improvement of his condition, physical, moral, mental and political, is to uniform accompaniment "1" The development and growing strength of the early community in which "the carpenter comes to exchange his labour for food," or the farmer rejoices in the arrival of the blacksmith, furnish, in his writings, a constantly recurring idylic picture

Thus, viewing life from the point of view of a settler in a new country, Carey is led to deny, and indeed with vituperation and abuse, the Law of Diminishing Returns (and with it the Ricardian Law of Rent), as also the Malthusian Principle of Population Ricardo, he saysand it is a significant sentence—"had never witnessed as at the moment we do from the window at which we write, the progress of a new settlement" In his restatement of Ricardo's theory, the whole doctrine is represented as resting on the proposition that in the commencement of cultivation, the best soils alone are cultivated fact exists, or it does not If it has no existence, the (Ricardian) system falls to the ground " Accordingly a very large part of Carey is taken up with a wide inductive survey tending to prove, and with success, that the order of cultivation is the reverse of that which he regards as the essential basis of Ricardo's theory It is the inferior soil that is first cultivated, and it is first cultivated because it is less cumbered with vegetation and therefore more manageable in the earlier stages It is only with increased power and with association that a descent is made from

the hill-sides to the richer valleys "We know of no exception to this rule, and we feel assured that none exists or can exist." In accordance with his identification of land with other forms of capital, "the earth," for Carey, "is a great machine given to man to be fashioned to his purpose," but it is of the essence of all progress that man everywhere begins with the worst and proceeds to the best. First of all there are axes of stone, then of iron and lastly of steel. It is only in regard to the machinery for obtaining food, if we accept Ricardo's theory, that man begins with the best and proceeds to the worst. 2

It may be conceded that as a matter of historical investigation, Carey amply establishes his point regarding the order of settlement There are, however, two obvious comments In the first place, despite Carey's dogmatism. Ricardo's theory in no wise postulates a historical progress from the best soils in the beginnings of cultivation to inferior soils later. Ricardo was concerned with comparative fertility at any given time. That, for a time, there may be increasing returns in agriculture, that the more fertile soils at one time may be less fertile later. would have left Ricardo cold In his voluminous criticisms of Ricardo, Carey proves primarily that he had failed to understand what Ricardo meant, just as elsewhere he manifests a similar lack of comprehension with regard to Malthus But Carey's work is not in vain. Apart from the historical interest of his researches, he has doubtless compelled a more careful statement of the Law of Diminishing Returns by necessitating emphasis on these blessed words, "beyond a certain point" The other aspect provoking comment is the obvious fallacy involved en the constantly recurring analogy of the stone, the iron

¹ The Past, the Present and the Future, pp 21-39 ² Political Economy, Vol I, p 25

and the steel axe, as typifying progress from inferior to superior instruments. In the first place, when menadvance beyond the stone axe, the stone axe is scrapped Not so with the inferior land first cultivated, even if temporarily abandoned, it is kept in reserve secondly, when, if ever, the human race, made strong by association, has gone down into the valleys everywhere, there will obviously be no more valleys to descend, no lands of still higher fertility to cultivate, and the only course will be to return to what may have been temporarrly abandoned In short, with regard to machinery the human race tends to restrict itself to the best known at any time, so that the instruments in use tend to be of uniform efficiency It is quite otherwise in land, where "instruments" of different efficiency are necessarily used simultaneously, and herein lies the whole of the Ricardian theory of rent

But these things do not trouble Carey, for to him the world is limitless, nor is there any obstacle to the constant progression of increasing returns in agriculture And indeed, greatly daring, he has the courage to say so expressly "There is now, and always has been, an abundance of unoccupied land", there is an "almost infinite extent of soil capable of vielding food " which vet remains unoccupied and unproductive. The earth is the great labour savings bank, the only bank "whose dividends are perpetually increasing while its capital is perpetually doubling," and it will continue to do so for ever, provided it receives back the refuse of its produce -a point of importance in connection with Carey's protectionist views. In short, agriculture is the only field "in which an almost unlimited amount of labour and capital might be employed at a constantly increasing rate of return "1

¹ e g , The Past, the Present and the Future, pp 77, 99-

These considerations, in themselves, indicate in part the nature of Carey's reply to Malthus It is fundamental to his doctrine that under natural conditions, and unless prevented by disturbing causes, capital will tend to increase more rapidly than population 1 This merely reflects the virtue of association and the increasing power of numbers over the forces of Nature, when "each successive addition to the population brings a consumer and a producer."2 when the first early settlement, poor by reason of the paucity of its numbers, advances in efficiency and the power to produce wealth with each accession All this is true Men cannot live alone, and when population is sparse, the first condition of an increase of welfare is an increase of population. But beyond this caveat, perfectly justifiable in view of the conditions of America in the early nineteenth century. Carey advances to a numble of curious arguments against Malthus The one which weighed most with him is theological in origin, for whereas God had said: "Be fruitful and multiply," the modern school of Political Economy says "Be not fruitful; do not multiply" "It prescribes disobedience to the earliest of God's commands, it ascribes poverty and wretchedness to the erroneous arrangements of the Deity "3 In a curiously confused criticism of Malthus, he points out that the Malthusian theory inverts the true order of things 4 It is when population increases that food is abundant Again, on the Malthusian theory when the Malthusian checks are operative, food ought to be most redundant: but during war there is distress. "Peace comes-the remedy is withdrawn-and capital begins again to

Wages, p 81

Harmony of Interests, p 86 Harmony of Interests, p 201, Wages, p 232 Wages p 244

increase "1 Put tersely "Is war required to correct an error of the Creator, or has the Creator Himself provided the corrective required for removing the effects of human error? "2 All this is to betray a naïve misunderstanding of Malthus, but Carey in crusading against Malthus is largely inspired by a desire to justify the ways of God to man, and his arguments directed to that end have a childlike simplicity distantly reminiscent of Fourier

One other argument, in itself inexpressibly foolish. which nevertheless has done good service in its time, finds its highest expression in Carey, and might also have been to the liking of Fourier Even if man has a tendency to increase in a geometrical progression, is he not for his sustenance dependent on lower animals and vegetables, and do they not manifest a similar tendency to increase in a geometrical ratio? But Carev would have us believe that the situation is even more comforting for man than this general reflection would indicate Men, the devourers, and rabbits, the devoured. may alike tend to increase geometrically, but it is notorious that rabbits are the more potent multipliers The increase in numbers is everywhere, he holds, in the inverse ratio of development, as the riddle used to put it. "the higher, the fewer" But on the Malthusian doctrine, when we come to man, "we learn the existence of a new and greater law, in virtue of which man increases in a geometrical ratio, while the increase of herrings, rabbits, ovsters, potatoes, turnips and all other commodities required for his use is limited to the arithmetical one "" Elsewhere he tells us that "rapid as has been the growth of American population, that of

¹ Political Economy, Vol III, p 54. ² Social Science, Vol III, p 270 ³ Social Science, Vol I, p 92

the supply of oysters has been far more so "1 On Carey's philosophy, the obvious remedy for unemployment is for the unemployed to take to the breeding of rabbits, or still better the cultivation of oysters

Equally deserving of note, if only as a curiosity, is the argument which centres in carbonic acid With progress and advancing civilization, the larger lower animals die out, with a consequent disturbance of the supply of carbonic acid necessary for the maintenance of plant life Hence, if only as producers of carbonic acid, there is need for more men to compensate for the extirpation of their larger but lower fellow-creatures Men must breathe more, if lions breathe less. This is but another example of the "beauty of all natural arrangements "2

In themselves such arguments are not sufficient to lay the Malthusian devil, and Carev realizes it. In his early writings he admitted that the time might come when "there will not be even standing room," but he is content to "leave that distant future to the benevolent care of the Deity "3 There is no immediate necessity for becoming idle, intemperate and profligate with a view to increasing the supply of food He admits, at this stage, that possibly, and even probably, the world will some day be over-peopled, "but we must leave it to our successors to adopt the remedies whenever they shall see cause to believe that they will thereby improve their condition ''4

Nevertheless, to an apostle of harmony, such a postponement of trouble to a future, however remote, could not be satisfactory, and in his final statement he admits

¹ Social Science, Vol III, p 351 ² Social Science, Vol III, pp 319-320 ³ The Past, the Present and the Future, pp 77 ⁴ Political Economy, Vol III, p 91

that if, as he puts it, "the procreative tendency is a positive quantity," slavery will be the ultimate condition of the great mass of the race, and man will ultimately be subdued by Nature 1 To meet this difficulty, there is one obvious method of argument. If it can be proved that the procreative power is not constant, but is in fact a variable quantity, it will be possible to re-establish the harmony so dear to Carey's heart Such, in fact, is Carev's line of argument He cites (although there is much unconscious Malthusianism mixed up with the argument) many instances of variable procreative power. and advances the general argument that there is a relationship between the nervous and the reproductive powers 2 As energy is diverted from the muscular to the nervous system, so will the ratio of procreation diminish Sterility goes with mental eminence, and as the race progresses there will be a natural diminution in the rate of increase Thus harmony is again established, the Malthusian checks become superfluous, because there is a divine, self-adjusting mechanism which will temper the flow of population

Carey is usually regarded as being the twin-exponent of Protection, alongside List But indeed his Protection is of a most unscientific kind, springing from instinct rather than from reason. It is not for him to pursue the intracacies of the incidence of import duties. His protectionism, when it comes, is again the natural ejaculation of a backwoodsman, girding at his primitive environment. At the outset Carey was an orthodox free-trader, regarding Protection as a device "to frustrate the beneficent designs of the Detty," but with his growing appreciation of the advantages of association,

¹ Social Science, Vol III, p 265 ² Social Science, Vol III, p 299

Wages, p 14

he became rapidly and somewhat foolishly protectionist Here Carey's fundamental idea, from which all others radiate, is the importance of bringing the producer and the consumer into close proximity, thus Protection represents an instinctive effort to obtain that combination which elsewhere he so fervently lauds With Carey there are no half-lights "Protection is right or wrong Free Half-way measures are Trade is right or wrong always wrong "1

Another idea constantly recurs in Carey, so that it almost acquires the importance of an idée mère. It is his own peculiar distinction between commerce and trade Commerce is the natural interchange between members of a community and is blessed, trade is the carrying of things to far countries and is accursed Epigrammatically put, the followers of commerce desire to effect exchanges with their fellow-men, those engaged in trade desire to effect exchanges for them 2

Carey's protectionism, then, is largely summed up in his proposition that "the first and heaviest tax to be paid by land and labour is that of transportation," and in a phrase of which he never wearies, he desires to eliminate this tax by bringing "the loom and the anvil to take their natural places by the side of the plough and the harrow" Most of his arguments, indeed, are but variants of this fundamental contention that "the object of Protection is that of diminishing the distance and the waste between the producer and the consumer," that it is no more than an instinctive effort to attain combination 3 Some of the variations of the theme, however, are interesting, and others not unamusing Protection promotes concentration, Free Trade dispersion

¹ Harmony of Interests, p 67 ² Social Science, Vol III, p 44 ³ Harmony of Interests, pp 52, 101, etc

THE OPTIMISTS CAREY AND BASTIAT 259

tion is competition for the purchase of labour, Free Trade for the sale of labour As war is encouraged if men are cheap. Free Trade encourages war Free Trade, again, stands for distant markets, Protection for the home market, but those who seek distant markets, are separated from their wives and children, spend much time on the road and in taverns, and are thus hable to be led into dissipation. Free Trade thus stands for the dissipation of the tavern. Protection for the blessedness of the home But these, and other striking points, are

perhaps but the embroidery of the argument 1 But there is one subsidiary argument of a different character The unprotected country which is restricted! to agriculture is in effect selling its own soil principle of returning waste products to the soil as a condition of the land playing its part in yielding everincreasing returns exercised a curious fascination over Carey's mind, and it involves as a consequence that the place of consumption must be sufficiently near to enable the earth to get back its own 2. Thus we arrive at all further conclusion, suggestive of List, that "manufactures always precede, and never follow, the creation of a real agriculture. In the absence of the former, all attempts at cultivation are limited to the work of tearing out and exporting the soil in the form of rude products "3 The reader who desires economic comment on this

argument will find it in its appropriate place (Book V. chap 10) in John Stuart Mill, who apparently thought it worthy of his heaviest artillery Despite a certain tendency to foolishness,4 Carev is

Harmony of Interests, pp 193, 202, 209, etc

^{*} Nocal Science, Vol. II. p. 273

Social Science, Vol. III. p. 333

"Truth being simple, simple ideas are generally true" is one of Carey's favourite aphoristic observations. It is hardly a promising foundation-stone for any system of thought

an important figure in the development of economic doctrine He represents primarily a reaction against the pessimism of the classical school His theory of value. resting on the idea of constant depreciation of existing wealth consequent on progress, was designed to prove the ultimate harmony of all things There is "a perfect harmony of real and true interests among the various classes of mankind "1-an idea to reappear in Bastiat This is his central doctrine, but it is naturally buttressed by vigorous attacks on the two chief sources of classical pessimism, the Law of Diminishing Returns and the Principle of Population Much of his criticism has at least the minor merit of compelling in others a greater fastidiousness of statement, if only in order to avoid being misunderstood and misrepresented by the Careys of this world His glaring and most obvious defect is that for which he reproached others, that he is, namely, so restricted and limited by his environment. He is pathetically the economist of the earliest stage of colonization. imbued with a touching faith that there will always be wild waste places, that there will always be room, and that men will never have cause to fear the stinginess of the earth or the embarrassing fecundity of women

Bastiat

Time has perhaps hardly been kind to Frederic Bastiat² (1801-1850), yet he deserves a place among the

¹ Social Science, Vol III, p 113

³ Born at Mugron, near Bayonne, m 1801, and lived obscurely on his farm until 1844, thouch influential in the intellectual and public life of his area. Thereafter accident and the Free Trade cause revealed his supreme pournalistic powers, and he entered a larger life. He was a member of the Assemblée Constituent in 1849, and of the Assemble Legislative in 1849. His last six years were years of exhaustive labour, and he seems to have died of overwork (at Rome) in 1850.

THE OPTIMISTS CAREY AND BASTIAT 261

landmarks His curse was that he was pre-eminently an espirit vulgarisateur in the convenient French phrase Not the least remarkable feature in Bastiat's career is the fact that he began to write so late in life, and, since he died young, his very considerable hiterary output was the result of about five years' feverish activity Primarily, Bastiat was a journalist, not using the word with any highbrow condescension, but merely implying its literal sense, that he was a man who served the needs of the day And as a journalist Bastiat has had few equals No one has ever been quite so skilful in making the case of his antagonist look extremely foolish Even now his most ephemeral work remains a joy to read, by reason of its wit, its merciless satire and the neatness wherewith he pinks his opponents

Bastiat, being a journalist, was, however, never able to remove himself from the controversies of the day. and his very skill in scoring off others has created a posthumous suspicion that, if not exactly a quack in the austere eyes of science, his was at least a shallow, if an agile, mind Bastiat was moved to write by two of the most seductive (because inexhaustible) and most enduring of journalist topics-the Free Trade and Protectionist controversy, and the eternal dispute concerning Socialism His objection to Protection is fundamentally the same as that which he urges against Socialism, they are both devices of exploitation. The manufacturer who cannot make a profit invokes Protection, whereby the State will guarantee him a profit at other people's expense, so likewise Socialism involves a denial of individual responsibility. Thus, in one of his most amusing skits,1 he arrives at his definition of the State as "the great fiction, by means of which everybody contrives to live at the expense of everybody else" It

is true that it is a rather crude Protection against which he tilts, just as there is not much subtlety in the Socialism against which he inveighs, but how effective his satire can be, is seen in the immortal petition of the candlemakers against the competition of the sun, or in the less well-known proposal to renounce the use of the right

hand with a view to the attainment of greater wealth, based on the following train of reasoning. "The more one works, the richer one is, the greater the obstacles to be

overcome, the more one has to work, therefore the greater the obstacles to be overcome, the richer one is " Perhaps it is all slightly puerile, and his reputation as a serious

writer has doubtless suffered from the faint aroma of the very junior class-room which hangs about his fables and

his allegories Nevertheless, the cause is fortunate which enlists the services of a Bastiat The assault on Protection and on Socialism represents the negative, destructive side of Bastiat, and it is seen at its best in The Economic Sophisms Before his death. he embarked on a more ambitious statement of the positive side of his doctrine, of which, however, only one volume appeared The title of this work, Economic Harmonies, sums up the essence of Bastiat He had attacked Protection and Socialism because they represented an unnatural organization of society-each of them devices whereby certain people could sponge on Himself an orthodox laissez-faire economist, he was troubled by the inferences drawn from the teaching of Smith, Ricardo and Malthus, pointing to an ultimate antagonism of interests As becomes an obdurate believer in liberty, he harks back to something like a natural order of society, and speaks reverentially of "les grandes

loss providentielles" But where are we, if these great providential laws precipitate society towards evil, as the doctrines of Ricardo and Malthus would seem to

THE OPTIMISTS CAREY AND BASTIAT 263

indicate? Bastiat, however, cannot accept the view that the various economic laws (as of rent, of population and of value) are silently thrusting society towards injustice and inequality. Herein lies the significance of Bastiat, regarded as something more than a crusader in current controversy. A non-interventionist to the finger-tips, he sets out to prove that under the reign of liberty, so far from there being antagonism at the centre of things, there is complete harmony of all legitimate interests.

The essence of his doctrine is found in a rather peculiar view of value, and in a rigid distinction between value and utility 2 Utilities are of two kinds, there are, firstly, those that are accorded gratuitously by Providence, and there are those which are bought by our own efforts Now the whole essence of progress consists in making proportionately more use of the former than of the latter class, so that a continual process is going on whereby onerous utilities are displaced by gratuitous utilities Turning to the idea of utility, Bastiat seeks to evade difficulties by linking up the conception with that of a service "To accomplish an effort in order to satisfy the needs of another is to render him a service " Services are exchanged against services, and when exchange is free, the services which are exchanged are equivalent in value There, then, we have "value", it consists in the comparative appreciation of reciprocal services. or, more briefly, it is "the relation of two services exchanged "-a phrase which covers as miry a bog as any other definition To introduce the optimistic tinge, it must be remembered that, on this interpretation, the

¹ See the address A la Jeunesse Française prefixed to the Harmonies Economiques

¹ For a first approach, the most important chapters in the Harmonias Economiques are Chapter 2, "Besoins, Efforts, Satisfactions", chapter 3, "Des Besoins de l'Homme", chapter 5, "De la Valeur"

free gifts of Nature have no value The essence of value lying in human service, it follows that where no service is rendered or received, there can be no value. Thus utility springs from the collaboration of two agencies, which we may call Nature and man's efforts The former is gratuitous, the latter alone carries value. But, as has been seen, the meaning of progress and invention is that Nature is constrained to render a more efficacious assistance Thus utility tends to become more and more gratuitous (since the gifts of Nature are gratuitous) while value, which corresponds to man's share in the proceedings, tends to fall relatively to the utility While value rests in the service, and not in the "materiality," it may nevertheless he transferred from the service to the product, and in a sense be incorporated therein, but even when so incorporated in the product, it remains subject to all the hazards which might befall the service itself. If the service goes down in value, so will the value which has temporarily been incorporated in the product associated with that service

But this, assuming progress, is what must normally happen By continually making greater use of the gratuitous gifts of Nature, everything should cost less effort to make in future than it does to-day. Uthly may remain unimpaired, but capital tends to depreciate. In the exchange of present labour against past labour, the advantage will always he on the side of present labour. The workers, therefore, freed from the nightmare of the Iron Law of Wages, may look forward to a rosy future

So also, Bastiat undertakes the defence of the landed interests Land is not immune from the general law of slow depreciation. With Carey, he holds that the payment for land is not in respect of a gratuitous gift of Nature, it represents payment for past services in draining, fencing and all the rest of it, and as all these

THE OPTIMISTS CAREY AND BASTIAT 265

operations become easier, so the value of land must fall Thus rent is likewise a legitimate payment for services rendered in the past. Nor is capital overlooked in Bastiat's bounty It is not merely that he defended with the utmost vigour the legitimacy of interest, but he further proved, to his own satisfaction, that the progress of labour to a better position would be in no wise bought at the expense of the capitalist's reward. The capitalist's share might decline proportionately, but it would increase absolutely In all this there is much of that other optimist, Carey, whom indeed Bastiat has been accused of plagiarizing Even in his more serious work he can hardly escape the charge of special pleading, and of being unduly influenced by the case he desires to He would have the reader believe that he is not blind to the imperfections of this world but a perusal of the Economic Harmonies leaves the impression that, if only there be enough liberty, everything in the economic garden will be increasing'y more and

more beautiful

CHAPTER X

THE CLASSICAL TRADITION SAY, SENIOR, I S MILL AND CAIRNES

THE later development of the orthodox school of Political Economy (meaning thereby those writers who accepted in the main the body of doctrine which had been formulated by Adam Smith, Malthus and Ricardo) hardly calls for any detailed discussion in such a broad outline as this. Theirs was, in the main, a work of elaboration and systematization, yet not a few of them succeeded in emphasizing a point or, after much labour, gave birth to a phrase or an idea which long survived. It may be permissible to lump together in this chapter a few of these writers, some of whom are of considerable importance in themselves, yet for our purposes are necessarily less important than those who founded a tradition or opened up a new line of thought

Say

Among these, pride of place, or at least priority of time, may be assigned to Jean Baptiste Say¹ (1767-1832), who later has tended to suffer the neglect which is so often the

¹ Born in 1767 at Lyons, at first in business After vanous ups and downs, and more experience of business, he occupied a Chair of Political Economy at the Conservatoire des Arts et Métiers, and later for a short time at the Collège de France. In Addition to the Traité d'Economie Politique [183], he also wrote a Cours Complet d'Economie Politique Pratique (1828-1820)

Nemesis of overestimation by one's contemporaries. It is perhaps true that primarily his great achievement was to interpret to the French people and to the world the work of Adam Smith In the most interesting preliminary discourse prefixed to his treatise of Political Economy, he alternates between high appreciation of the work of Smith and somewhat scathing remarks upon his method or lack of method in the presentation of his arguments "The work of Smith," he says in an often quoted passage, "is only a confused assemblage of the soundest principles of Political Economy, supported by luminous examples and by the most curious notions of statistics, mingled with instructive reflections, but it is a complete treatise neither of the one nor of the other, his book is a vast chaos of just ideas, jumbled with positive knowledge" There is some truth in the criticism, and Ricardo likewise, as has been seen, had been a most disorderly writer It was Say's mission to put an end to this vast chaos, to bring order and method into the statement of economic principles, also to "render the doctrine popular," that is to say, to write a book which would be comprehended not merely by the expert

In both respects he achieved an astounding success He had a very clear idea of what Political Economy should be <u>Like other exact sciences</u> (for such he claimed it to be), it consisted of a small number of fundamental principles, and of a large number of corollares and consequences of these principles ² These principles are derived from the nature of things quite as surely as are the <u>laws of the physical world</u> The stage is thus set for the treatment of economics as a science, and in the titlepage we have consecrated the triple division into production, distribution and consumption of wealth—exchange

¹ Traité d'Économis Politique, Vol I, p 16-17. ² Ibid , p 27

at this stage being quite soundly regarded as a mere step under the first head of the trilogy So also Jand, Jabour and capital (with the entrepreneur looming large), and the corresponding revenues due to these productive agents, provide the framework of Say's exposition. The shadow of Say does indeed lie heavily across the text-books of the nineteenth (and perhaps the twentieth) century

He was equally successful in his other main object, that of rendering the subject "popular," in the sense explained by him Say had an orderly mind, and a peculiarly lucid and limpid style Even to-day he can be read with pleasure, whereas the re-reading of Ricardo calls for fortitude and a stout heart. As a result, Say was translated into many tongues, and was something of a best-seller, and in consequence it was perhaps he, more than anyone else, who taught the early inhereenth century its economics.

Apart from this great and mentorious work of vulgarization, certain ideas were peculiarly Say's own Of these, the one which had in its time most renown, and which was indeed for long regarded as Sav's passport into the company of the immortals, was the once celebrated "theory of markets" (la théone des débouchés) 1 This theory, which perhaps does not come to much, is to the effect that goods and services are only superficially bought with money, they are, in fact, bought with other goods The money is merely the "carriage" and services which, having effected the exchange of two commodities. will forthwith proceed to exchange others. But in reality, products are always exchanged against other products Consequently, he tells us one ought not to say does not take place because money is scarce, but because other products are so " It is likewise an integral part

¹ Traité d'Économie Politique, Vol I, chap 15, Des Débouchés pp 148-165

of the theory that a product, when created, offers from that very moment a market for other products General over-production is thus an impossibility. If certain products are in excess, it is because there is a deficiency elsewhere, and the cure for "over-production" in one direction is therefore more production elsewhere to serve as a debouché for this excess.

From his theory of markets Say draws three conclusions Firstly, the more numerous and extensive the markets are, the more will they prove to be lucrative, for there will thereby be an increased demand, tending to raise the price Secondly, from his theory he draws the time-honoured conclusion that everyone is interested in the prosperity of everyone else It is a foolish distinction to divide the nation into producers and consumers, everyone is both The prosperity of A makes him a good customer for B, C and D, who should therefore jointly pray for his success, just as the devout Hume prayed for the prosperity of France In the field of the nation's life. Say draws the conclusion (more suggestive of List and Carey) that agriculture, manufactures and commerce should flourish together, and in the international sphere (as in Adam Smith) that a nation is directly interested in being surrounded by wealthy neighbours: "car on ne gagne rien avec un peuple qui n'a pas de quoi paver " The third conclusion, drawn from the theory of markets (and it is of the essence of Free Trade doctrine), is that imports can in no wise be detrimental to home production or industry, since what is bought from abroad is purchased with home products, for which a market has thus been opened

There is perhaps in all this Théone des Débouchés nothing very original The essence of the doctrine can be found, for instance, in Quesnay's Dialogue du Commerce, where he says categorically. "que tout achat est vente, et

que toute vente est achat," and where he contends, as has been noticed in an earlier chapter, that the mercantulist doctrine of selling more than is bought merely aims at a "commerce commencé". Nor are the conclusions drawn by Say from the Los des Débouchés different from those to be found in Smith or Quesnay. His conclusion with regard to foreign trade, for instance, is but an echo, not merely of certain famous observations of Smith and Hume, but also of Quesnay's dictum. "Is ceux avec qui nous commercons n'étaient pas riches, nous ferions un pauvre commerce."

There is more active dissent from Smith in Sav's doctrine of immaterial products, which may be noticed briefly 1 Smith, misled by his idea that wealth must be "susceptible of conservation," has classified all manner of services as examples of unproductive labour But take the case of the doctor who cures a sick man Who (least of all the sick man) would say that this labour was unproductive? Further, was the "product" of the doctor incapable of being made the subject of an exchange? "In no wise, since the advice of the doctor has been exchanged against his fees, but the need of this advice ceased the moment it was given Its production consisted in saving it, its consumption in hearing it, it has been consumed simultaneously with its production "2 Such, then, are Say's immaterial products, where consumption-the consumption of a song, for instance-necessarily coincides with production While these may linger in the memory they have no exchangeable value once the moment of production is past, and clearly, as they are incapable of accumulation, they cannot increase the national capital And for this reason there are dangers (which almost bring him back to Smith's point of view) in an excessive amount

¹ *Ibid*, Vol I, chap 13 ² *Ibid*, Vol I, chap 13, p 121

of <u>immaterial products</u>, since in <u>imcreasing this kind of productive labour</u>, the <u>consumption is inevitably at the same time correspondingly increased</u>, and nothing is left over. If the consumption is a pleasure, good and well, but who is benefited if the complexity of the law merely gives employment to lawyers, and puts up their fees? Adam Smith smiles approval in the background

On one other point, at least, Say went some distance towards breaking new ground, though he did not tarry long enough to harvest the fruit Ricardo, in his twentieth chapter, pillories Say for his confused statements on value, setting out fourteen quotations and wringing his hands despairingly over the inconsistencies involved. It would indeed be difficult to summarize succinctly Say's views on value, nor perhaps do they greatly matter Inconsistency may spring from muddleheadedness, or from a laudable groping away from an untenable theory, even if the journey be never completed Say, in brief, was prepared to attach much more importance to the idea of "utility" than were his English contemporaries Indeed, by a careful choice of extracts, it is possible to find in Say an exponent of a theory of value which is wholly psychological, and in which the idea of cost of production is more or less eliminated Doubtless these could be rebutted by other extracts, in the compilation of which Ricardo will be found serviceable It is not, he says in one place, "the value of the productive services which determines the value of the products" On the contrary, "it is the utility of the product which makes it sought out, and which confers upon it a value, and it is the faculty of being able to create this utility which makes the productive

¹ Ibid., Vol I, pp 124-125 It is curious to note the lasser-faire doctrine come out at this point "Administrer ce qui devrait être abandonné à soi-même, c'est faire du mal aux administrés et leur faire payer le mal qu'on leur fait conime si c'étair un bien."

services he sought out, and which confers upon them a value "1" So also he speaks of the utility of a commodity raising its pince to the cost of production, it it fails to do so, nothing is of course produced 2 Even more emphatically, he states that "the value of the means of production comes from the value of the product which may result, which is founded on the use which can be made of this product or the satisfaction which can be drawn from it "1 These, in the history of the theory of value, would be interesting utterances, even if they led nowhere Ricardo was dissatisfied internally with his theory of value, Say was more vocal in expressing his doubts

Lastly, Say sings the praises of the entrepreneur, is strictly non-interventionist, and represents the aspirations of an industrial age

Senior

In such an introductory narrative as this, in which stars of the second magnitude must suffer extinction, some who are almost of the first rank must be accorded a brevity of notice which hardly corresponds with their real deserts of the considerable tribe of spiritual sons of Ricardo, Nassau William Semor' (1790-1864) is the one who in the next generation most calls for notice, firstly because he was himself an interesting personality, and secondly he also has to his credit a phrase which has been tossed down the years on the waves of economic controversy. Two points in Senior are perhaps worthy of mention here, the first relating primarily to his views regarding the "scope

qu'on en peut tirer" (Vol II, p 24)

Born 1790, called to the Bar, Professor at Oxford, member of the Royal Commission on the Poor Laws, 1832

¹ Ibid , Vol II, chap I, p 9 ² Ibid , Vol II, p 17

^{1&}quot; Un champ ou une usune ne procurent directement aucune satisfaction appreciable a leur possesseur, leur valeur vient donc de la valeur du produit qui peut en sortir, laquelle est fondée sur l'usage qu'on peut faire de ce produit, sur la satisfaction qu'on en peut tirer" (Vol II. p. 2).

and method " of Political Economy, the second relating to his analysis of the function of capital in production

On the first point, Senior represents a definite narrowing of the sphere of economics, and an accentuation of the tendency towards a certain aloofness and rigidity. He is indeed in theory (he is less so in practice) the supreme exponent of that view which regards economics as an abstract and deductive science which can be most appropriately pursued in a comfortable arm-chair by the fireside, in an inspiring cloud of tobacco smoke. For him Political Economy is, in its structest sense, the science which deals with the nature, the production and the distribution of wealth, and the economist who knows his job must stop short of anything which might be called an art. Practical questions, no matter of what kind, "no more form part of the science of Political Economy

than navigation forms part of the science of astronomy "Moreover, the conclusions of the economist," whatever be their generality and their truth, do not authorize him in adding a single syllable of advice." His business is neither to recommend nor to dissuade, but solely to state general principles.

This represents an admirable spirit of aloofness, though it is perhaps asking too much of the economist to expect him, by a pure process of ratiocination, to arrive at conclusions, and to be thereafter content to leave them to someone else to chew Yet Senior is herein probably merely overstressing a truth which assumes a more palatable form when he suggests that in the tangled problems of this world it may be "neither advisable, nor perhaps practicable" to regard the economic consideration as prividing the sole, or perhaps even the principal, guide In the abstract science which Senior contemplates, it will be observed, reasoning is more important than observa-

Political Economy, pp 2-3.

tion 1 Not only so, in his hands it professedly becomes very largely a matter of the precise meaning of terms. Thus he warns his readers that his treatise will consist, in a great degree, "of discussions as to the most convenient use of a few familiar words "2 Had Senior hved up to his professions, Political Economy, as practised by him, would have become a useful exercise in the Sociatic art of definition."

But on what is reasoning to operate, if economics is primarily a matter of reasoning rather than of observation? The answer almost takes away our breath by its calm daring All Political Economy is comprised in what flows from a few general propositions, "the result of observation and consciousness" These propositions, to be precise, are four in number

As they are so typical of a certain phase of economic doctrine, it may be as well to reproduce them in abridged form The first is "that every man desires to obtain additional wealth with as little sacrifice as possible " Here is the economic man stalking abroad as a postulate on which economic science is built The second proposition is a statement of the Malthusian law, to the effect that the population of the world is "limited only by physical or moral evil" The third proposition is a statement which, according to the point of view, may either indicate the functions of capital or the principle of increasing returns in industry. It lays down that "the powers of labour, and of the other instruments which produce wealth, may be indefinitely increased by using their products as the means of further production " The last of the four fundamental propositions is a statement of the Law of Diminishing Returns as applied to agriculture The first of these propositions, Senior obligingly tells us, is a matter of consciousness, the three others are matter of observation Now nothing could be easier than to make hav of Senior's four fundamental propositions or postulates The first is presumed to be a truism, like most truisms, it is probably fundamentally untrue The Malthusian generalization is certainly not true of all times and places (as Malthus assumed it was), and to many it has not been a matter of observation. The Laws of Increasing and Diminishing Returns again require a nicety of statement of Which Senior was singularly insensible It is certainly a crude generalization to say, with Senior, that "additional labour when employed in manufactures is more, when employed in agriculture is less, efficient in proportion "1 This may be the result of observation, but not much is gained if the observation is inaccurate. His first principle, embodying a raw hedonism, may therefore by some be rejected The other three propositions are anything but observations to be swallowed at sight, they are the end of a long process of economic reasoning and observation. rather than tolerably axiomatic postulates from which reasoning may begin This, however, for our present purpose is relatively of minor importance. What is of interest is the abstract view of Political Economy as a branch of knowledge which is wholly enfolded in four postulates which, at the bidding of a sufficiently imperious mind, can be summoned to yield up their hidden economic profundities

Such a programme, if rigorously adhered to, might have been expected to lead to a wholly academic science resting on barren logomachies. Fortunately, however, Senior du not turn economics into a logical exercise in the inferences to be drawn from four propositions. He had an acute, a penetrating and a logical mind, and his passion for accuracy in the use of his terms and in his definitions enabled him in many respects to be usefully critical of his

¹ Ibid , p 81

276 DEVELOPMENT OF ECONOMIC DOCTRINE predecessors In particular on one point he introduced a phrase which embodies a range of suggestive ideas, even

though the phrase has likewise occasioned merriment in

certain quarters. In his analysis of the agents of production, he carefully avoided the word "capital" on the ground that it "has been so variously defined that it may be doubtful whether it have any generally received meaning "1 (Senior, careful in his nomenclature, is also careful in his use of the subjunctive) Accordingly his instruments of production are labour, natural agentswhich is certainly preferable to the fatuous use of the word "land" and abstinence He rejects the word "capital," because in the ordinary acceptation of the term it has come to mean " an article of wealth, the result of human exertion, employed in the production or distributton of wealth "2 But capital, as thus defined, is not a simple productive instrument, it is itself the result of the co-operation of labour, of the natural agents, and of the other thing which Senior calls "abstinence" By "abstinence" Senior means "that agent, distinct from labour and the agency of Nature, the concurrence of which is necessary to the existence of capital, and which stands in the same relation to profit as labour does to wages "3 It will be seen what Semor has done He has replaced capital, which is normally conceived as a dead and objective thing, by a human sacrifice, obviously entitled to a reward For that there is here a human sacrifice, Senior has no doubt "To abstain from the enjoyment which is in our power, or to seek distant rather than immediate results, are among the most painful exertions of the human will." Consequently, when we come to an analysis of cost of production, the elements into which it is resolved are wholly subjective By cost of production is meant "the sum of the labour and abstinence necessary 1 Ibid , p 59 1 Ibid , p 59 * Ibid , p 59

to production "1 The reward of the capitalist is thus seen to be the reward due to abstinence, the price which it is necessary to pay in order to induce people to abstain from claiming, in the present, rights which have accrued The word "abstinence" is perhaps unfortunate, because of its regrettable psychological association with "total". but it is only because "abstinence" in a restricted sense has come to connote a monastic asceticism that it is possible to find amusement in the idea of a Rothschild being rewarded for his abstinence

I. S. Mill

To withhold from John Stuart Mill² (1806-1873) the compliment of a separate chapter, and to bundle him into a second-class compartment along with Say, Senior and Cairnes may appear little short of high treason For all but the greatest of the dead, however, life is a continuous decline until the indignity of a recording footnote is reached Yet there are more substantial reasons for holding that in such an introduction as this, Mill need not be subjected to a lengthy examination With regard to Mill's position in the development of Political Economy, there is singular unanimity among the commentators For one, Mill briefly represents the "restatement", in the eyes of another, he provides "a skilful statement of the chief results of the previous generation", a third regards him as presenting the classical doctrines in their final crystalline form, a fourth looks on his Principles as an œuvre d'assemblage Where so many agree, Mill himself not dissenting, it may

¹ Ibid, p 101
Born 1806, the son of James Mill, and the victim of an extraordinary educational experiment which might have been expected to produce worse results than it did For thirty-five years at the India Office For a short time in Parliament

278 DEVELOPMENT OF ECONOMIC DOCTRINE be assumed that truth lies somewhere in the direction of these concurring pointers Ricardo and Malthus had

introduced the main themes of the economic symphony -not perhaps remarkably harmonious themes, in the interval, these themes had been somewhat distorted by critics like Rae and Lauderdale, and discordant motifs of an entirely different character had been introduced by the wind instruments of the early socialists like the St Simonians and the Fourierists The confused listeners were beginning to be doubtful as to what it was all about It was time for the main theme to be restated, with the confidence and the assurance that comes from a liberal use of brass, and to work into the restatement just sufficient of the intervening dissident and dissentient themes as might be necessary for the æsthetic satisfaction of the hearers This was the task Mill took in hand, his work is a restatement of the main doctrines of Ricardo and Malthus by one not insensible of the criticisms of the intervening thirty or forty years Mill's pre-eminence tests on the extraordinary skill with which he accomplished his mission. The high moral tone which pervades the Principles made if acceptable to an age which, in essentials, was austere; and its literary competence was a feature which had not been too common a characteristic of Mill's predecessors the result, it is probably true that Mill, for a period of

moral tone which pervades the Principles made if acceptable to an age which, in essentials, was auster; and its literary competence was a feature which had not been too common a characteristic of Mill's predecessors. In the result, it is probably true that Mill, for a period of two generations, taught this country its economics, either through his own Principles, or through other works for which these Principles cannot shake off responsibility. While this may be an excellent reason for erecting a bust of Mill in a Political Economy lecture-room, it is also a reason for assigning him disproportionately small space in an introductory history of economic doctrine. For the people who are most interesting for our present purpose are those who, however gropingly, first state a

fruitful idea, not those who at the end of a generation's discussion sum up and present the world with a restatement. Apart from certain elaborations of the theory of foreign trade, it is doubtful whether Mill added much, or anything, to the body of economic doctrine. A recent somewhat severe critic has indeed stated that, objectively, Mill teaches us nothing new, adding that it is easier to point out the doctrinal errors committed by Mill than to midicate his contribution to the development of theory.

It does not of course follow that Mill's presentation is colourless, or that there are not degrees of emphasis, and indeed modifications, of the traditional classical doctrines Mill, like everyone else, had his hobby horses, though they were conspicuously absent from his nursery Without attempting to present consecutively his mandeas, which were largely the ideas of Malthus, of Ricardo and of his father, it may be permissible to indicate some of the points which, owing to the emphasis laid upon them by Mill, stand out as pre-emimently revealing the mind of the writer

The first point of interest strikes one in the preface and on the title-page Mill professedly set out to write an up-to-date Adam Smith That, in fact, he rather wrote a "readable Ricardo" is immaterial What is of interest is the reason that impelled Mill to take Smith as his model, it is that Smith "invariably associates the principles with their applications," and "perpetually appeals to other and often far larger considerations than pure Political Economy affords" This point of view also affects the title-page, where, after the orthodox "Principles of Political Economy," there appears the words "with some of their applications to social philosophy" Political Economy, in short, was for Mill

¹ Bousquet, Essas sur l'Évolution de la Pensée Économique, p 91

part of something larger, and in his own mind he was not content to be merely a theorist. Like his master, Bentham, he was as much as anything a social reformer semor might declare that the economist, quae conomist, was never authorized in adding a single syllable of advice. For Mill, to give advice was the very essence of life. His theory may, to a large extent, be a modified restatement, the essential Mill is rather to be found in his proposals for reform, whether these are to be sought in his advocacy of peasant proprietors, of co-operation of the taxation of rent. of of restriction of the heritance

With this general view of economics as a study which almost becomes subservient to its practical applications, it

is necessary to take one tenet which is peculiarly Mill's, and which indeed he himself regarded as the chief merit of his treatment of the subject. This is his famous distinction between the nature of the laws of production and those of distribution The laws of the production of wealth, Mill held, "partake of the character of physical truths There is nothing optional or arbitrary in them " Whatever be our likes or dislikes in the matter, such principles as the Law of Diminishing Returns are there Our opinions and our wishes have no control over the things themselves It is, however-so Mill held-entirely different when we turn to the distribution of wealth, there we are in the realm of "human institution solely" "The things once there," men can do with them as they like, and it follows therefore that "the distribution of wealth depends on the laws and customs of society "1 Such is Mill's rigid distinction between the field of production where inexorable law, uncontrolled by man, prevails. and the other field of distribution, which is also doubtless subject to a law, but to a law of man's making and revising. It is almost unnecessary to observe that Mill's

¹ Principles, Book II, chap I

strict line of differentiation is indefensible. Doubtless "the things once there," an omniscient, omnipotent, omnipotent, omnipotent parliament may decree any distribution which, in its lack of wisdom, may seem good to it, but if in these matters it errs against popular sentiment, it will not be long until the things are not there. An unquestioned power to control distribution brings little comfort, if the exercise of that power dness up the stream of production, and so leaves nothing to distribute. The difference here from the older classical economists is obvious. For them the laws of distribution were as inexorable as any other. Mill, in sweeping all this into a sphere controlled by human volition, made it possible for him to be the speculative reformer, calmly contemplating the comming of the communities state.

For increasingly, as Mill grew older, his reforming zeal led him to a Platonic and sentimental flirtation with socialism, though he was never brought to the point of seriously declaring his intentions. If the choice were between communism and individual property-not as it might be, but as it now is then, he says, "all the difficulties, great or small, of communism, would be but as dust in the balance "1 The socialism of Mill is a curious study, but only this need be said here Mill was unable to resist suggestions or ideas from whatever source they came, he was likewise constitutionally incapable of assimilating these ideas with the creed in which he was brought up and which was more peculiarly his own In consequence there is at times a considerable incongruity, not to say inconsistency, in ideas which he advances concurrently. His socialism is a deposit of a period later than his main ideas, and when a socialist outcrop is detected, it is obvious that it lies very unconformably on the older strata In fact, in his heart Mill remained

¹ bid, Book II, hap I, sec 3.

Ian unregenerate individualist to the end, and even his socialism is rooted in individualistic ideas. When he speaks of legislation favouring equality of fortunes, the incorrigible individualist adds "so far as is consistent with the just claim of the individual to the fruits, whether great or small, of his or her own industry "1 He boggles at such an embryonic socialistic proposal as progressive taxation, on the ground that " to tax the larger incomes at a higher percentage than the smaller, is to lay a tax on industry and economy, to impose a penalty on people for having worked harder and saved more than their neighbours "2 He ties himself into knots in the chapter on Bequest and Inheritance, because even in his zeal for reform he is obsessed with the individualistic idea that bequest, as one of the sacred rights of property, must not be tampered with, and hinting at the desirability of a system which would "restrict, not what anyone might bequeath, but what anyone should be permitted to acquire. by bequest or inheritance '1 The reforms dearest to his heart, the extension of peasant proprietorship and the fusion of labour and capital in a system of co-partnership. are of the essence of individualism, and his utterances in defence and praise of competition⁴ fit in badly with socialism, which, if it means anything, means the elimination of competition Mill's socialism was perhaps scarcely a "gesture," as the current jargon has it, but it was a sentimental infusion which never harmonized with the rest of Mill's system of thought, even though Mill himself was happily unaware of the fact

Apart from the question of Mill's general standpoint. a hint may be added regarding some of the points

¹ Ibid , Book IV, chap 6, sec 2 ³ Ibid, Book V, chap 2, sec 3 ³ Ibid, Book II, chap 2, sec 4 ⁴ Ibid, Book IV, chap 7, sec 7

emphasized, or supposed to be emphasized, in his restatement It is impossible to glance through Mill without being struck by the influence exercised on him by the Malthusian generalization, and as an increase of population is terrifying only because of the base question of food, the Law of Diminishing Returns is placed by Mill in a place of high honour or dishonour It is the "most important proposition in political economy "1 In writing on the population question, his voice quivers with a righteous indignation which leads him to a violence of language nowhere to be found in Malthus procreation is for Mill on the same level as drunkenness or any other physical excess, and those who are guilty should be discountenanced and despised accordingly 2 To appreciate his crusading fury in this cause, it is necessary to turn to his views regarding wages. The celebrated wages fund doctrine has, not with entire justice, become peculiarly associated with Mill-probably because when the fallacy was exposed, Mill publicly recanted, and the recantation has tended to hang round Mill's neck the faith in respect of which he expressed his recantation But indeed the wages fund doctrine was more or less common property It can be deduced from Malthus, amongst other places it is to be found concisely stated by Senior in the form that wages depend "on the extent of the fund for the maintenance of labourers, compared with the number of labourers to be maintained "3 Mill has some difficulty in getting a convenient phrase for "the aggregate of what may be called the wages fund of a country", but his theory is essentially that of a predetermined fund set aside for wages, a definite number of workers among whom that fund must be shared, and

¹ Ibid , Book I, chap 12, sec 2 ² Ibid , Book II, chap 13, sec 1 ³ Senior, Political Economy, p 154

a distribution effected under the influence of competition From this Mill draws the inference that "wages cannot rise, but by an increase of the aggregate funds employed in hiring labourers, or a diminution in the number of competitors for hire". The wages fund, in the sense of a portion of the capital of the country irrevocably set aside for the payment of wages, is, of course, a pure myth, but it led Mill to consider that only one method of raising wages could escape the charge, of futility. That method lay in a due restriction of population.

Mill's discussion of value is perhaps memorable, not so much by reason of its substance or conclusions, as on account of his unfortunate assurance that here at last all ghosts were laid "Happily," he observes, "there is nothing in the laws of value which remains for the present or any future writer to clear up, the theory of the subject is complete" By a strange Nemess this threatens to become the most frequently quoted of all Mill's utterances, furnishing, as it does, to the young, a useful warning that it is never safe to be sure of anything

One last curosity in Mill is represented by his conception of the stationary state, a curious blend of economics, philosophy and poetry Like Sismondi, Mill felt impelled to ask "to what goal" society is tending by its industrial progress, and "when the progress cases, in what condition are we to expect that it will leave mankind?" Mill, it will be observed, assumes that progress must cease, just as the same Mill was fluid into an agony of gloom, reflecting that as there is but a limited number of musical tones and semi-tones, and also a limited number of ways in which these may be combined, the world would one day—and that before long—

¹ Principles, Book III, chap I, sec I ² Ibid, Book IV chap 6

have whistled its last tune 1 The annual publications of Messrs Francis, Day and Hunter give no indication that the day is yet imminent But to Mill it is axiomatic that. as the increase of wealth is not boundless, so beyond the progressive state, there must be the stationary state, when the stream of human industry shall "finally spread itself out into an apparently stagnant sea." To some this prospect of the stationary state may appear depressing, ending in shallows and in miseries, but not so to Mill. who draws a pleasantly coloured picture of a state with population and industry alike held in equilibrium, with no extremes of wealth or poverty, with no undue hustle, and over it all a faint suggestion of the indolent charm of the island of the lotus-eaters But though stationary, so far as capital and industry are concerned, it need not be a stationary state of human improvement. It will still be open to the grandsons of Angus to write books with philosophic uplift. It is a curious epilogue to classical Political Economy, which at its beginnings-or so its critics suggested-had exalted the thruster and the climber

Cairnes

A survey of the orthodox school, the spiritual descendents of Ricardo, may fittingly conclude with a brief reference to John Elhott Carnes² (1824-1825), in some ways the last of the line When Mill recanted on

1"I was senously tormented by the thought of the exhaustibility of musical combinations Most of these, it seemed to me, must have been already discovered" (Auto-horseable, chap. 5.)

hography, chap 5).

Born in Iteland in 1823, educated at Trinity College, Dublin; studied law, Professor at Dublin and Galway, and later at London His chief works are The Character and Logical Method of Political Economy, and Some Leading Principles of Political Economy.

the wages fund doctrine. Cauries continued to show cause why the Master should not have succumbed to the attacks of Longe and Thornton Among the faithless, faithful only he—or almost so, for one must not forget Professor Fawcett A perusal of Cauries's works reveals an acute, a vigorous and a subtle mind, engaged in refining the achievement of Mill, a mind, nevertheless, which was rather impervious to new ideas. Only two points need detain us here firstly, his views as to, the scope and function of Political Economy, and secondly, his doctrine with regard to "non-competing groups," which represents the most vital and enduring idea of Cauries.

To the general question of the purpose and nature of Political Economy as a study, Cairnes devoted one of his chief works. The Character and Logical Method of Political Economy The main argument is somewhat reminiscent of Senior Political Economy, in Cairnes's view, has suffered from the intrusion of alien elements. represented by "considerations of equity and expediency "1 But so long as Political Economy is given the task of accomplishing definite practical ends, it can have none of the characteristics of a science Economics, then, for Cairnes, is to be rigidly scientific, and in order that it may be so, it is to be cut off from all practical considerations, and issue an unlimited declaration of neutrality, standing "apart from all particular systems of social and industrial existence "2 Political Economy, he says in a passage frequently quoted, is to be regarded 25

"standing neutral between competing social schemes, neutral, as the science of Mechanics stands neutral between competing plans of railway construction, in which expense, for instance, as well as mechanical efficiency, is

Character and Logical Method pp 14-16 Ibid p 20

to be considered, neutral, as Chemistry stands neutral between competing plans of sanitary improvement, a Physiology stands neutral between opposing systems of medicine It supplies the means, or more correctly, a portion of the means for estimating all, it refuses to identify itself with any "1"

"Economic Science," in short, "has no more connection with our present industrial system than the science of mechanics has with our present system of railways"

This, even if truth, is an over-accentuation of truth If this austere doctrine were honoured in practice, it would mean the separation of economics from its living inspiration, and the effect of taking at their face-value the views expressed by Cauries elsewhere, is to accentuate the abstract, detached, academic nature of economic study His whole argument tends to make economic science exclusively a deductive study For induction, experiment is necessary, and in the nature of things this is excluded from the armoury of the economist are too overwhelming.

"He (the economist) must take economic phenomena as they are presented to him in the world without, in all their complexity and ever-changing variety, but from facts as thus presented, if he declines to avail himself of any other path than that of strict induction, he may reason till the crack of doom without arriving at any conclusion of the slightest value "3"

But as against this handicap, the economist, compared with the physicist, has one mighty advantage "Mankind have no direct knowledge of ultimate physical principles", and it is only as a result of long groping, "after a long period of laborious inductive research," that the scientist

¹ Ibid , p 21 2 Ibid , p 64 2 Ibid , pp 65-66

can arrive at the generalizations from which he reasons 1 But "the economist starts with a knowledge of ultimate causes" happy man! "He is already, at the outset of his enterprise, in the position which the physicist only attains after ages of laborious research". These ultimate causes, needless to say, centre round the behaviour of the economic man, they consist of "certain mental feelings and certain animal propensities in human beings". These ultimate causes, of which we have direct knowledge, are obviously closely akin to Senior's four fundamental propositions

The cold philosophic truth is again, perhaps, overemphasized in Cairnes's views regarding the nature of an economic law He rightly indicates in what sense Political Economy must be regarded as a hypothetical science 3 Its conclusions are true when no disturbing causes intervene-a condition, as Cairnes adds, which is scarcely ever realized An economic law, in short, expresses not a positive but a hypothetical truth, and it follows also that a law relating to economic phenomena "can neither be established nor refuted by an appeal to the records of such phenomena" The proof must rest on an appeal to certain principles of human nature, operating under certain physical conditions So also to refute an economic law, it is necessary to show that the "principles" (i e of human action, etc.) do not exist, or that the economist, on the way to his conclusion, has fallen a victim to a logical gin Putting it briefly, the nature of an economic law is such that it can neither be established nor refuted by an appeal to facts 4

Viewed merely as a question in epistemology, it may be that Cairnes's views are defensible. It is not merely desirable, but essential, that periodically we should test

¹ Ibid , pp. 70-71 ² Ibid , p 75

³ Ibid , p 51 ⁴ Ibid , pp 99, 107.

the basis and limits of our knowledge-indeed, ask ourselves whether we can properly know anything at all For this purpose a wise society maintains a staff of philosophers and metaphysicians But the plain man has difficulty in resisting the impression that an undue addiction to questions of scope, method and what-not, betokens an unhealthily introspective frame of mind Much of what Cairnes says, as he himself realizes, is applicable to other branches of knowledge, but so far as he was understood (or misunderstood), his influence was in the direction of making economics an abstract, formal study It does not require much perversion of Cairnes to see in him and exponent of the view that economics is solely a deductive study, reasoning from certain general principles, proud of its impartiality in the world's affairs, and arriving at conclusions which, in the nature of things, cannot be refuted by an appeal to the hard facts of reality the facts appear to be in contradiction with economic law. so much the worse for the facts Even if this be perversion, the fact that classical Political Economy came to appear in this guise was, in large measure, its undoing

Apart from questions of methodology, Cairnes is chiefly to be remembered for his conception of "non-competing groups" and the inferences drawn therefrom. His opening chapter on value (in Some Leading Principles) is primarily interesting because of his manifest failure to understand Jevons His own view of value is in the orthodox line, but with critical reservations. He criticizes Mill's analysis of "cost of production" with a subtlety which never abandoned him. No two ideas, he says, are more opposed to each other than "cost" and "reward of cost." Cost and remuneration are "economic antitheses of each other." Wages and profits of capital, into which, in the main, Mill analyses cost of capital, into which, in the main, Mill analyses cost of

¹ Leading Principles, p 50

production, are not costs at all. Costs are sacrifices, and these factors in Mill's analysis are the rewards of sacrifices, not the sacrifices themselves. Thus, in the orthodox form of the doctrine which Carries combats, cost of production is resolved solely into capitalist's costs, and it follows ikewise that the principle that cost of production determines, value becomes the assertion of an identical proposition. Thus in place of the capitalist's objective costs, Carries outlines a theory, reminiscent of Senior, in which cost of production is based on subjective considerations, and is represented by the various sacrifices made—the labour, the abstinence, the risk, not the money rewards of these various elements.

The possibilities of determining such a subjective "cost of production," however, need not detain us, we are concerned merely with the disentanglement of the idea of non-competing groups It is to competition that we must look as the agent which brings remuneration into proportion with the sacrifices undergone, so also competition is the "security for the correspondence of the values of commodities with the costs of their production "4 Cost of production will only regulate values if there is " effective competition " Cairnes was thus led to consider how far such effectiveness of competition did exist, since, if there be any obstacle, "whether law, ignorance or poverty," competition will be defeated, and consequently it will not follow that commodities will exchange according to their cost, 1 e, the amount of sacrifice involved 5 Cairnes, somewhat too easily satisfied, finds that capital has a reasonable fullness of choice, since capital, while in a disposable form, may be applied in any direction 6 It is not so with labour Labour, whose occupation is

 ¹ Ibid , p 60
 4 Ibid , p 63

 3 Ibid , p 53
 1 Ibid , p 64

 4 Ibid , p 81
 1 Ibid , p 70

not yet finally determined, does not have a choice over the entire range of industry

"What we find, in effect, is, not a whole population competing indiscriminately for all occupations, but aseries of industrial layers, superposed on one another, within each of which the various candidates for employment possess a real and effective power of selection, while those occupying the several strata are, for all purposes of effective competition, practically isolated from each other."

Thus we are "compelled to recognize the existence of non-competing industrial groups as a feature of our social The point, sufficiently obvious in itself, becomes important, because Cairnes uses it to make considerable modifications in the theory of value For it is only within any group that commodities will exchange according to their cost of production, as between two noncompeting groups, exchange will take place on another principle, which indeed is none other than that of reciprocal demand, familiar in the theory of foreign trade The failure of the principle of cost in the case of noncompeting groups is exemplified in the relative remuneration of the producers of different articles Remuneration is in proportion to sacrifice within a group, but not when the comparison is instituted between two groups. The advantageousness of one group compared with anotheras in the case of foreign trade-will depend on the reciprocal demands of one group for the produce of the other 2

Carmes's theory of non-competing groups is chiefly of interest because he uses it to undermine the traditional cost-of-production theory of value; and moreover, in shifting the emphasis to the subjective idea of the sacrifice

¹ Ibid , p 72

² Ibid . pp 75-79

involved, he went farther than he realized in the direction of Jevons and the Austrians Had he gone a little farther, he would probably have gone a great deal farther Thus in discussing why skilled labour receives higher wages than unskilled, he rightly explains that this is not in fact necessarily the case, that skill itself is not an element of cost, and that "the result in question (1 e, a higher remuneration) only occurs where skilled labour represents a monopoly." The decisive consideration is to be sought in "the circumstances which limit the possession of this skill to a small number of persons as compared with the demand for their services "1 A later generation would have said that when the workers are limited in numbers, the marginal productivity of labour is high, it is what Cairnes, innocent of the margin, is trying to say

1 Ibid , p 85.

CHAPTER XI

KARL MARX

In a volume of ampler proportions than this, the early history of socialism might claim, and might deservedly receive, the tribute of an independent chapter, though doubtless it might be urged that these early socialists were dreamers and not economists. Here they must be content with such notice as is indispensable for a comprehension of the works of Marx Socialism, according to taste, begins with Plato, in virtue of his advocacy of comminism, or with More's Utopia, where the evils of private property are denounced and the doctrine of exploitation expounded But viewed from the Marxian angle, the socialism which preceded Marx, and which earned his undisguised contempt, was that of the so-called Utopian socialists, whose writings date from the earlier part of the nineteenth century

It is among these that we must look for the "father of socialism." though the number of claimants to paternity is embarrassingly large. Firstly, there is St Simon (1760-1825)—not the writer of the memoirs—an eccentric nobleman who foresaw the coming of a new age which would be industrial in character, and who sought assiduously, in many pamphlets and what-nots, to discuss where spiritual leadership in this new and uncharted age was to be found. The mark of this new world, intent on the

exploitation of the globe, was to be the abolition of privileges St. Simon's socialism is of the weakest, if indeed it exists at all, but his followers, the propagators of a strange religion, extended his doctrine to include the abolition of the greatest of all privileges, that of inheritance, and thus, making the State the residuary legatee, they found a short cut to State socialism there is Fourier (1772-1837), a man of a disordered mind, full of the disconcerting flashes which so frequently

enlighten the deranged His vision was of a reorganized world on the basis of the "phalanx," a co-operative group, and with such phalanges the world would ultimately be covered to the number of 2,085,084 is the socialism of co-operation, designed to replace the horrible waste and inefficiency, the divided and clashing interests of civilization, where all men profit by each other's misfortunes There is our own Robert Owen (1771-1858), a man greater in his earlier practical years, when he figured as the ideal employer, than in the adventures and the speculations of his later life For him also the path of salvation lav in co-operation, and in the establishment of settlements more or less communistic in character, as evidenced in New Harmony Fourthly (and lastly here), there was Louis Blanc (1813-1882), a transitional mind, pointing rather to later socialism and therefore scarcely Utopian His idea likewise was to eliminate competition by association, but in his case, the State, the banker of the poor, was to be the agent

co-operative bodies, which later were to drive the capitalist from the field Such were the Utopians, most of whom were also "associationists" They were Utopians because they believed that the realization of socialism was essentially

to initiate the great scheme of reform, launching the

an affair of getting someone to "launch" something,

and thereafter the business would be done. They were associationists," because in the main they visualized the coming of socialism through the formation and extension of groups living together on socialistic principles. Fourier, waiting at home for one hour a day for the unknown capitalist who should enable him to establish his first phalanx, is the pathetic symbol alike of the lovableness and ineffectiveness of the earlier socialists

With Karl Marx1 (1818-1883) all this changes Socialism now definitely enters the main current of economic doctrine because, with Marx, socialism becomes a body of doctrine relying on the support of economic argument-also, in Marx's case at least, a body of prophecy resting on the interpretation of recent and current economic phenomena Herein lies the significance of the transition of socialism from the former type, somewhat contemptuously dubbed "Utopian," to that newer variety, rather vainglonously branded as "scientific " To the new age the Utopian socialists appeared as dreamers, men who had touching faith in the power of an Act of Parliament to serve as the basis of an ideal commonwealth, who were even so childish as to believe that an appeal to justice, mercy and right had power to move the hearts of men The Utopian socialists might appeal to sentiment to prove the desirability and the esthetic beauty of socialism, such a procedure would cut no ice It was better policy to prove, by economic

¹ Born at Trier, 18:8 Studied at Bonn and Berlin Engaged in ourmaism on the Rhemische Zeitung (1842) Obligation move about continually between Cermany France Belgium and Berlin and Company of the Studies of Belgium and the Studies of the Studie

reasoning, the inevitability of socialism, to mingle together economic argument and an interpretation of history, and thereby to show that, things being as they are, their consequences will be what they will be, and that the only outcome, incluctable and inescapable, is the establishment of the socialist state.

The literature of Marxism is such a Sahara of books. and the controversies as to what Marxism means, even among the faithful and the expert, are so perennial that it is even more necessary here than elsewhere to discard all but the essential, even if that may lead to the driest of bare bones. And at the outset, in pursuance of this policy, the other commonly accepted founders of scientific socialism may be left aside Rodbertus (1805-1875) was primarily a philosopher, evolving socialist doctrine in dignified retreat, something of a Fabian, in the immediate insistent present, rather a conservative and an aristocrat The bitter controversy centring round Marx's alleged appropriation of the ideas of Rodbertus merely provides some slight refreshment in an otherwise arid waste The third of the trinity, Lassalle (1825-1864), was essentially the agitator, the silver-tongued orator who, after a stormy life ending in a stormy death, became a legend, and who, for English readers, has had the good fortune to be embalmed in one of George Meredith's novels

But Marx remains; and except for the antiquarian explorer into origins, Marx is to be identified with scientific socialism Jealous, if misplaced patrotism may point out that he probably received a large measure of inspiration (and perhaps something more) from that remarkable early group of English socialists which comprised men like Hodgskin. Thompson and Bray, the disciples of Rodbertus may feel that their master has been shorn of his appropriate glory. These are trivial questions Heaven may, or may not, deal justly in these matters

The indubitable fact is that all subsequent socialism has been dominated by Marx, and that even when subsequent schools have dissoned him, they have owed their existence to a reaction from Marx. It is therefore permissible to confine this chapter to Marx, and to Marx alone

More than most writers. Marx requires to be read with some knowledge of his life and character From the conclusion of his student days until the age of thirty he lived the life of a rebel and an intriguer, at war with all governments, editing papers which were promptly suppressed, and uneasily flitting from one country to another. according to the exigencies of the situation But from the age of thirty, that is to say following the Revolution of 1848, there began in 1840 the second part of Marx's life. the long period of his exile in London, which continued until his death in 1883 Though interrupted by occasional visits to the Continent, and though in part occupied with various revolutionary activities. Marx's life during this long period was primarily that of a recluse, whose waking hours were spent in the British Museum in devouring blue-books Add to such a morbid life all the cares. privations, hardships, jealousies and suspicions inseparable from the existence of a political exile and, on the materialistic conception of history, some progress may be made towards a comprehension of Marx and his writings

With regard to these writings, it is doubtful whether any man living has read, may read, or should read them all For in the nature of things, Marx was a voluminous journalist and (horind word) a publicist In addition to his greater pieces de résistance (and the resisting power is great) he is therefore responsible for a copious pamphlet literature. And there is his correspondence. But for the ordinary man and the intelligent woman, three publications are sufficient, even if of these the whole

may not be necessary. There is, first of all. The Critique of Political Economy, dating from 1859, which is rather by way of being a preliminary draft for Capital. Vastly more important, dating from 1848, is the Communist Manifesto, written in conjunction with the faithful Engels, which is of interest as showing how completely, apart from the pseudo-economic and scientific falls, the main elements of the Marxian system had been outlined by that date Thirdly, there is Capital, the complete and overwhelming statement of the Marxian system, of which the first volume appeared in 1864 The second and third volumes did not appear in Marx's lifetime, but were edited by Engels, whose function it was to glue together the fragments and chips of the Marxian workshop, Everyone has a duty to endeavour to read the first volume of Cabital: no one need be ashamed to admit defeat at the hands of Volumes II and III As an aid to a comprehension of the essential doctrines of Marx, it may be convenient (even at the risk of repetition) to endeavour to reduce his theories to a number of propositions The greater the complexity of a country, the more is a preliminary study of a map a wise precaution Leaving aside obiter dicta regarding the organization of society after the coming revolution (a

topic on which Marx shows restraint) as being concerned with politics rather than with economics, and therefore outside our sphere. Marx's doctrines may essentially be described as a theory of value and of profit-making (exploitation), rooted in a certain view of the march of history, and pointing forward to the subversion of the existing capitalistic system Putting aside, therefore, doctrines regarding the dictatorship of the proletariat as lying outside the immediate purpose of this chapter. Marx may, accordingly, be summarized without injustice in six propositions, or-since the propositional form is hardly necessary—in six catchwords, two relating to the interpretation of history, two being economic in character, relating to value and inferences therefrom, and two being rather of the nature of prophecy

Firstly, there is the so-called materialistic conception of history, by which is meant that the dominating and determining factor in all history is economic in character.

Secondly, this materialistic conception of history finds its expression in an unintermittent class struggle. History is expression in a unintermittent class struggles, as soon as one is resolved, another is engendered. The materialistic conception of history and the class struggle furnish the sociological basis of the Marxian structure.

Thirdly, and coming now to economics, there is the Marxian theory of value, that is to say, the value of any commodity is merely the amount of labour, that is, of socially necessary labour, congealed in it

Fourthly, applying this, we get the notion of surplus value. The workman sells his labour power, which produces more than it is necessary to give the worker in the form of wages. The difference is surplus value, which is pocketed by the capitalist and constitutes the exploitation of labour. Herein lies the impulse to the class struggle between the capitalist and the proletariat. These two last propositions together form the economic kernel of Marxism.

Fifthly, and approaching prophecy, the uncontrollable thirst of capital to increase the surplus value in every possible way leads, and will lead, to increasing misery and degradation of the working classes, and to the formation of an increasingly large Industrial Reserve Army of unemployed and under-employed

Sixthly, and lastly, there is the Law of Capitalistic

Accumulation The large capitalist devours the smaller,
so that the means of production become concentrated in

ever fewer hands Society becomes increasingly rent by commercial crises until the auspicious moment when the expropriators will be expropriated and the proletariat will

enter into their heritage While an elementary discussion of Marx may be confined to an elucidation of what is involved in these six propositions, it is perhaps wise to preface a more detailed consideration by noting three points of more general interest Firstly, as to the nature of Marx's masterpiece. Capital, viewed merely as a book, is surely one of the most bewildering of human productions. It is safe to say-indeed it is obvious from the Communist Manifesto -that the conclusions to be arrived at were firmly implanted in Marx's mind before he began to evolve his reasons. This in itself would be quite proper, if nevertheless an open mind were kept throughout But in reading Marx, one feels that he was so convinced of the truth of his conclusions that he became careless as to the arguments used, and in some of the argumentative passages it is difficult to resist the impression that he is intent on befogging rather than enlightening the reader The pedantic parade of learning, the display of rather puerile mathematical formulæ, the dexterous skating on thin ice, the subtlety approaching at times perilously near to sophistry, produce on the unsophisticated reader the impression that he is confronted with a juggler or a necromancer who could without difficulty elicit from the most unpromising hat a teeming progeny of rabbits In a book which, after all, attains the reasonable spaciousness of approximately 2,500 pages, an author ought to have room to turn round and make his meaning clear. vet despite this, there are chapters, and these among the most crucial, where condensation is carried to the extreme limit of unintelligibility As an economic

argument, the whole array of these three volumes depends

on the proof of the labour theory of value which is contained in the first fifteen pages or so of the first volume. Yet nowhere is there in print such a miracle of confusion, such a supreme example of how not to reason If at the end of these fifteen pages, Mark has gained the assent of his readers, it is assuredly the assent of despair and wearness, and not the assent of enlightenment.

The second point relates to the influence of Hegel, the hero of the young men of Marx's youth Marx doubtless outgrew his Hegelian period, and could even claim that his dialectic method was the direct opposite of the Hegelian, turning right side up what he had found "standing on its head "I These are forbidden pastures: it is sufficient to note that he never outgrew the Hegelian phraseology and its implications. Fundamental to Hegel is the idea of development. Every positive calls forth its negative, which again creates the negation of the negation, which, however, is not the original positive but something which unites the original positive and its negative Otherwise expressed, there is firstly the thesis which calls forth its antithesis, which again leads to the synthesis, in which both thesis and antithesis are combined Traces of philosophic phraseology do no one any harm, but a reperusal of the essential parts of Capital is apt to countenance the suggestion that, with Marx, the philosophic formula came first, and that the world was interpreted in accordance therewith. The class struggle is but the thesis begetting and wrestling with the antithesis: when the dominant class is engulfed, then we have the synthesis, a new starting point in development Marx's affected omniscience. Cabital reveals very little real knowledge of the world, as indeed Marx, throughout life, was singularly obtuse with regard to the feelings of his fellow-men He was too much in the British Museum. Capital, Preface, Vol. I. p 25 (Kerr).

and too little on the Epsom Downs on Derby Day, and the more one reads Capital, the more one feels that these things which he calls the capitalist and the proletanat are ansemic abstractions who, with as little vitality as Tweedledum and Tweedledee, fight out their battle to its appointed end in order that a philosophical formula may be fulfilled

The third general point is Marx's relation to the classical economists, in particular to Adam Smith and Ricardo The finest flower of Marx's contempt is reserved for what he calls "vulgar economy", yet there is truth in the jest which describes Marx as the last of the classical economists Smith and Ricardo had groped, with hesitations and limitations, towards a labour theory of value Marx accepted the doctrine without hesitations and. greatly daring, added a proof of his own. In other respects also, as in regard to the theory of wages, it has already been noted how generous Ricardo had been in furnishing bricks for the construction of the edifice of scientific socialism. Not the least entertaining aspect of the great Marxian riddle is to observe Marx railing at the vulgar economists while he is meanwhile complacently arraying himself in their discarded rags and outgrown garments

The Manifesto of the Communist Party has been so pre-eminently the most influential of the writings of Marx that a slight consideration of this brief pamphlet is desirable, if only to indicate how far the leading Marxian positions had been reached by 1848. It differs from Capital above all in its terseness, its twenty-two fiery pages comparing most favourably with the interminable dreariness of its bigger brother. Of its four sections, I it is the

¹ Section I, "Bourgeois and Proletarians," contains most of the essence of Marxism, section 2, "Proletarians and Communists," leads up to the programme of the communists.

first, dealing with "Bourgeois and Proletarians," that is most relevant to the question of Marxian doctrine. It begins by striking a characteristic note. "The history of all hitherto existing society is the history of class struggles." And in amplification:

struggles "And in amp

"Freeman and slave, patrician and plebeian, baron and serf, guildmaster and journeyman, in one word, oppressor and oppressed, standing constantly in opposition to each other, carried on an uninterrupted warfare, now open, now conceaded, a warfare which always ended either in a revolutionary transformation of the whole of society or in the common ruin of the contending classes."

This is followed by an analysis of the existing situation and the process which has led to it, and above all a discussion of what has been done by the bourgeoisie Despite its brutality, the bourgeoisie has not been useless, having indeed played its appointed part in history in destroying feudalism It has given a cosmopolitan character to the production and consumption of all countries "To the despair of reactionaries," as the manifesto says, it has cut from under the feet of industry its national basis, so that national narrowness and exclusiveness have become daily more and more impossible Further (a characteristic note), it has rescued a great part of the population from the idiocy of country But just as feudal relations became so many fetters on production and had to be burst asunder, so also the day of the bourgeoisie is past:

"The weapons with which the bourgeoisie conquered feudalism are now turned against the bourgeoisie itself

section 3. "Socialist and Communist Literature," is an entirely unfair attack on previous socialist literature, section 4 is little more than peroration. "The proletarians have nothing to lose but their chains. They have a world to win."

But the bourgeoisie has not only forged the weapons that bring death to itself, it has also produced the men who will wield these weapons—the modern workers, the PROLETARIANS"

In the next passage the manifesto approaches the question of exploitation, without, however, relying on later refinements as to labour-power, value and surplus "These workers, forced to sell themselves piecemeal, are a commodity like every other article of com-. The cost of production of the worker is in consequence reduced almost entirely to the means of subsistence that he requires for his maintenance and for the propagation of his race " The price of a commodity, and therefore of labour, is at this stage equated to its cost Exploitation consists in the worker of production receiving "his bare money wage," and the burden of labour is increased (as is later, in Cabital, the degree of exploitation) by prolongation of the working hours, increased speed of machinery, and the employment of women and children

The increasing separation of society into two opposing camps is also a cardinal point in the manifesto

"The little middle class, the small shopkeepers, tradespeople, peasant propnetors, handicraftsmen and peasants, all these classes sink into the proletariat, partly because their small capital is not sufficient for modern industry and is crushed out in the competition with the large capitalists, and partly because their specialized skill is depreciated by the new methods of production. Thus is the proletariat recruited from all classes of the population."

The increasing misery of the working classes is likewise more comprehensible here than in Capital:

[&]quot;The modern worker, on the contrary, instead of rising

with the progress of industry, sinks ever deeper beneath the social conditions of his own class. The labourer becomes the pauper, and pauperism increases even more rapidly than population and wealth."

This means that the bourgeoisie can no longer assure existence in slavery to its own slaves, and that therefore society can exist no longer under its rule. In the celebrated phrase "it produces its own grave-digeers".

Enough, and perhaps too much of the Communist Manifesto. Its significance lies in the fact that in a few brief pages it enables the reader to grasp the leading ideas of Marx, free from the mathematical and economic obfuscations which too frequently mar Capital Here, in 1848, supreme emphasis is already laid on the continuity of the class struggle, there is the sharp differentiation into two classes, into the lower of which all but a few are inevitably thrust, there is the idea of the exploitation of the worker, though the conception may lack precision of definition; and lastly there is implied the inevitable march of history, so that the capitalistic system engenders within itself the seeds of its own destruction. All these are pure Marxism, and except for some pseudo-scientific elaboration (particularly with regard to value and surplus-value), Marxism is little else

Having seen how far the essential Marxian positions are already enunciated in the Communist Manifesto, it may be convenient to consider in slightly more detail the series of propositions on page 299, wherein an attempt was made to reduce Marx to tabloid form The first two—the materialistic conception of history and the class struggle—may be taken together, and inasmuch as they are scarcely, if at all, matters of economic doctrine, they may be disposed of summarily. Although it is customary to regard the materialistic conception of history as of the

essence of Marx's doctrine, the beginner may nevertheless search dibgently in Marx's better-known works and find no clear explanation of what the great principle involves It is, apart from a few occasional passages, rather a pervading assumption, and for a relatively clear statement one must turn to Engels rather than to Marx Perhaps the most definite statement in Marx's own writings is contained in the preface to the Critique of Political Economy, in which the most crucial sentences are these

"The mode of production in material life determines the general character of the social, political and spiritual processes of life. It is not the consciousness of men that determines their existence, but, on the contrary, their social existence determines their consciousness":

In its original form, if one may appropriate a phrase from Engels, this meant that "the final causes of all social changes and political revolutions are to be sought, not in men's brains, not in men's better insight into eternal truth and justice, but in changes in the modes of production and exchange "2" It is not the philosophy, but the economics, of each particular epoch that provides the essential cause of change. In consequence, art, religion, law and all the rest of it do not mould economic conditions, on the contrary, they are the outcome of these conditions. The far-fetched illustrations of the material-

¹ p 11 Still better known as a locus classicus is the passage in the preface to the Communit Manifesto, written by Engels after Marx's death "The pervading and basic thought of the manifesto is that in every historical epoch, the prevaling most of economic production and exchange, and the social organization necessarily following from it, form the basis upon which is built up, and from which alone can be explained, the political and intellectual history of that epoch, that consequently the whole history of mankind has been a history of class struggles "
² Socialism, Utopian and Scientific, p 45

istic conception of history, which were at one time put forward, need not detain us. It is sufficient that in its original form the doctrine was untenable, and was not indeed maintained by Engels without devastating modifications That history, to be comprehensible, must take account of the kitchen larder, is a truth which few would deny, but equally, there is a great deal more in history than the economic factor Man does not wholly or exclusively crawl on his belly, there are all manner of enthusiasms, loyalties, inspirations spurring men to action, which are nevertheless entirely uneconomic but which may vet react on economic conditions Above all, the action of mind on mind which, with its remoter consequences, is one of the greatest of all influences in the world, eludes economic explanation. Assuming that it may be possible to explain how Dante, Mahomet, Calvin, Marx, Mr Lloyd George and Mr George Robey could only have occurred when they did in fact occur, there remains the much more difficult question of explaining how or why they occurred at all It is even more difficult to explain how the great man finds his "sounding-board," which may carry his influence erratically to different parts of the world, for Calvin might not have found his Knox, Marx might have had no Lenin In interpreting history it is well to be humble and perhaps even agnostic, realizing that the history of man is moulded by many factors, of which the economic is merely one, and that perhaps not necessarily the most important

The class struggle is likewise merely an unjustifiable generalization of a very partial truth. In the true Marxian statement, the existence of the class struggle is linked to the materialistic conception of history by a "consequenty" as in Engels). But it is surely the most consequent consequence. The economic factor (and it is not to be underrated) may manifest itself otherwise than

in the class struggle Moreover, one could only postulate the ubiquitous existence of the class struggle if men were everywhere, predominantly if not exclusively, moved by economic motives, and were moreover conscious where their economic interests lay. In more orthodox and hackneved phrase, they should be moved by enlightened self-interest But, on the contrary, men are moved by all sorts of ideas-patriotism, religion, fanaticism and love of liberty-which may conflict with their interest, or the interest of their "class," whatever a class may be But indeed, waiving the wider point, the whole idea of a class struggle is perhaps a petitio principii The dividing lines in society are many, but men do not feel themselves to be a group or a class until they have acted as a group or a class. The consciousness of solidarity is not the cause of common action, but is rather the consequence of such common action Whenever men act together with any measure of continuity, then there will emerge a feeling of solidarity, which will continue so long as in fact they act together Nor need the bond connecting them be economic in character But the idea of an inevitable, universal, all-embracing solidarity of the working classes is the merest delusion. There may be conflict of interests between different grades in the same industry, between different industries in the same country, between the workers in different countries

The fundamental error in the Marxian statement of the class struggle has two aspects Firstly, Marx visualized the proletanat as an idealized worker, and he was therefore able to invest the proletanat with a unity which it does not in fact possess Secondly, he regarded the unified "proletariat" as being endowed with the peculiar properties of the "economic man". It is curious once again to observe how, when that aniemic ghost the "economic man" was falling into disrepute, Marx seized

on the remnants of the classical school, and produced not one, but a whole race of economic men. The final trumpet-call of the Communist Manifesto provides a curious commentary on all this interpretation of history. For there should be no need to summon, with the aid of the largest capitals, the workers of all lands to mute, unless in fact they had been previously disunited. But if previously disunited, one is tempted to inquire whether their disunion had by any chance been caused by conflicting interests, or to ideal causes such as patriotism and religion

Turning to the economic kernel, we are confronted with the Marxian theory of value, which makes value merely the expression of the amount of Jabour embodied in a commodify. Up to a certain point this is merely a transplantation from Ricardo, but whereas Ricardo allowed for modifications, and was obviously increasingly beset with doubts, Marx pushed to its rigid and universal extreme the doctrine that all value springs from, and is, labour—impelled thereto, doubtless, by the necessity of proving the central doctrine of exploitation. Further, whereas Ricardo did not really attempt to prove the proposition, Marx, more courageous, essayed to give a rigorous and exact demonstration; and indeed on this demonstration resis the whole yast superincumbent weight of the three volumes of Capital

The demonstration, such as it is, meets the reader in the first section of the first chapter of Capital, and it may have proved a contributory cause in deterring many readers from faring further. Briefly—very briefly—the argument is that wealth is an immense accumulation of commodities, a commodity being a thing that satisfies human wants. Every useful thing is an assemblage of many properties, and may therefore be of use in various ways. If a thing has utility, it is a use-value, but commodities are also the depositories of exchange-value. Approach now the

question of exchange-value, which at first appears as a quantitative relation. If a number of things—x blacking, y silk, z gold—exchange for each other, then each as an exchange-value must be replaceable by any of the others. If we take, then, an equation representing equality of exchange (e.g., z quarter corm=x cwt iron), then we may infer that in z quarter of corn and in x cwt iron there exists in equal quantities something which is common to both, and to this third thing, which is neither of them, both must be reducible

What, then, is this mysterious property which lies concealed in equal quantities in a shilling's worth of fresh butter and a shilling's worth of tin-tacks? Firstly and obviously, it is not the geometrical or chemical or physical properties These only engage our attention so far as they affect the utilities of the commodities. Nor has the undetected common property anything to do with the utilities of the things As Marx somewhat pedantically expresses it, "the exchange of commodities is evidently an act characterized by a total abstraction from usevalue" It is indeed just because things have different use-values that they are exchanged, and it is certainly true that a wise man will not confuse the uses of butter and tin-tacks Then, says Marx, taking a mighty leap, the commodities represented in our equation "have only one common property left, that of being products of If things are of equal exchange-value, this merely means that each contains, congealed in it, the same amount of human labour 1

Such, leaving aside a good deal of unnecessary mystification, is the essence of Marx's proof of the labour theory of value Certain points at once strike even the most befogged reader. In the first place, Marx assumes that

¹ Capital, Vol I, chap I, sec I, pp 4I-44 (Edition published by Kerr Chicago, but the pagination is not uniform in all editions)

everything that has value is the product of human labour, at the crucial point of the argument, it is found that this is the only quality common to two things of equal exchange-value "Virgin soil, natural meadows," Marx allows to have use-value, but not value 1 This, however, is too obviously to shut one's eyes to half the problem. Whether we like it or not, things which are not the product of human labour may, and do in fact have, exchange-value, but all such things are inferentially excluded before Marx begins his winnowing process in search of the meaning and cause of value In Bohm-Bawerk's famous phrase, he acts like one who, urgently desiring to bring a white ball out of the um, takes care to secure this result by putting in white balls only

The second noticeable peculiarity of the Marxian demonstration is that it rests on the perfectly legitimate. out highly dangerous, method of exclusion There is no direct proof that value expresses the amount of labour congealed in a commodity, this conclusion, at best, merely emerges from the purported exclusion of every other possible solution But a generation familiar with detective literature should know that this is the kind of proof on which a well-advised jury will convict, only with the utmost hesitation You dare not hang the heroine merely because everyone else supposed to have been on the premises on the fatal night can show a clean pair of hands You never can tell A closer inspection might have revealed the butler behind the curtain or the pantry-boy under the sofa In the present case, Marx turned a blind eve to a whole regiment of pantry-boys who, so far from being concealed, were sitting ostentatiously in the most conspicuous chairs In particular, there is the important element of scarcity in relation to human desire, the fact that things may have value in proportion to the desires of

¹ Ibid Vol I, p 47

men to possess them Otherwise expressed, Marx, here as elsewhere, neglects the whole side of human demand. He approaches value solely from the side of supply His demonstration is fallacious because, resting on exhaustion, it obviously does not exhaust

Thirdly, Marx's attempt at the outset to side-track the element of utility is again little more than mystification. It may be true that the use-value of blacking is different from that of silk, and that exchange only takes place because these articles serve different purposes. But the fact that each of these commodities has utility is assuredly not ignored in the process of exchange. Nor indeed does Marx for any length of time maintain the contrary. The idea of utility is an inconvenient thing to have about the premises while he is establishing his main proposition, so he incontinently bundles it out at the front door. But when his labour theory of value has been proved, he gently opens the back door for its readmission. "Lastly," he says at the end of the section, "nothing can have value

without being an object of utility "I Here, then, after

The objection that identical commodities may represent different amounts of labour Marx meets, quite legitimately, by the contention that it is not the labour of this or that worker (which may vary according to his resources and his industry) which determines value What is decisive is the labour time socially necessary to produce an article, and this implies the normal conditions of production at the time, as also the average degree of skill and intensity of labour ³ If articles continue to be produced by antiquated microunds, the amount of labour so involved will not determine value, since in this case an amount of labour greater than is socially necessary will have been expended More

which have value

¹ Ibid , Vol I, p 48

^{*} Ibid , Vol I, p 46

open to objection are the further refinements necessary to make the theory fit the facts It has been shown that utility was grudgingly admitted to be essential to value, if the thing turns out to be useless, so also was the labour involved 1 As Marx imperturbably puts it, "the labour does not count as labour "-though there might be difficulty in persuading the worker to accept on pay-day the consequences of this point of view So also a glut proves the expenditure of more time than was socially necessary, generally speaking, labour spent on anything "counts effectively only in so far as it is spent in a form that is useful for others "2 Somewhat similar is Marx's treatment of the difference between skilled and unskilled labour, and between labour of different qualities For Marx all labour is reducible to what he calls "human labour in the abstract," or "simple average labour"-a curious fiction which implies that there is labour which is neither the labour of the tailor, nor the weaver, nor of any other specific worker But if, as is admittedly the case, there are different qualities of labour, how are they to be expressed in terms of that underlying jelly to which labour is ultimately reducible? Marx's explanation is ılluminatıng •

"Skilled labour counts only as simple labour intensified, or rather, as multiplied simple labour, a given quantity of skilled being considered equal to a greater quantity of simple labour. Experience shows that this reduction is constantly being made. The different proportions in which different sorts of labour are reduced to unskilled labour as their standard, are established by a social process that goes on behind the backs of the producers, and, consequently, appear to be fixed by custom."

This, clearly, is to argue in the most vicious of circles:

¹ Ibid , Vol I, p 48 ² Ibid , Vol I, pp 51-52 ² Ibid , Vol I, pp 97-98

for this mysterious social process that goes on behind the backs of the producers is nothing else than the rude determination of the market-place. The world's coarse thumb and finger determine that the products of A and B shall exchange in the ratio of 5 4, and Marx thereupon says that B's labour "counts" as 1½ times as much as that of A. When the ratio as so determined in the market.

changes to 10 9, there will be a consequent change in the ratio in which the labour of the workers is "counted" But a theory designed to explain what takes place in the market cannot appeal to the market to provide a varying formula which, when applied to the theory, will make the theory tally with the facts But this is what Marx does throughout Labour determines value, but to the extent to which it is misapplied or applied in excess, it "does not count as labour," or requires to be graded down Different kinds of labour are to be regarded as simple labour multiplied if you would know to what extent any kind of labour must be multiplied in order to yield the right answer, all that is necessary is to look at the right answer as supplied by the market, and deduce therefrom the necessary multiplicator Thus by ignoring labour where necessary, by grading it up or down as the situation

and the theory are happily mated

The analysis of surplus value is enveloped in a considerable mass of metaphysical subtlety, but the essence of the idea is not obscure. The problem, putting it popularly, is to ascertain wherein less the secret source of the increase of capital. Marx makes much play with the two formular representing the circulation of commodities. C.M.-C. (where a commodity is exchanged for money, and subsequently reconverted into a commodity), and the alternative circuit, M.-C.M. (in which money purchases a commodity which is again transmuted into money), or since the capitalist is

requires, it ought to be possible to secure that the facts

marked by a boundless greed after riches, the formula should more properly be M-C-M¹ (where M¹=M+SM) The wise reader will waive aside the three points in which the formulæ are similar and the nine in which they are dissimilar. In the first formula (C-M-C) there can be no increase, because equivalents are exchanged for equivalents, in a sentence which sums up the perverseness of the Marxian theory of value, at least as expounded in Volume I, "the value of a commodity is expressed in its price before it goes into circulation, and is therefore a precedent condition of circulation, not its result "1 Nor can a surplus emerge from circulation of commodities merely by selling them above their value, for in that case what one gains another loses After further refinements, Marx concludes that the source of increase of wealth must be sought in the first portion of M-C-M, though not in the value of the commodity bought, which is indeed paid for at its full value 2 The source must be in its use-value There must be somewhere in the market a mysterious commodity "whose use-value possesses the peculiar property of being a source of value, whose actual consumption, therefore, is itself an embodiment of labour and, consequently, a creation of value "3 This mysterious commodity is labour-power, which, as he elsewhere expresses it, is a "source not only of value, but of more value than it has itself "4

Divested of its wrappings, the doctrine of surplus value becomes clear Labour-power, like everything else, has its value, it is (we are back at the unrefined Ricardo) "the value of the means of subsistence necessary for the maintenance of the labourer "5 But when put to use, labour-power will produce more than this If five hours'

^{&#}x27; lbid , Vol I, pp 175-176

lbid , Vol I, p 185

lbid , Vol I, p 186

⁴ Ibid , Vol I, p 216 • Ibid , Vol I, p 190

labour are sufficient to produce what is required for the maintenance of the labourer, and if the worker, having sold his labour-power for the day, is required to work ten hours, these five additional hours, representing surplus labour, will yield surplus value The Marxian doctrine on this point may be summed up in the two contentions, firstly, that all value springs from labour, but, secondly, that labour in return receives merely the means of subsistence The difference is the exploitation effected by capital

That all value comes from labour is further accentuated by a point on which Marx lays much emphasis, and which ultimately leads to the undermining of the Marxian edifice This is the important distinction between constant capital and variable capital 1 By constant capital is meant the means of production, the instruments of labour. etc -loosely, we may say machinery By variable capital is meant that part of capital represented by labour-power -in effect, wages Capital may therefore be of different organic composition (high or low) according as the ratio of constant to variable capital changes Now it is of the essence of the Marxian theory that the whole of the surplus value comes from the variable capital Whatever be the longevity of a machine, its total value will be gradually transferred to the product during the years of its working period 2 "In the value of the product, there is a reappearance of the value of the means of production," but no more Even more tersely, "machinery, like every other component of constant capital, creates no new value, but yields up its own value to the product that it serves to beget "4 The consequences of this doctrine, that all surplus value (1 e . all profits) springs from variable

¹ Ibid , Vol I, chap 8, especially pp 232-233 8 Ibid , Vol I, pp 226-227 1 Ibid , Vol I, pp 231 4 Ibid Vol I p 231

capital, and from variable capital alone, will call for consideration later

Such, then, is surplus value, but masmuch as "capital has one single life impulse, the tendency to create value and surplus value,"1 the capitalist machine, once it has begun to grind, will strive to make the surplus value a maximum The obvious method is to extend the hours of labour.

A

If AB is the "necessary labour time," then a prolongation of the working hours from AC to AC1 will increase the surplus value, so likewise will a curtailment of the necessary labour time from AB to AB1 To this latter is to be ascribed the immanent tendency in capital "toheighten the productiveness of labour, in order to cheapen commodities, and by such cheapening to cheapen the labourer himself "2 Surplus value will also be increased by "nibbling at meal-times," by day and night work, by the relay system, by roping in the labour of women and children All these represent the "vampire thirst for theliving blood of labour "3

¹ Ibid., Vol. I, p. 257

2 Ibid., Vol. I, p. 351

3 Ibid., Vol. I, p. 282

It is perhaps worth while to quote one of the purple passages with which Mark stude his volume "But in its blind unrestrainable passion, its were-wolf hunger for surpluslabour, capital oversteps not only the moral, but even the merely physical maximum bounds of the working day. It usurps the time for growth, development, and healthy maintenance of the body It steals the time required for the consumption of fresh air and sunlight It higgles over a meal-time, incorporating it where possible with the process of production itself, so that food is given to the labourer as to a mere means of production, ascoal is supplied to the boiler, grease and oil to the machinery It reduces the sound sleep needed for the restoration, reparation, refreshment of the bodily powers to just so many hours of torpor as the revival of an organism, absolutely exhausted, rendersessential It is not the normal maintenance of the labour-power

The defects of the foregoing analysis are sufficiently patent It is common to observe that Marx, in the first place, entirely omits the element of time, and that his doctrines therefore imply the illegitimacy of interest. His discussion of interest, when it comes (Volume III), is wholly inadequate, in the place where a discussion would be in point, he tells us that "interest is a mere fragment of surplus value "1 For Marx, money is still barren, and his objection to the public debt is that "it endows barren money with the power of breeding, and thus turns it into capital"2 So also the importance of directive and managerial functions is almost unmentioned 3. Yet it is a well-known fact that of two businesses, with apparently similar advantages, one may prosper and the other may head determinedly for the bankruptcy court, even though the labour-power exerted in the two cases may be identical But apart from these difficulties, the Marxian explanation suffers from two inner inherent contradictions (or two aspects of the same contradiction) from which it never escaped, and on which it finally made shipwreck

which is to determine the limits of the working day, it is the greatest possible daily expenditure of labour-power, no matter how diseased, compulsory, and painful it may be, which is to determine the limits of the labourer's period of repose Capital cares nothing for the length of life of labour-power. All that concerns it is simply and solely the maximum of labour-power that can be rendered fluent in a working day. It attains this end by shortening the extent of the labourer's life, as a greedy farmer snatches increased produce from the soil by robbing it of

latmer snarcness increases produce from the son by forbiding it of its fertility" (Vol I, p 291-292)

1 bid Vol I, p 644

2 bid Vol I, p 827

Possibly the only reference is in the chapter on Co-operation, where it is argued that wage-labourers cannot co-operate unless they are employed simultaneously by the same capitalist, and therefore, "that a capitalist should command on the field of production, is now as indispensable as that a general should command on the field of battle " (Vol I, pp 362-363) This says nothing, and what is said rather sounds as if Marx's tongue were in his cheek

in the third volume. In the first place, if all profit springs from variable capital and none from machinery, then it is the height of folly ever to introduce machinery, and it is a poor explanation to suggest that the capitalist does not know what he is doing Marx realizes the difficulties of this "contradiction which is immanent" in the application of machinery, but his observations leave it barking for solution in the minds of all readers On the Marxian theory, with every progress of capital to a higher proportion of constant capital, there will be a diminution of profits, since profits come solely from labour, which admittedly represents a continually smaller proportion of total capital With every step forward which the capitalist makes, he thus more deeply cuts his own throat value calls for, and can only arise from, the existence of vast masses of workers, the Marxian analysis shows an increasing army of unemployed, displaced by machinery which can yield no profit

The other flaw is a variant of this, and is in a sense even more fatal. If profit springs only from the labour employed, and in no was from the constant capital, then the rate of profit in different industries will vary according as the proportion of variable capital is high or low When there is much variable capital (i.e., in more primitive and undeveloped industries) the rate of profit will be high; in industries which have had extensive resort to machinery, the rate of profit will be low. Marx admitted with praiseworthy frankness that the observed facts were in glaring contradiction with the law so ascertained

"This law clearly contradicts all experience based on appearance Everyone knows that a cotton spinner, who, reckoning the percentage on the whole of his applied capital, employs much constant and little variable capital,

¹ Ibid , Vol I, p 445

does not, on account of this, pocket less profit or surplus value than a baker, who relatively sets in motion much variable and little constant capital. For the solution of this apparent contradiction, many intermediate terms are as yet wanted, as from the standpoint of elementary algebra many intermediate terms are wanted to understand that o/o may represent an actual magnitude."

The subsequent history of this conundrum furnishes one of the few comedies of economic literature
The promised solution did not appear in Volume II, but references to it figure largely in the preface written by Engels, above all in the form of a challenge addressed to the followers of Rodbertus For if, as was claimed, Marx had plagiarized Rodhertus, now (and that right early, before the publication of Volume III) was the time for those who had championed Rodbertus to vindicate his claims by producing the correct solution of the riddle. The odd thing is that many socialists and economists did in fact respond. and took part in the competition to the extent of speculating as to what the Marxian solution was to be indeed it ought to have been clear a priors that there could be no solution, since irreconcilables cannot be reconciled, nor can harmony be established between two contradictory propositions-unless by the simple expedient of dropping one of them overboard Contemplating the "Russian campaign of disaster" organized by Engels, Loria, an enthusiastic admirer of Marx, even suggests that the procrastinations of Marx in getting on with Volumes II and III were due to a realization of the impending ruination of his life's work "Is there any reason for surprise at Marx's hesitation to publish this so-called defence, need we wonder that his hand trembled, that his spirit quailed. before the inexorable act of destruction? "2

¹ Ibid , Vol I, p 335

^{*} Lona, Marx, p 78

The solution offered to the undiscerning in the third volume in explanation of the existence of a uniform rate of profit is embedded in a good deal of arithmetical illustration Briefly, it amounts to this Employers are not to be viewed in isolation. A group of enterprises. with different proportions of variable and constant capital. should be replaced by an imaginary enterprise, comprising the total of all the capitals of the members of the group As this process of averaging cannot be limited, it means that all employers are to be regarded as one group, in which the surplus value gained by all is distributed among all The possibility of a uniform rate of profit thus emerges, but it is on condition that some things sell above and some below their value.1 This uniform rate of profit is arrived at by competition, and capital everywhere looks for the average profit Thus, there emerges a difference between value and price, and henceforth it will only be on rare occasions, indeed only by accident, that the surplus value really produced in any given branch of industry will correspond to the profit confained in the selling price of the commodity—the accidental case arising where the composition of the capital (as between constant and variable) is exactly the average of the sum total of all capitals 2 Elsewhere the products of industries, with a proportion of constant capital above the average, will sell at a price above their value, while in the contrary case they will sell below their value. Thus the desired uniform rate of profit is established, but at the cost of sacrificing the whole of the first volume of Capital, for the inspired doctrine of the first volume, that things exchange in accordance with the congealed labour they contain, is ignominfously tossed overboard. This is the "solemn mystification of which Loria speaks In fact, Marx has replaced Volume I by a mere cost-of-production theory

¹ Capital, Vol III, p 186 ² Ibid , Vol III, p 198.

such as a vulgar economist like Adam Smith might have evolved in his most vulgar and least enligt.ened moments Things exchange according to their cost of production (which includes a normal rate of profit). It is true that they still have a "value" which differ in general from the price, but in a "value" which distant an abstract metaphysical conception, and which is uniformly ignored in the market-place, few of us have any lively interest

The remaining parts of Marx-relating to the Industrial

Reserve Army and the Law of the Concentration of Capital-are perhaps in the field of vaticination rather than of economic analysis, and may therefore be dealt with more summarily The existence of the Industrial Reserve Army is, above all, due to the progressive use of machinery, and all that Marx says on this subject is in a sense vitiated by the flaw which he openly admits, that as no profit can arise from machinery, the progressive introduction of machinery must lead to a curtailment of surplus value Accepting, however, the were-wolf greed of capital for surplus value, it is clear that surplus value may be increased either by increasing the amount of labour exacted, or by diminishing the number of hours during which the worker must labour to provide his necessary maintenance2 (the distinction between absolute and relative surplus value) Herein, as has been noted. lies the interest of capital in increasing the productivity of labour, it makes the worker cheaper Hence also the significance of division of labour, which calls for production on a considerable scale, and therefore requires that the minimum amount of capital should be constantly

^{1&}quot;That price of any commodity, which is equal to its cost price plus that share of average profit on the total capital invested in its production . is called its price of production" (Vol III, p 186) 1 bid, Vol I p 381

increasing 1 But once introduced, machinery clamours to be increasingly used It is to the interest of the employer that the machinery be used as long each day as possible,2 apart from prolongation of hours, there is a similar impulse to intensification by increasing the speed of the machinery and by requiring the worker to tend more machines But all this means the displacement / of the worker "the workman becomes unsaleable, like paper money thrown out of currency by legal enactment ''3

Putting the point in technical Marxian phraseology, the advance of accumulation connotes an increasing proportion of constant to variable capital, in other words, the demand for labour must fall progressively 4 There is thus a relative surplus population produced, which forms a "disposable Industrial Reserve Army," which still belongs to capital, and which is retained to provide "the possibility of throwing great masses of men suddenly on the decisive points without injury to the scale of production in other spheres "5 The Industrial Reserve Army plays a quite peculiar part in Marxian theory expansion and contraction is implicit in the explanation of "crises"; the general movements of wages are explained by what happens to the Industrial Reserve Army. In periods of stagnation it weighs down the active labour army, while in times of activity it keeps its pretensions in check 6

To complete the picture, it is necessary to bear in mind what is happening at the other end, in the Law of Capitalist Accumulation "One capitalist always kills many "7 Marx here has implicit faith in the big

¹ Ibid , Vol I, p 395 2 Ibid , Vol I, p 441 3 Ibid , Vol I, p 470 4 Ibid , Vol I p 690

^{*} Ibid , Vol I, p 694 * Ibid , Vol I, pp 699-701. * Ibid , Vol I, p 836

<u>battalions</u> The battle of competition is fought by cheapness, but cheapness depends on productiveness, and productiveness on the scale of production "Therefore the larger capitals beat the smaller Competition

always ends in the ruin of many small capitalists, whose capitals partly pass into the hands of their conquerors, partly vanish "1". Such, then, is the diagnoss of the natural trend of events. At one end, the larger capitalists devour each other like monstrous pike in a pond, growing ever larger in size, ever fewer in number at the other end there is a vast Industrial Reserve Army of unemployed and intermittently employed sunk in increasing misery—at one pole accumulation of wealth, at the other, accumulation of misery, agony of toil, slavery, ignorance, brutality, mental degradation. So increasingly, until the final catastrophe, which can be fittingly presented only in the words of Marx humself.

"Along with the constantly diminishing number of the magnates of capital, who usurp and monopolize all advantages of this process of transformation, grows the mass of misery, oppression, slavery, degradation, exploitation, but with this too grows the revolt of the working-class, a class always increasing in numbers, and disciplined, united, organized by the very mechanism of the process of capitalist production itself. The monopoly of capital becomes a fetter upon the mode of production, which has sprung up and flourished along with, and under it Centralization of the means of production and socialization of labour at last reach a point where they become incompatible with their capitalist integument The integument is burst asunder The knell of capitalist private property sounds The expropriators are expropriated "2

It is unnecessary to consider how far Marx in these ¹ Ibid, Vol I, pp 686-687 ² Ibid, Vol I, p 837

matters has proved a prophet of repute, or by what glosses it may be possible to make him appear as such. To the doctrine of the Industrial Reserve Army-in the sense in which Marx used the term-subsequent history has given no support, with regard to the increasing degradation of the working classes, he is equally at sea. In extenuation, it should be borne in mind that all Marx's ideas were formed before 1848, and that his subsequent reading was very largely devoted to a study of the more repellent aspects of the Industrial Revolution in the halcyon days of unrestrained laissez-faire The functions of trade unions, the possibly beneficent activity of the State were outside the scope of Marx's vision case can be made in justification of the law of capitalistic concentration Concentration there certainly has been; but it has been concentration of control, not of ownership, and the whole Marxian thesis demands concentration of ownership as of the essence of the doctrine likewise, between the two extremes, the Marxian prophecy has found no fulfilment. The population has not been thrust ruthlessly into two opposing camps, there is still an intermediate grade which somehow contrives to pay its taxes and survive

But quite apart from the minor question of the justice of the Marxian prophecy, the state of society visualized by Marx is in itself sufficiently curious to provoke wonder A society consisting largely of an army of unemployed (and that in pre-Insurance Act days), leavened by a few remaining capitalists relying increasingly on the use of machinery (which on the Marxian theory yields no profits), is in itsel a bundle of glaring contradictions. For whom, under these conditions, are the dark satance mills supposed to grind out goods? Obviously not the Industrial Reserve Army, whose home is the workhouse, and who have no purchasting power. As before, Marx entirely overlooks the side

of demand Production cannot go on unless there is a market for the goods, and in reading Marx and in contemplating the population dufting into the Industrial Reserve Army and sunk in increasing misery, one inevitably wonders where the market is to be found

Reserve Army and sunk in increasing misery, one Marx remains, and probably always will remain, one of the riddles of the nineteenth century. He set out to be "scientific," to produce what the reviewers would call a cogent piece of reasoning, and, undoubtedly, the whole system is extraordinarily closely knit together, the various points are admirably dove-tailed Yet no system of thought has suffered such complete bankruptcy as the Marxian His philosophy of history was to all intents disowned by Engels, the fallacies of his economic doctrine have been so ruthlessly and frequently exposed, that they scarcely any longer furnish even satisfactory examination questions, his prophecies have perversely refused to be fulfilled All this, moreover, is acknowledged even by those who honour him most Take, for instance, Mr Beer, who says that Marx's theory of value and surplus value have rather the significance of a political and social slogan than of an economic truth,1 or take Mr Laski, who dismisses the theory of value as one that has not stood the test of criticism, as out of harmony with the facts, and as far from self-consistent 2 Yet, despite this bankruptcy, Marx continues to live and to be a vital force Indeed, Marx is one of those writers in whose case refutation is a singularly futile exercise. He is a legend. he is, in the Sorelian sense, a promulgator of "myths" where truth is a secondary consideration, so long as the myth embodies what one wishes to believe, and so long as the belief has power to inspire action. This point of

Beer, The Life and Teaching of Karl Marx, p 129 Mr Hearnshaw's Survey of Socialism contains an interesting nosegay of socialist utterances on Marx in a similar sense

view is admirably, if somewhat unblushingly, put by Mr. Beer, who, having dismissed the Mariana economics as a "political slogan," adds that "such militant philosophies need not in themselves be true, only they must accord with the sentiments of the struggling mass"; and a page later) the doctrines of Marx "will for long have the force of truth for the masses, and will continue to move them "!". This is somewhat too blatantly to reduce Marx to a sacred lie, whose falsity is known to the elect, but which serves its purpose so long as it misleads others

An entirely different line of defence is, however, possible It consists in arguing that Marx has been completely misunderstood, that he was not, in fact, discussing the market-places of the world and the employers and the employed who elbow each other there, but an abstract world of his own thought and his own creation Here, for instance, is Croce.

"The capitalist society studied by Marx, is not this or that society, historically existing, in France or in England, nor the modern society of the most civilized nations, that of Western Europe and America. It is an ideal and formal society, deduced from certain hypotheses which could indeed never have occurred as actual facts in the course of history "2

It is interesting to observe that Croce prefaces his remarks by saying that it does not appear that Marx himself always realized fully the peculiar character of his investigation. Whatever contortions may have resulted from the conflict between the first and third volumes, it is indeed abundantly evident that Marx would have been genuinely surprised had he been told that he was discussing a state of society which could never have

Beer, op cit, pp 130-131
Croce Historical Materialism p 50

existed in the course of history, and it is also obvious that those with whom Marx has had most influence, have in fact shared his failure to realize the peculiar character of his investigations

Whatever may be thought of the nddle of Marx, it remains beyond question that he has been the dominant force in the whole of the subsequent development of socialism. Whether that influence has been a beneficial one may perhaps be doubted, and there are at last some indications of a tardy realization of the fact that Marx, shifting the car of socialism on to the wrong lines, has had a degrading effect on the whole socialist movement.

Délivrons-nous du Marxisme is the significant title of a recent French volume, and Mr de Man's thoughtful work2 is a reasoned statement, from the socialist point of view. against the assumptions of Marxism What Marx did for socialism may be seen by contrasting his spirit and that of his despised predecessors, and a socialist indictment of Marx would probably concentrate on three points In the first place, in putting forward a "scientific" type of socialism, he deliberately and ostentatiously eliminated the moral basis of socialism and the appeal to justice Marx cared for none of these things, the coming of socialism was to be scientifically demonstrated. But after the moral appeal had been thrown aside as mawkish sentimentality, the scientific proof, which was to take its place, has collapsed Socialism can only regain its moral basis by being delivered from Marxism The second main criticism was urged long ago by Mazzini in a frequently quoted phrase "Hatred," he said of Marx, "outweighs

¹ So also a perusal of the little book by the Master of Balliol would probably have caused Marx to raise his eyebrows Marx of all men, would have objected to being explained away ² Translated as The Psychology of Socialism

love in his heart." The early socialists had been inspired by a large-hearted love, the gospel of Marx is a gospel of hatred and envy and malevolence, setting the class struggle in the centre of things, and appealing to all the ignobler passions Consistently he looks downwards and not upwards The third point of criticism is akin to this. It is that with Marx, socialism definitely becomes sectional There is a grand catholicity about the early socialist visions, theirs was a paradise into which all might enter But the Marxian heaven rests on a strict separation of the elect from those fore-ordained to damnation Such has been the legacy of Marx to the socialist movement, he has shorn it of its idealism, and to the utmost of his influence made it sordid, he has infused into it a leaven of hatred and intolerance, he has deprived it of its universality Sufficient reason indeed for the devout socialist to pray to be delivered from Marxism! How far these baser elements were the reflection of Marx's warped and cankered personal character would furnish material for an interesting, but perhaps an irrelevant, study Those who desire enlightenment may find instruction in the biography recently written by that enthusiastic Marxian, Mr. W. Ruhle.

CHAPTER XII

THE AUSTRIAN SCHOOL THE THEORY OF THE MARGIN

THE present chapter is designed to give some account of the leading members of the Austrian school, which in popular acceptation has become identified with the development of the "margin" as an economic tool with far-reaching remifications The Austrians, however, are scarcely to be apprehended without some reference to their predecessors, and it may therefore be expedient in this case to make a slight departure from the procedure followed in previous chapters, in which, so far as might be, all but the leaders have been jettisoned for the purposes of elucidation The Austrians represent the culminating point of a well-defined line of thought, and although the word "Austrian" has become consecrated, it is further significant that, quite independently of the work of the leading Austrians, the main tenets of the Austrian point of view were expressed almost simultaneously by Jevons in England, and by the younger Walras in Lausanne The net must therefore be spread wider in this chapter, though the mesh must still be sufficiently large to allow some quite considerable fish to escape

Putting it crudely, and subject to later refinements, the essentials of the Austrian school may be reduced to three cardinal points. Firstly, as against all cost-of-production theories of value, they held that value essentially springs from utility, that it reflects the mind of a person who finds something useful; secondly, that this value is determined

at the "margin," that is to say, that, successive portions of a good having diminishing utility, the crucial use which determines value is the least important use to which the good, having regard to the amount available, can be put, and thirdly, that value is reflected back from things consumed to the agents which produce these commodities, that is to say, that value sanctions costs, and is not caused by costs.

Barlier Anticipations

Before the appearance of the Austrian school, these essential points had frequently gained expression, though at times rather incidentally and without a full realization of their import Reference has been made in Chapter X to some interesting passages from Say in this sense When Archbishop Whately opined that pearls were not valuable because men dived for them, but rather that men dived for them because they were valuable, he was expressing, without its refinements, one aspect of the essence of Austrian doctrine Of the very considerable number of early writers who might be, and have been, cited as foreshadowing, in one way or another, the Austrian school, the most interesting is perhaps Condillac (1714-1780), who in Le Commerce et le Gouvernement, published in 1776, maintained that the value of things was "founded on their utility, or what comes to the same thing, the need we have of them, or what again comes to the same thing, the use we can make of them 'Not only so, but the value of things, he held, increases in rarrity and diminishes with abundance, so that, with sufficient abundance, it may fall to the point of being nil Thus, with Auguste Walras later, he makes value depend on "rareté," if only this "rareté" could be assessed "The greater or less degree of value, utility being the same, would be based solely on the degree of 'rareté,' if this

degree could be known with precision." But since it cannot, there enters an element of human estimation which, in times of dearth, for instance, magnifies the shortage. Thus the "true value" remains unknown and, assuming constant utility, value is founded not so much on the "rareté" or abundance of a commodity, as on the opinion we form regarding its "rareté" or abundance. On these lines Condillac adumbrates a wholly subjective theory of value, it is only necessary to add further his essentially Austrian dictum that "une chose n'a pas une valeur parce qu'elle coûte, comme on le suppose, mais elle coûte, parce qu'elle a une valeur."

Turning to this country, an interesting place among the forerunners of the marginal theory is occupied by W. F. Lloyd (1794-1853), who, as Professor in the University of Oxford (1832-1837), was under obligation to publish one lecture a year. The lecture for 1833 on "The Notion of Value" is a remarkable anticipation of the general line of thought of the Austrians, and indeed the usual type of illustration relating to the housekeeping wornes of Robinson Crusoe is here in abundance From the case of the hungry man having one, two or three ounces of food at his command, Lloyd deduces the general principle that

"while he is scantily supplied with food, he holds a given portion of it in great esteem—in other words, he sets a great value on it, when his supply is increased, his esteem for a given quantity is lessened, or, in other words, he sets a less value on it."

Even more interesting is his "good rule for distinguishing between utility and value." "To obtain the idea of the utility of an object," he says, "imagine what would happen, what inconvenience would arise, from the loss, not of that object alone, but of the whole species to which

¹ Reprinted in the "Economic History Supplement," No 2, of the Economic Journal, May, 1927.

that object belongs In the case of water for instance in what predicament should we find ourselves if we lost not a particular pailful but the whole element of water? But value represents a different kind of idea it attaches to an object in possession which cannot therefore be unlimited. In the case of the overturned pail of water; the value is at once determined for us either by the inconvenience of leaving unsatisfied the particular want for which the consignment of water was designed or by the trouble of going back to the well for more water. Here is clearly prefigured the idea of total utility and the marginal utility (the determinant of value) arrived at by considering the inconvenience resulting from the destruction of the last unit of a store

Auguste Walras

About the same time France supplies an important anticipator of certain of the essential ideas of the marginalists in Auguste Walras1 (1801 1866) who in 1831 published a work entitled De la Nature de la Richesse et de l'Origine de la Valeur It is a book which is marked in the crucial parts of the argument by a convincing clarity which is peculiarly French and throughout by a tendency to repetitiveness which is peculiarly his own His incursion into economics was in the first place a by product of other studies He was primarily interested in natural law and the theory of property and turning to writers on economics for enlightenment on the meaning of wealth he found himself in the confusion of tongues turned empty away Wealth and property he argued have a common origin in the limitation of certain goods la rareté de certains objets utiles" It is this limitation

¹Born at Montpellier 1801 Professor of Rhetoric at Evreux 1831 Professor of Political Economy at the Athénée Pans 1835 later Professor of Philosophy at Caen His son Leon Walras perhaps a greater man carned on his tradition

or "rareté," that gives things value, it makes them capable of being appropriated, and thus value is an idea prior to that of property Value is thus a complex idea, implying a comparison with other things, and ultimately their exchange, exchange implies property, and property implies limitation, for if all desirable things were unlimited, there would be no property, just as there would be no exchange.

Walras proceeds to analyse with great incisiveness current views on the source and origin of value main he holds that these fall into two schools, those who based it on utility, represented by French writers, and those who, like the English economists, tended towards a labour or cost-of-production theory 2 Taking the first of these explanations, if utility be the explanation, then, wherever there is utility, there also should value exist But sunshine and rain are standing proofs to the contrary They exist in such abundance that everyone can take "à son aise et à foison" without anyone being thereby deprived It is different with other things, there are some who possess them, and some who do not 3 It is, in short, a characteristic of all wealth, of all things possessing value, that they should be limited, and this limitation establishes a natural disproportion between the sum total of these goods and the sum total of needs which claim the possession of these goods 4 It is this that constitutes "rareté"-a word not used in the popular sense which clings to "rarity," but merely denoting an insufficient supply to meet all claimants It is to this "rareté" alone that value is due Whatever has value owes its value entirely to this principle of limitation. Utility is not the cause, though it may be a necessary condition 5 Having

¹ De la Nature de la Richesse, pp 18-19
² Ibid, p 21
³ Ibid, p 21
⁴ Ibid, p 37-38

regard to the principle of "rareté" which underlies all value, Walras in an acute phrase suggests that one might be tempted to call Political Economy not so much the science of wealth as the science of poverty 1

Turning to the other explanation, the cost-of-production theories, Walras holds that the underlying assumption here is that all values are products, and that the value of any object represents the time and the labour lost in obtaining it, assuming as is sometimes done—that all the other productive services can be resolved into labour does not carry the analysis far enough "The value of the products (on this view) represents the value of the productive services, and it is only because the productive services have a value that their product can have one But we are concerned to know why the productive services have a value, and if the productive services are merely labour, why labour has a value"2-and this latter question is one which, according to Walras, Adam Smith never asked

That the value of labour comes from its "rareté" is a proposition hard to advance in an age that knows the problem of unemployment, which indeed was known likewise in the time of Walras. But with the utmost ingenuity he avoids the obvoius pitfall, shifting the weight of the argument to the element of time.

"There is no work that can be accomplished but with time and on certain conditions But time is not for any of us an unlimited good . Our life is short and our days are numbered Time is for each of us a precious thing because it is rare And since labour can only be accomplished with time and on conditions more or less onerous, it follows that labour has a value"

So with the other agents of production Land and capital are alike limited, and therefore both have a value.

¹ Ibid . p 81 1 Ibid , p 166. 1 Ibid , p. 167.

Their services command a price, and the price is obviously merely the natural consequences of their limitation or "rareté". There is here an obvious kinship with Cassel's principle of scarcity. So, Walras claims, the principle of demand and supply consecrates under another name the principle he has developed; for supply is merely the sum or quantity of the product, while demand is the sum of needs which demand satisfaction, "rareté" is merely the relation which exists between the two But even more fundamentally, that a thing may be demanded, it must be limited, that a thing may be supplied, it must be limited, that a thing may be supplied, it must be of the operations of the market-place acquire a meaning

Walras has great ment in underlining one aspect of the problem of value, which later became an essential point in the Austrian structure. His weakness is equally obvious. He leaves the idea of "rareté" somewhat vague, and makes no approach to correlating value with increasing degrees of "rareté". The furthest he gets is to define "rareté" as existing in a certain disproportion between goods and the need of these goods. How various degrees of "rareté" would react on value, he leaves undiscussed.

Gossen

It is now generally accepted that, apart from such incidental anticipations as are indicated above, the first reasonably complete statement of marginalist doctrine was given by Hermann Heinrich Gossen (1870-1858) in an extraordinary work published in 1854. The history of

¹ Ibid , p 177

Born 1810 at Düren, in the Government service without conspicuous success, retired 1847, and devoted himself to writing his book

Entwichlung der Gesetze des menschlichen Verkehrs und der daraus fiessenden Regeln für menschliches Handeln References are to the recent edution published by Prager, Berlin.

this book is one of the curiosities of economic literature. Entirely neglected on its publication, the unsold copies (which must have been virtually the whole stock) were called in by its disappointed author shortly before his death in 1858. Its life hung by a footnote in Kautz, which was sufficient, a generation later, to stimulate the interest of the economic bloodhounds and set them on its track. It has since then, of course, been reprinted, but it may be doubted whether the number of those who conscientiously read its two hundred and seventy-seven pages has thereby suffered any material increase.

Gossen's book is indeed one of those that are made not to be read. It is repellently mathematical, with whole pages given over to symbols or to lists of numbers, even worse, it is chaotic, worse still, one can hardly escape the impression that the author had a bee, or several bees, in his bonnet The reviewers of 1854 are hardly to be blained if they left Gossen to languish in the list of "Books received"

Yet to us to-day, dipping into The Laws of Human Intercourse in the light of all that has since then become commonly accepted doctrine, Gossen's is a sufficiently remarkable book. His attitude is brazenly utilitarian, he out-benthams Bentham in his assertion that the object of every man is to raise his enjoyment of life to a maximum. But, Gossen hints, we cannot run bald-headed at our enjoyments. There are after-effects, and there are disappointments; a pleasure may generate later a feeling of the opposite kind. The fundamental principle, then, is that man should so direct his actions that the sum of his enjoyments in life should be a maximum; and this, moreover, is in accordance with the will of the Creator 1.

We are therefore acting in accordance with the divine command when we seek to find out the laws which

¹ Entwicklung der Gesetze des menschlichen Verkehrs, p 3.

regulate our pleasures by two characteristics firstly, the magnitude of an enjoyment diminishes progressively until satiety supervenes, and secondly there is a similar diministion in repetitions of the same enjoyment lower, but its duration is also shorter, and, moreover, both kinds of diministion are more marked, the more frequently the repetition occurs Gossen cites the usual illustrations the first bite tastes the best the second less good, still less the third. The second principle is illustrated by the poor man who finds much more pleasure in his Sunday roast than does the plutocrat who is so unfortunate as to have one every day in the week 1

From his analysis of enjoyments Gossen elicits three fundamental principles which illustrate his pleasure andpain philosophy Somewhat abridged, the essence of these is as follows

- In the case of each enjoyment there is a manner of enjoyment, chiefly dependent on the frequency of repetition which will make the sum of enjoyments a maximum. If this maximum is attained a more frequent or less frequent repetition will diminish the total enjoyment.
- 2 (When there is a choice between several enjoyments but insufficient time to enjoy all completely, maximum enjoyment requires that all should be partly enjoyed, even before the greatest of these enjoyments has been exhausted. At the moment of breaking off, the enjoyment which is being derived from each of the possible lines should be the same.
- 3 \ThirdIy and rather oddly the possibility of increasing the sum total of enjoyments is presented whenever a new enjoyment (however small in itself) is discovered

or an existing enjoyment extended Blessed indeed is he who invents a new pleasure.

Gossen's theory of value is intimately interwoven with this principle of diminishing satisfaction. The prevalent confusion in economic doctrine, he holds, springs exclusively from different conceptions of value Nothing has led to such unfortunate results as the "fiction of an absolute value," whereas in fact there is nothing in the external world which possesses such an absolute value 2 External things have value for us, he declares, according as they help us to attain the purposes of life, in more utilitarian language the magnitude of value is measured by the extent of the enjoyment or satisfaction which anything yields 3 On this he enunciates the essentially marginalist principle that the individual "atoms" (this is Gossen's word) of any consumption-good have highly different values Moreover, for any man only a determinate number of these atoms has value, and beyond this point an increase is valueless, the point of valuelessness. however, is only reached after value, as represented by successive atoms, has gradually passed through the most diverse grades of magnitude The first atom has thel highest value, each additional increment has a smaller value until worthlessness supervenes 4

Moreover, in his analysis of value, Gossen is led to a classification of goods which is strongly suggestive of that advanced by Menger at a later date, and in his use of this classification in connection with the problem of the determination of value, he is the angel of Wieser He divides goods into three classes: firstly, consumption goods (Genussmittel), and above this goods of the second and of the third class Goods of the second class are complementary goods—the pipe and the tobacco, the oven and

the coal, the cart and the horse. The third class comprises productive-goods, whose purpose is to create consumptiongoods.1 The significant thing with regard to Gossen is that, in discussing the value of the goods of the second and third classes, he plots out, if he does not lose himself in, the field in which later Wieser was to disport himself In the case of complementary goods, value is to be ascribed (Werth zuzuschreiben ist) because they are of assistance in preparing the way for a satisfaction, but what value should be so ascribed is not easy to determine The combined value of the goods will be given by the satisfaction which in combination they yield, but beyond this one cannot go with precision, since they have value only when combined, and individually they "will have value only so far as there is an intention to supply the missing units " So, in the case of goods of the third class. the estimate is "mediate" (eine mittelbare), value can only be ascribed to these in so far as they are of assistance in producing a consumption-good, and they are worth exactly as much as is represented by the assistance they lend in producing these Here-except that Gossen uses the word "zuschreiben" whereas Wieser uses "zurechnen "-is the core of Wieser's productive contribution

In two other respects Gossen's anticipations of the future deserve at least to be recorded His lengthy and rather peculiar definition of "work" need not concern us unduly, except to the extent that it is based on the idea of "work" as a "disutility" which is to be balanced against the utility resulting from it It follows, therefore, that work should be continued until a negative balance emerges from the process Work, he tells us, is something undertaken to produce a satisfaction, that is, to create something of value, and it follows that we are in a position to increase the sum total of the enjoyments of life by work,

so long as the satisfaction created by the work is to be estimated as higher than the inconvenience caused by the work 1

So also, in his study of the question of exchange and the limits to which exchange should profitably be carried, he uses the machinery of marginalism and the conception of equi-marginal value For any given person, exchange will continue to be advantageous until the last atoms of the objects under consideration have for him equal value 2 In the light of these illustrations, it is not too much to say that in Gossen's long-neglected work there may be found all the essential ideas and much of the technique of the Austrian school.

levons

The importance of William Stanley Jevons³ (1835-1882) lies in the fact that simultaneously with, and independently of, the Austrian school, he elaborated ideas fundamentally identical with theirs, and can thus claim to be one of the originators of the marginal school 4 Jevons's Theory of Political Economy (published in 1871, the same year as Menger's Grundsätze) is inspired throughout by a " fear of the too great influence of authoritative writers on Political Economy," and there is in him not a little of conscious revolt against great names So far as concerns method, he is an uncompromising advocate of the mathematical character of economics "Economy, if it be a science at all, must be a mathematical science." It is

¹ Ibid , p 38 1 Ibid , p 84

Born at Liverpool in 1835 For a time in the Mint of Australia, 1866-1875 Professor of logic and mental and moral

philosophy at Owens College, Manchester, and lecturer in political economy, 1875-1880 Professor in London

4 The other non-Austrian founder of the Austrian school, Leon Walras (son of Auguste Walras), must be crowded out here His Elements d'Economie Politique Pure dates from 1874, and he is thus slightly later than Menger and Jevons

* Theory of Political Economy, p 3

bound to be a mathematical science because it deals with quantities, with things which are capable of being more or less in magnitude, indeed there cannot be a true theory of Political Economy which dispenses with the aid of the differential calculus Accepting sound utilitarian principles, Political Economy thus becomes a calculus of pleasures and pains, and if Jevons has doubts as to how far it is possible to conceive a unit of pleasure or pain, he takes refuge in the thought that the mind of the individual is the balance which makes its own comparisons. If we may not estimate, we may at least compare, since "pleasures, in short, are for the time being as the mind estimates, them" And for the immediate purpose, to compare is even more important than to measure

Ievons's central doctrine, which he challengingly places in the forefront of his book, is what he calls "the somewhat novel opinion that value depends entirely upon utility."2 and he claims that it is possible to arrive at a satisfactory theory of exchange (including as a consequence the ordinary laws of supply and demand) merely by tracing out the natural laws of the variations of utility "as depending upon the quantity of commodity in our possession " This, of course, is merely the core of Gossen, as it is of the marginal school Utility is not an inherent quality, rather is it "a circumstance of things arising out of their relation to man's requirements." and in which the amount already possessed is therefore a material factor Taking the too familiar case of water, "all that we can say, then, is that water up to a certain quantity is indispensable, that further quantities will have various degrees of utility; but that beyond a certain point, the utility appears to cease" And in general the same principle holds. the yery same articles vary in utility according as we already possess more or less of the same article "

¹ Ibid , D 19 1 Ibid , D 2 1 Ibid , D 52 4 Ibid , D 53

Ievons is thus led, with the aid of curves now known to every beginner, to distinguish between the total utility, which may be great-indeed infinite, when a question of maintaining life is involved-and the utility belonging to any particular portion which, if it is possible to increase the supply, may become as low as we choose For the utility of the last portion, Jevons uses the phrase "final degree of utility," and much confusion in the past has, he contends, been caused by failure to distinguish between the total utility of a commodity which may be very great and the final degree of utility, which, by abundance verging on superfluity, may be reduced to zero 1 Such old conundrums as the comparative value of gold and iron. of water and benedictine, and all the other usual examples. rest fundamentally on a confusion with regard to the meaning of the word " value " Water, if we talk sloppily with our eyes on value-in-use, may be said to be of infinite value. but weighed drop for drop in the balance of exchange, it makes a poor show against benedictine Jevons would say that, so far as there is a mystery, it is because we confuse total utility with the final degree of utility

Here, then, is what for Jevons is the "all-important point in all economical problems," viz, the variation of the function expressing the final degree of utility, affunction which "varies with the quantity of commodity, and ultimately decreases as that quantity increases "a The same principle explains, for Jevons, the distribution of a commodity between various possible uses, a classical point in the later statement of the Austrian school. Barley may be used to make beer, spirits, bread, or to feed cattle and so on The theory of utility shows that the distribution between the various uses should be so assigned that the final degree of utility in the various directions should be equal.

¹ Ibid , pp 58-61 1 Ibid , p 52. 1 Ibid , pp 68-70.

With this doctrine of diminishing utility, Jevons works out a theory of exchange The word "value," owing to its horrid confusions, he would discard, and substitute for it the expression "ratio of exchange," a suggestion which has not prevailed against the power of custom The value of a commodity, if the "dangerous term" may be permitted, is measured not by total utility, but by the intensity of the need we have for it, in even more precise language, "value in exchange is defined by the terminal utility". The theory of exchange corresponds, the result of exchange is that all commodities sink to the same level of utility in respect of the last portions consumed

The opposing doctrine that value is caused by labour. or that it is proportional to labour, Jevons dismisses very curtly as one "which cannot stand for a moment" As he truly says, labour once spent has no influence on the future value of any article, in this sphere of life bygones are for ever bygones 4 Yet though he holds that the value of labour is determined by the value of the produce, and not the value of the produce by that of labour,5 his complete statement contains concessions which would considerably placate an upholder of the labour theory For labour, though never the cause of value, may be the determining circumstance, and indeed he proves as a rider to his own theory that in the case of articles which can be produced in greater or less quantity, exchange will take place in the ratio of the quantities produced by the same quantity of labour In this case, then, Jevons's view would appear to be that what is wrong with the labour theory of value is not that it is untrue, but that it gives the wrong reason for the truth which it declares

As distinguished from the earlier forerunners, Jevons

and Leon Walras (whom we can but distantly salute) are almost entitled to be regarded as extra-mural founders of the Austran school, which we are now in a position to consider The outstanding leaders of this group were Menger, von Wieser and Bohm-Bawerk, with perhaps Sax as the leading representative of those who might be called followers.

Menger

Of these, Karl Menger¹ (1840-1921), though the least known in this country, has distinct priority in time, and, notwithstanding a smaller literary output, was probably the most influential of the group. His Grundsatze der Volkswirthschaftslehre, published in 1871, really established the school Menger starts with a bold assertion of the universality of cause and effect. The transition from a state of want to a state in which want is satisfied is, like everything else, the result of causation. Here, then, is the subject of his analysis. Those things which have the capacity of being placed in a causal relationship with the satisfaction of human needs are called utilities. In so far as we have power to place them in this connection they are called "goods"?

That a thing may be a "good," four conditions are necessary There must be a human need, the thing must have such qualities as enable it to be placed in relationship with the satisfaction of the want; this causal relationship must be recognized, and, lastly, there must be a power to dispose of the thing in such a way that it can satisfy the want. All these things are essential, if any of the four is lacking, the thing loses its quality as a

⁵ Born 1840 at Neu Sandez in Galicia, student at Prague, Vienna and Cracow, Professor of Economics at Vienna, 1873; private tutor to Prince Rudolf of Austria Returned to academie work, and finally retired from the university in 1903. In 1900 was made a life member of the Austrian Upper House.

"good" This looks hornbly abstract, but it is subtly devised with a view to further argument

The satisfaction of needs, then, is the primary consideration, but at once we come to a distinction, and it is the first fundamental point in Menger There are goods which can be immediately applied to the satisfaction of our needs, as, for instance, bread Such he defines as "goods of the first order" But apart from these, there are many things which indubitably possess the marks of "goods," but which nevertheless stand in no immediate causal connection with the satisfaction of our needs Their function is to produce goods which do, these are goods of the second order, and exist to produce goods of the first order with which, directly and without any mediation, we satisfy our needs Beyond these are goods of the third order, which produce goods of the second. and so on, as far as human ingenuity cares to go Beyond the bread is the flour, beyond the flour, the grain. beyond the grain, the field and the plough, beyond the plough, the iron While, then, it is essential that a good should stand in causal relationship to the satisfaction of our needs, this relationship need not be direct 2

Menger at once proceeds to use his theory of the order of goods in such a way as to foreshadow one of the corner-stones of the marginalist theory. To produce goods of a lower order, the co-operation of several goods of a higher order is required, to produce bread calls not merely for meal or flour, but also for water, all the baker's contrivances, fuel, and the baker alone knows what else. These are complementary goods. With regard to these Menger lays down two propositions which are significant, even if reasonably obvious. The first is that goods of a higher order only retain their quality as goods, if we can dispose of the corresponding complementary

goods—though it is true that they may retain this quality in respect of other goods which they may also assist in producing. Thus pipes cease to be goods when the tobacco crop entirely fails, except in so far as they may remain goods for the purpose of producing soap-bubbles. The second proposition is that goods of a lower order, whose production they serve, retain the quality of goods. When golf goes wholly out of fashion, not merely do the golf-clubs become useless, but all the machinery used specifically and exclusively for the production of golf-clubs will also, in that fell day, cease to be goods.

It will be seen to what distant end Menger is tending The decisive position is occupied by the goods nearest the consumer, the goods of the first order, all others derive their importance from these, and have their justification in these, and they only live as goods to the extent to which they are countenanced by goods of a lower order

The next important point in Menger arises from his distinction between economic and non-economic "goods," and the inferences drawn therefrom It requires time to produce goods of a lower order from those of a higher, and the man who regulates his life on economic principles (der withschaftende Mensch) looks ahead and balances the want (Bedarf) against the quantity of goods available. Three cases may arise The want or need may be greater than, less than, or exactly covered by the supply "The first is the important case, and when this situation arises, it must further be recognized that no part of the supply can be withdrawn without leaving unsatisfied some concrete need for which previously provision had been made. In practice there are various consequences: the owner has to make a choice between the more important needs

which he will continue to sabsfy, and the less urgent which he must reconcile himself to leaving unsatisfied. Otherwise expressed, he must plan out the most expedient manner in which the available supply of goods can be used. This is the meaning of economics (wwithschaft), and these are economic goods. Economic goods, in short, are goods which we are compelled to economize because the supply is inadequate, we have to decide that they may be applied to certain purposes and should not be applied to others. In the case of non-economic goods, on the other hand, where the supply exceeds the need, there is no occasion to arrange possible uses in a descending order of importance, or to plan out the wisest employment of the available supply.

It follows from this that the economic or non-economic character of a good is no inherent immutable property. It arises from the proportion between the want or the need and the quantity available, in short, it expresses the "rareté" of Auguste Walras Accordingly, and further, it follows that goods may pass from one class to another by virtue of changes in this proportion. Increase of population, development of wants, the progress of men in the knowledge of what may be serviceable to their well-being, any or all of these may lead to a change in the character of the good ³

So armed, we are prepared to meet the idea of value Value springs from the same causes as confer on certain goods the quality of being "economic goods", it ansest from the relation of wants and supply, and from the fact that in economic goods a diminution of supply leads to a want unsatisfied, or a want less adequately satisfied than before Speaking generally, it expresses the importance or the significance which goods acquire in our eyes owing to our consciousness of the fact that we are

¹ Ibid pp 52-53. 1 Ibid , p. 57. 1 Ibid , p 62

dependent on our disposal of them for the satisfaction of our needs Value, then, is a judgment of the mind, not a property of the thing or an independent entity ¹

Different needs are of different importance preserve life, some minister to our comforts, some are mere luxuries But even so, taking the most fundamental, the importance attached to various concrete acts of satisfying the desire for food varies greatly. Up to a certain point it maintains life, later it may result in mere enjoyment If continued (gloomy prophet!) it may turn to pain, and finally imperil life and health itself too familiar illustration is, however, primarily introduced in order to illustrate his scale of the importance of needs Admittedly the demand for bread serves a more important purpose than the demand for tobacco, at least it starts off with a higher importance. But it may, and does happen, that later satisfactions from bread are less than the earlier satisfactions from tobacco Economically after eating for a certain time, it is wise to smoke 2

Turning to the more objective problem of the determination of value in any case, the question is complicated by
reason of the fact that where a quantity of the good is
in existence, different portions, applied in different directions, may yield entirely different satisfactions. Menger's
example is of the farmer who has a reasonably adequate
supply of grain, which after harvest he divides into
different portions and mentally allots to various purposes.
The first is to preserve the lives of his family, and so
we descend through next year's seed, the brewing of
beer and brandy, until we end (since the farmer has
enough) with a supply of what Menger pleasingly calls
"luxus-thieren"—presumably chinchillas and guineapigs. The more familiar example rings the changes on
Robinson Crusoe, his man Friday, his dog and his parrot.

Under these circumstances, what is the value of the quantity of corn which we take as the unit? The answer. as so often, is found by asking another question. What want would remain unsatisfied in the event of the destruction or loss of the last portion in question? In the cases supposed, the farmer would get rid of his chinchillas, Robinson Crusoe would wring his parrot's neck, and all other needs would remain satisfied as before Further, as all like portions of the commodity are interchangeable, they must all have the same value, any of the sacks of grain may be the last In the case supposed by Menger the value of the unit of corn is represented by the pleasure of keeping "luxus-thieren" Thus in general we get the determination of value. The value of any portion of goods, when a supply exists, is represented by the least important use to which such a portion is applied 2

In the case of those goods which are in direct touch with the consumer, goods of the first order, the determination of value is thus a problem which admits of a solution which is easily comprehensible. To put the point of the previous paragraph in a slightly different form, value will be determined by the use which would first be sacrificed in the event of a curtailment of supply. And it is further clear that with a curtailment of supply, the value will rise. Crusoe, with his six sacks of grain, evaluates each by the pleasure he derives from his parrot's conversation. When the rats eat one sack and the

¹ Ibid , p 98

³ Ibid. n. 99 The exact words may be given. "...der Wert einer Teilquantität der verfügbaren Gütermenge ist für jede Person demnach gleich der Bedeutung, welche die am wengsten wichtigen der durch die Gesamtquantität necht gesicherten und mit einer gleichen Teilquantität herbeurufthereden Bedüfrinsbefinedigungen für sie haben "This is merely a fragment of a longer statement, Menger's sentences and paragraphs, which are sometimes indistinguiskable, frequently seem to call for dynamite.

stuffed parrot adorns the mantelpiece, each sack assumes the value of a dog—and so on through rising stages until, when there is only one, it has for Crusoe the supreme value he attaches to Robinson

When we come to goods of a higher order, we are, however, confronted with complexities which the Austrian school, despite the utmost ingenuity, never satisfactorily resolved It is fundamental to the Austrian view that value is reflected back, as against the erroneous cost-ofproduction theory which attributes value to a product, because goods possessing value have been spent and consumed in its production, and which thus derives the value of goods of a lower order from the value postulated to be already existing in goods of a higher order As Menger says "The value of goods of a higher order is always, and without exception, conditioned by the value of goods of a lower order towards the production of which they are subservient "1 There is, indeed, the further complication that the element of time enters, so that the value of goods of a higher order to-day reflects the prospective values, some time hence, of the goods they are instrumental in producing 3

But to determine how much value each of the goods of a higher order derives from the value of the final product is clearly no easy matter. Menger's solution is obviously inadmissible. The difficulties are patent. Each product is the result of the co-operation of a group (which may be large) of goods of a higher order, not all of which may, however, be essential. Menger notes that grain results from the co-operation of land, manure, agricultural machinery and labour, and there may be much more. But, though the use of manure increases the supply obtained, nevertheless, even without any manure, the crop will not entirely fail, so also the absence.

of manure might be counteracted by increased labour in other directions Moreover, there is the further complexity that complementary goods, which enter into a given combination with a view to the production of one commodity, may also, in another combination, stand in a similar relationship to an entirely different good of a lower order There is more than one kind of agricultural produce, and very different values attach to each of them In this criss-cross of uncertainty, how much value may we allow the manure to derive from the value of the grain? Menger rightly says that the value of a higher good cannot be equivalent to the satisfaction vielded by the whole product which it helps to create What he suggests is that the value of a higher good is represented by the difference which its presence makes, or the loss which would be sustained by its withdrawal from the group 1 This, however, is clearly inadmissible It is unnecessary to go into refined arithmetical examples to realize that the withdrawal or destruction of land would have a devastating effect on agriculture, and therefore, on Menger's principles, the whole value of the product should pass to land, yet the contribution of agricultural implements and of manure cannot be denied ordinary man, therefore, it would seem that, on Menger's solution, goods of a higher order might jointly derive from a good of a lower order a greater value than that good itself possesses Menger, it should be noted, applies, although in a very brief discussion, the same principle to the question of the determination of wages The value of labour in any given case is represented by the loss we should suffer in the event of that labour being withdrawn 2

Lastly (or at least lastly for our purposes), Menger applies the general principles underlying his doctrine to

¹ Ibid , p 142

³ Ibid , pp 149-150

the problem of the motives prompting to exchange, and the extent to which exchange should be carried Daring to make game of Adam Smith's odd phrase regarding the "propensity to truck," he shows that exchange is rooted in the ordinary individualistic impulse striving for the completest satisfaction of needs Wherever A has possession of certain quantities of a good which have for him a less value than certain quantities of another good which are in the possession of B (and the reverse position holds the other way round), it will be advantageous for both that exchange should take place, and it is only necessary to confront the six horses and the one cow which A possesses against the six cows and the one horse which B has, specifying the diminishing value which each successive unit of cow and horse has in the eyes of A and B, to see up to what point exchange should take place It is necessary to mention this point, but unnecessary to expand it, since this is one of the points more fully developed by Bohm-Bawerk 2

Before leaving Menger, it is perhaps worthy of mention that he does not use the word "margin," which was lying ready to hand in the witnings of you Thunen It is to Wieser that this familiar finend is due, Menger himself periphrastically speaks of the "least important" use.

Wieser

As we are here concerned only with the general ideas of the Austrian school, the other leading members must be more summarily dismissed. Menger laid down the broad lines of doctrine, the others elaborated, and the elaboration became so subtle in its higher reaches, that one is tempted to concur in the view that the consequent advantage is to be found rather in the intellectual gymnastics performed by these authors than in any new

results obtained Friedrich von Wieser (1851-1926), who followed Menger with his Ursprung und Hauptgesetze des Wirthschaftlichen Werthes (1884), provided in his later Natural Value (1880) what was doubtless the most characteristic statement of Austrian doctrine Relying on Gossen's Law of Diminishing Satisfaction, he gives a more precise statement of the doctrine of marginal utility. to which he gave the now accepted name of "Grenznutzen " His approach is definitely psychological interest is primarily in our satisfactions, but under certain conditions-primarily the limitation of the supply of goods and the power to modify the extent of that supplythe interest is transferred from the need to the thing which satisfies that need, and which thus becomes invested with value 2. The most characteristic part of Wieser's contribution to the school lies in his doctrine of Zurechnung, which has been acclimatized in this country as "imputation" or "attribution". It has been seen that one of the leading tenets of the school is that value exists primarily and essentially in goods of the first order -goods, that is to say, which, immediately serving the uses of the consumer, obtain a value directly from an estimate of their marginal use. To all goods of higher order, production-goods, value is reflected back needle derives its value from the value of the stocking, the plough, ultimately, from the value of the bread, and so on Here arises the problem of "imputation" In a sense the higher goods have no value until a value is "imputed" or "attributed" to them, but on what principles is a share of the value to be imputed to goods of a higher order? It is scarcely too much to say that

¹ Born 1851, educated at Vienna, for a short time in the Civil Service, then teaching in the Universities of Vienna and Prague, returning to Prague in succession to Menger Minister of Commerce, 1917, returning later to his Chair Died 1926

^{*} Ursbrung, p 81

Wieser's whole theory consists in an analysis of the working of the process of imputation Two points are worthy of notice Firstly, imputation follows the marginal law, here also it is in the light of the least important product that we must determine what value is to be reflected back or "imputed" Secondly, he differs from Menger in his method of determining the value of complementary goods. Menger, it will be remembered, had suggested that the value in such a case would be determined by the loss which would result from the withdrawal of the good from the combination In a series of chapters2 Wieser argues, as against Menger. that in the case of a stock of heterogeneous goods, when one is removed, the others are simultaneously deprived of a portion of their effect Consequently, " the deciding element is not that portion of the return which is lost through the loss of a good, but that which is secured by its possession "3 Wieser's own solution is to determine what he calls the "productive contribution" by the use of a series of equations, showing the results of using the goods in varying combinations

Though Wieser furnishes the classical statement of marginalist doctrine, nevertheless he seems to attempt a reconciliation of the Austrian theory of value with the costof-production theory In one passage of his Ursbrung's he speaks of the process of value-formation as being a circular course. It rises from consumption-goods to production-goods: when determined there, it again descends from production-goods to consumption-goods. The descending branch whereby, in the hard reality of practice, the cost of the production-goods has to be met by the value received from the product, is visible of all

¹ Natural Value, Book III, chap 8

^{*} Ibid , Book III, in particular chaps 4-6.

* Ibid , p 85 of English translation.

* Ursprung, p 156

men, not so the ascending (and prior) branch, which originally gives the productive goods their value. Once value has been conferred on a good of a higher order, it appears to us as a "gegebene Thatsache," a datum in all subsequent transactions. Thus Wieser's view would appear to be that the cost-of-production theory of value is not so much erroneous as incomplete. It is true so far as it goes, and in most cases is so in practice, but it does not push its inquiries far enough to find the source of that value which it accepts as existing in the productive goods.

Bohm-Bawerk

The third of the great Austrians who form the core of the Austrian school is Eugen von Bohm-Bawerk1 (1851-1014), perhaps the best known in this country, by reason of his theories regarding interest, and his very brilliant assault on the Marxian system. For our immediate purposes. Bohm-Bawerk is the author of two considerable works, both of which have fortunately been translated, one under the title of Capital and Interest, and the other as The Positive Theory of Capital The former is an elaborate criticism of all earlier theories of interest, so that the work is in substance a very learned and detailed history of economic doctrine on this one point. This work is wholly destructive and critical, and though it prepares the way for a statement of the author's own views, it does not in fact contain such a statement. It is to its companion work, The Positive Theory of Capital, that we must turn to get Bohm-Bawerk's contribution to Austrian doctrine

So far as the main parts of his work were concerned,

¹ Born at Brünn, in Moravas, in 1851, studied at Vienna, employed for a time in the Finance Department of the Imperial Covernment Returned to academic hie, and ultimately became Professor in Vienna. In 1890, Ministernalizath in the Finance Department Remained in the Government service until 1909, thereafter again Professor in Vienna

Bohm-Bawerk rightly recognizes that on the whole, and apart from the discussion of interest, he was able to follow in the footsteps of previous theorists (Menger and Wieser) For our present elementary purposes, it is therefore unnecessary to attempt any survey of Bohm-Bawerk as a whole There are, however, two points in Bohm-Bawerk's doctrine to which reference is frequently made, and which may therefore be briefly noted here. One is his theory that price is determined by what he calls the "marginal pairs", the other is represented by his views on interest, a subject which he describes as the "heavy part" of his leading work

The theory of the determination of price by the so-called "marginal pairs" is to be found in the concluding portions of Bohm-Bawerk's discussion of "price," in which up to a point he shrouds obvious truth behind considerable complexity of statement. In the case of isolated exchange2 the price will be "determined somewhere between the subjective valuation of the commodity by the buyer as upper limit, and the subjective valuation by the seller as lower limit" Putting it in everyday language, in the isolated exchange the buyer will not pay more than he thinks the thing is worth, nor will the seller sell for less than he, on his side, thinks it is worth, and the actual price will fall between these two limits. Next he advances to one-sided competition among buyers,3 and again it is obvious that "the most capable competitor," the buyer who is willing to go furthest, will be the purchaser, and it is also obvious that the price will be somewhere between his valuation (the price to which he is willing to rise) and the valuation of the "most capable of the unsuccessful competitors " In an auction

¹ Positive Theory of Capital, Book IV.
2 Ibid, Book IV, chap 2

^{*} Ibid , Book IV, chap 3

the buyer will be the man prepared to give most, and he will have to go beyond the limit set by the bidder, who, next to him, hangs on longest All this is truth such as scarcely requires an Austrian for its unveiling.

It is when Bohm-Bawerk comes to the idea of two-sided competition that the idea of "marginal pairs" emerges For the sake of clarity it may be as well to take his own example Ten people anxious to buy a horse come to market, where they are confronted by eight people each anxious to sell a horse, and by a remarkable coincidence no one horse differs from any of the other seven in any conceivable respect. The buyers and sellers each come with a different valuation of what a horse is worth, and in Bohm-Bawerk's illustration the valuations are as follows

Buyers			Sellers		
A,	values a horse at (and will buy at any price under)	£30	B, values a horse at (and will sell at any price over)	Įю	
A.	, .	28	В,	11	
A.		26	в.	15	
As As As As		24	B, B, B, B,	17	
Α.		22	B,	20	
A.		21	B.	21	IO
A,		20	В,	25 26	
A.		18	B.	26	
A.		17	-		
Δ.		7.5			

Under these circumstances what will happen? Clearly A₁, the most capable buyer, will buy a horse, he is in fact prepared to give a price which would induce any of the eight potential sellers to part with his horse. So likewise B₁ is sure to sell for similar reasons. A₁ and B₂ are in fact sure to do business (though not necessarily with one another). Had they met in isolation, the price arrived at between them might have been anything ranging from just over £10 to something just under £30, depending on which happened to be the better player of poker. But in actual

fact the limits within which the price may range will be restricted for them by buyers and sellers with less latitude. So A, and B, can be relied on to do business, again within limits determined by less "capable" buyers and sellers. Looking down the table, it is clear that the last people who could do business would be in fact A, and B, those who just fail to do business are A, and B. These are the marginal pairs, and while B, who is a certain seller, may sell his horse to any of the A's who in fact buy, the price at which the transaction will be carried through will be determined within the limits set by the last ins and the last outs Waiving refinements, price is determined within the limits set by the subjective valuations of the marginal pairs. The important point in all this lies in the inferences which flow from the doctrine of marginal pairs, for it follows, firstly, that every market price is itself a marginal price, and secondly, that price, despite its apparent objectivity, is the product of subjective valuations

The other point of fundamental importance in Bohm-Bawerk, that indeed which he made peculiarly his own, is the subject of interest. The point to be explained is whyl present goods have a higher subjective value than future goods of a like kind and number, why in fact £100 to-day is worth £105 a year hence, in other words, why interest emerges. Of this problem neither Menger nor Wieser had given a wholly satisfactory account. Böhm-Bawerk's explanation is largely psychological, resting on the fact that we systematically discount the future. He advances three main influences operating in this direction. Firstly, in so far as we expect to be better off in the future, a like sum to-day will have a higher marginal value than the same sum in those more affluent times towards which (as

Dealt with successively in Positive Theory of Capital, Book V, chaps 2, 3 and 4

we hope and trust) we are steadily moving This applies to all who, being in embarrassment, expect to escape from their toils, it applies to the vast multitude who hope to be better rewarded in future, when their deserts are more worthily recognized It does not apply to people on the down-grade, who are moving inexorably towards a pension of 40/80ths, or something worse, but even here present goods are, in the worst case, at least equal in value to future goods, since they can in general be carried forward without loss of value, and moreover they may act in the meantime as a reserve fund for anything that may turn up Even such people therefore will rate present goods as high as, and perhaps slightly higher than, future goods This, then, is the first reason for discounting the future. and arises from the fact that the hope which springs eternal in the human breast leads us to anticipate less stringent days ahead

The second reason is that, just as we tend to overestimate our means in the future, so we tend to underestimate our future wants This likewise is a complex of various influences Partly it is due to a lack of imagination, we do not realize how many things we shall want next year, how many pleasures will plead to be tasted, how many "calls," not to be avoided, are sure to be made upon us Partly it is lack of will, we are feeble creatures, running through our salary on the day we get it, although we have an intellectual apperception of the fact that there are other thirty days in the month, weakly making promises even when, in making them, we know we are letting ourselves in for trouble As a consequence we pit our goods in the future against a body of wants and demands which, when the time comes, will be greater than we have allowed for The effect of these two errors of optimism, in putting our future resources at a maximum and the future claims against us at a minimum.

leads us to think that our money will go further in future, and will therefore have a lower marginal utility than, alas, we shall find to be the case when the time comes Thus, quite apart from the fact that life is short and uncertain and we may never have a future, "we look at the marginal utility of future goods diminished, as it were in perspective".

The third cause advanced by Bohm-Bawerk in explanation of the emergence of interest is of a different character. It relates to the supernority of what he calls "roundabout
methods of production" More can be produced by a
wisely chosen capitalistic process than can be obtained by
direct unassisted production. Longer methods of production lead to a greater product, and therefore in comparing
present and future goods, present goods are technically
preferable in enabling the capitalist to obtain the advantages of roundabout methods of production, and the larger
yield in the future. This leads to some of the points
round which most discussion has centred, it is sufficient
here to note the technical superiority of present goods,
viewed from the angle of roundabout processes of
production.²

Menger, Wieser and Bohm-Bawerk together sufficiently represent for the beginner the core and nucleus of the Austran school, but perhaps a fourth writer claims, and can hardly be refused, inclusion among the original founders. It is obvious to us to-day that all the essentials of the Austrian theory are applicable not merely to the economy of the individual, but also to the economy of the State, and in particular that in that most crucial point of contact between the individual and the State, represented by taxation, that which is transferred to the State will also have for the State a marginal utility. The State may

¹ Ibid , p 258 (English translation)
² See, eg , pp 82, 268 of the English translation

take, and apply to less urgent purposes, money which might have been more profitably employed by the tax-payer. This is indeed a rather dowdy old truth, which, however, is clearly capable of being neatly dressed in a chic Viennese confection. A discussion of this aspect of the question was the peculiar contribution of Emil Sax in his Grundlegung der Theoretischen Staatsunthschaft (1887). He applied Austrian thought to the economics of the State, and in particular used it to develop a theory of taxation.

EPILOGUE

THOUGH the leaders of the Austrian school lived well into the twentieth century, their work was in fact accomplished in the 1870's and the 1880's Since then it is almost certainly true that more books on economics have been written than in the whole of the previous preparatory existence of the planet, and some of these by writers who are worthy of being placed among the greatest writers of all time To allow the curtain to fall on the Austrians may therefore appear to be an act savouring of excessive cowardice Yet even for such a prudent act as cowardice. excuses and pleas may be entered. In the first place, it is wise that what purports to be a history, designed to be used along with a text-book, should stop with the day before yesterday, and should leave the economic doctrine of to-day, and probably of yesterday, to be expounded in the ordinary text-book, or in the class-room The current account of economic principles, whether embodied in a vendible commodity of the usual type, or delivered in the form of a course of lectures which perish in the instant of their performance, should reflect and expound the wranglines of the last twenty or thirty years Consequently, so far as this book is concerned, those writers who have not vet become a matter of history may be left for treatment in the adjacent arena It is for those who train the young in economic ways to interpret Marshall and Nicholson in the immediate past, and Pigou, Kevnes, Hawtrey,

Robertson and an endless chain of others in the present, not forgetting Schumpeter and Pareto, Cassel and J B Clark, Wicksell and Knapp, and as many more as there may be room for And may they be guided in satisfying all intelligent incurrents!

Secondly, though it is perhaps merely expressing the same point from a different angle, we are still too near post-Austrian developments to be able properly to assess their value. It is a wise ant that knows the highest tree of the forest in which he pursues his economic activity. Time has a strange trick of putting down the mighty from their seats and exalting those of low degree, and it may later be found that some who have made most noise have been merely loud-speakers in a cul-de-sac. We may make reasonably accurate guesses as to the most influential personalities of the last generation, but till they and their works have been scrutinized by the relatively indifferent eyes of our children, they are merely candidates for immortality.

Yet perhaps in conclusion something ought to be said with regard to the state of economic doctrine in the intervening generation since the Austrians and the position to-day, without, however, being so rash as to condescend to particulars. It may be said that in these last fifty years much thought has been dominated, and all thought has been influenced, by the "margin" and the other ingredients of the Austrian point of view. In this country the leading figure has undoubtedly been that of Alfred Marshall (1842-1924), who, as a first approach, is perhaps best viewed as representing an endeavour to give Austrian ideas their due place, without becoming swamped in Austrian super-refinements, and thus to effect a synthesis of the Austrian ideas and the older Political Economy.

Yet, though marginalism provides the stimulus to much of the best thought in the last generation—I. B. Clark

is another striking example, to take but one-there are indications that the master-key of the Austrians is no longer regarded as the Open Sesame which it appeared to be on its first announcement. The margin has certainly come to stay, it is ineluctable, and cannot be avoided even by changing its name, though this is a device to which resort has not infrequently been made in the history of human thought So long as there are hmited supplies. and purposes or satisfactions of varying degrees of importance, it is of the essence of economics that the more urgent need be satisfied first. Somewhere a satisfaction or a purpose will be included while its neighbour is excluded. and where the guillotine falls, there will the margin be, by whatever nomenclature it be disguised But the cloudcapped towers of the Austrian edifice are scarcely any longer regarded as providing the desirable flats for economists which we imagined them to be when we were very young. The vertigo which accompanies residence in these altitudes is insufficiently compensated by the gymnastic training involved in getting there. It is doubtful whether we consume according to the principles of the differential calculus; consumption may indeed be a discontinuous process It is doubtful also whether we may not have accepted too unquestioningly the universal applicability of the Law of Diminishing Utility, putting aside the baser satisfactions, when the lean and hungry belly barks for food, it may be that the things with regard to which appetite comes with eating are as numerous as those which end with satiety and repleteness

Partly, then, because of a vague dissatisfaction with itspsychological basis, and partly for other reasons, there has perhaps been a growing disposition to be critical of marginalism, at least in its subtler applications. Yet, so far as the ordinary eye can see, there has been nodefinite movement in any direction to replace it.

Economics to-day is, indeed, in some respects in a curious position, its professors suffer from economic agnosticism, if indeed the agnosticism does not amount to an active lack of faith in all dogma Take, for instance, a statement which embodies the faith, or lack of faith. of the Cambridge school, on whom the mantle of Marshall rests-echoing, as it happens, a saving of Marshall himself "The theory of economics does not furnish a body of settled conclusions immediately applicable to policy It is a method rather than a doctrine, an apparatus of the mind, a technique of thinking, which helps its possessor to draw correct conclusions "1 To. say that economics is a method rather than a doctrine, that it is not a body of concrete truth but an engine for the discovery of concrete truth, is to express with great felicity the view that economic truth, as such, is unattainable. So likewise Schumpeter, looking back on a period, of ten or twenty years ago, speaks of the prevailing "tendency among many of the most competent economists to discourage further investigation into its time-

honoured problems, and to consider further refinements as superfluous or worse," and of the belief, then existing, that "the great work had been done" So also Mr. Homan, in a chapter on "The Present Impasse":

"Apparently wearned by controversy, and perhaps impressed by the futility of further refinements of abstract theory in the face of the complex facts of modern life, economists for the most part made an end of controversy some fifteen or twenty years ago, and turned their attention more largely to a realistic study of the life about them "3"

Such is the explanation of the "anti-theoretic schools

¹ General Introduction to the Cambridge Economic Hand-

³ Homan, Contemporary Economic Thought, pp 442-443

of thought," the self-styled "mstitutionalism" which has attained prominence in America, and which Schumpeter regards as the Nemesis of the petrification of theory. Whether indeed it be possible to leave theory in bond, and thereafter throw oneself on the facts and the problems, without later and surreptitiously being compelled to apply for the release of certain instalments of theory ad hoc, need not be discussed. In any case, the institutionalists are a curious illustration of the economic agnosticism of recent years, even if, as some hope, that agnosticism may be passing 1

Another reason for the indecision and agnosticism of recent economic speculation is to be found in the fact that socially and politically we are hving in an age of transition In the grandiloquent old phrase, "humanity is on the march " The Political Economy of the nineteenth century reflected the conditions in which it was born, and assumed fullness of competition, rooted in the uncontrolled action of individual self-interest. But competition and lassez-faire, if they are still something more than dim memories, are, at least, like other surviving Victorians, "not what they once were" Men are no longer units, they are being compulsorily coagulated into groups, and the forces of combination and regulation are producing a society very different from that which the nineteenth century Political Economy set out to interpret. That we are in a transitional stage between individualism and collectivism, by no means sure how far we shall travel nor at what speed we shall move towards our unknown destination, is a contributory factor to the recent dissatisfaction with economic theory, since inevi-

¹ For an expression of the recent and current American point of view, reference may be made to the collection of essays by various writers edited by R G Tugwell under the title The Trend of Economics.

tably the assumptions on which theory has been based have appeared to lag behind the facts. What once was an explanation tends to become a first approach to an explanation, and the ardent and impatient spirit consequently protests against the unreality of all theory:

Grau, theurer Freund, 1st alle Theorie, Und grun des Lebens goldner Baum

But if the economists of to-day are commendably modest regarding the validity of any general body of dogma-if in this country at least they have learned to ship the treatise-this consideration has in no wise restrained their ardour in the pursuit of truth, even if truth be recognized as an elusive goddess. In particular in the upheaval of war and the maladjustments of peace. nearly all the subjects of most urgent controversy have been primarily economic in character. In these circumstances the monograph becomes a necessity the stately statement of principles, the emblem of concrete truth. becomes but a beacon shining from an unattainable land 1 There has thus been opened up an extensive series of problems which have recently been subjected to a subtlety of analysis which Ricardo, in his most Ricardian moments, might have envied Among such may be mentioned the whole theory of money, the problem of the rate of exchange between different countries, the theory and practice of banking, especially in the consequences of changes in the rate of discount, and the bearing of all this on the trade cycle Mr Keynes has very properly commented on the paucity of attention which the theory of money has received in the past. It may be that in the voluminous discussions on these matters consequent

¹ For a suggestive discussion of the different functions of the treatise and the monograph, see Mr Keynes's short biography of Marshall in Memorials of Alfred Marshall pp 35-36

on post-war phenomena, in which in this country the writings of Mr Keynes, Mr Hawtrey and Mr Robertson play an important part, we have the beginnings of a new and significant chapter in economic speculation. But there is one point on which it is difficult to suppress a sentimental sigh. In the past, economics has been, in the main and with certain notable exceptions, a study within the reach of the intelligent and leisured layman Anyone can read Smith and Malthus, everyone (heaven help him!) was meant to read Marx If intelligent people get lost in Carey, it is equally true that quite intelligent people never reach the end of Sir Charles Grandison-and doubtless for similar reasons But Professor Robbins is probably right in saying that the economics of the future "will not be a body of knowledge accessible to everyone" To profit by Mr Keynes's Treatise on Money or Professor Pigou's Industrial Fluctuations, to take the first two examples that come, calls for a greater equipment than a combination of intelligence and curiosity

It may be suggested that a time of comparative scepticism and agnosticism with regard to economic dogma is an inappropriate juncture for the study of the history of economic doctrine in the past. If in our more restrained days economics is a method rather than a doctrine, if it is only an apparatus of the mind, a technique of thinking, why should we trouble about the shots at truth which our over-confident forefathers may have made? But indeed it is primarily in such an age that a study of the history of economic doctrine is most profitable When there is no dominant school. when theory is in solution and truth may be anywhere. ideas of all kind, mutually contradictory, jostle against each other in the pot in which public opinion is cooked. Dr Hans Honegger has recently, with great daring. written an excellent little book expounding the present

position of economic thought, especially as represented in Germany 1 But when he comes to classify his Gedankenstromungen, there are so many streams of thought that the result is an obvious whirlpool Apart from the pure economic schools, brooding on the margin, there are, in his nomenclature, Neohberalismus, Neomerkantilismus, Neosozialismus and Neoromantik, each represented by very distinguished names A similar result would emerge from any attempt to classify the streams of thought in this country during the last quarter of a century, and from this angle, for a comprehension of the present, a knowledge of the past is essential We also have our neo-mercantilists, so also we have our neo- as well as our palæo-liberals Alongside our economic cubists panting for the twenty-first century, we have our mediaevalists hankering after the fourteenth. We have individualist luncheons and we have all degrees of etatisme At cattle shows and at farmers' banquets the voice of the Physiocrat is heard in the land No point of view, once expressed, ever seems wholly to die, and in periods of transition like the present, our ears are full of the whisperings of dead men

¹ Volkswirtschaftliche Systeme der Gegenwart

NOTES FOR FURTHER READING

(The purpose of these notes is not to provide anything with claims to be regarded as an adequate bibliography, but merely to furnish suggestions for further reading. The list is therefore restricted to books which are likely to be available, or at least to be obtainable.

General

The student will find two books especially serviceable as general histories Haney, A History of Economic

Thought (Macmillan), and Gide and Rist, A History of Economic Doctrines The former is the nearest approach to an exhaustive history in English, even though it may have the defect of too conscientiously aiming at completeness, the latter is the standard French work, and from the Physiocrats onwards, it provides a valuable series of studies of successive phases of economic thought An excellent translation is available (Harrap) The third of the standard works, Ingram, A History of Political Economy (Black), seems to me somewhat unequal, and tends, especially in the last chapter, to become a list of names Price's Short History of Political Economy in England (Methuen) is confined to the leading figures in English Political Economy, and combines criticism and biography For the student who reads German, Oncken, Geschichte der Nationalokonomie (Hirschfeld) provides one of the best and most learned accounts of the pre-Smith period It is largely devoted to the mediaeval period and the Physiocrats

Of more recent books five may be mentioned Quite recently (1930) Spann, Die Haupttheorien der Volkswirthschaftslehre has been translated under the title Types of Economic Theory (Allen and Unwin) This book has its interesting aspects, but it suffers from being written by the head of the Neo-Romantic School, and it reveals a certain amount of bias, and indeed lack of comprehension, where the author's sympathies are not engaged. The first two volumes of Gonnard, Histoire des Doctrines Economiques (Librairie Valois) are wholly admirable examples of the felicity and clarity of French exposition Somehow the third volume seems less happy, at least to an English reader Mombert, Geschichte der Nationalökonomie (Fischer, Jena), though somewhat ponderous, has two ments, it covers the ground very systematically, and it has very full bibliographies attached to each section Surányi-Unger, Philosophie in der Volkswirtschaftslehre (Fischer, Jena, two volumes), does not profess to be a history of Political Economy nor a history of philosophy, but in fact, in its comprehensiveness, it

provides a very learned and exhaustive discussion of nearly all aspects of the history of economic doctrine. It is so extensive, however, that it should perhaps be commended only to the leisured or the specialist Lastly, Schumpeter, Epochen der Dogmen- und Methodengeschichte, contributed to the first part of the Grundriss der Sozialokonomik (Mohr, Tubingen), is an admirable short summary, but one feels that it will yield its full value only to those who bring a considerable measure of knowledge to its perusal. These should be sufficient so far as concerns books of a general character, but the insatiable student will find an extensive bibliography of the older general books in Haney.

Monroe, Early Economic Thought (Harvard University Press), and Gemahling, Les Grands Economistes (Sirey), contain useful selections from leading economists, the former, however, being entirely pre-Smith The various volumes in the Petite Bibliothèque Economique (published by Guillaumin) give, for each author included in the series, a general introduction, followed by selections which are usually made with discrimination. These are easily obtained second-hand.

Special

Apart from the foregoing works which are general and comprehensive in their character, it may be convenient to add a few references relating to the separate chapters

Chapter I Laistner Greek Economics

Chapter II O'Brien An Essay on Mediaeval Economic Teaching, Tawney Religion and the Rise of Capitalism, Ashley An Introduction to English Economic History and Theory

The German-reading student who shies at the totality of St Thomas Aquinas will find all the economic and political sections brought together in Ausgewählte Schriften zur Staats- und Wirtschaftslehre des Thomas von Aquino, edited by Schreyvogl in the Herdflamme series (Fischer, Jena)

Chapter III There is not so much specialist literature about mercantilist doctrine as might be expected Minas been twice reprinted in recent years (Macmillain and Economic History Society Reprints), and there are selections from Mun, Serra and Hornick in Monroe's Early Economic 1Thought Schmoller's chapter on "The Mercantile System" is also included in Macmillain's series of "Economic Classics"

Chapter IV Higgs The Physiocrats Quesnay's complete works have been edited by Oncken

Chapter V For such a great man, Adam Smith has not been too well served in the matter of special studies, but there are biographies of a critical character by J Rae, F W. Hirst, R B Haldane and H C MacPherson On this and the following chapter, for the more philosophic aspects, reference may be made to Halévy, The Growth of Philosophic Radicalism, and to Bonar, Philosophy and Political Economy in some of their Historical Relations

Chapter VI Malthus's Essay and Ricardo's Principles are available in Everyman's Library The more important essays of Ricardo have been edited by Gonner The first edition of Malthus has been reissued by the Royal Economic Society See also Bonar, Malthus and his Work

Chapters VII. VIII. IX and X Apart from the original authorities themselves, there is not much literature of a specialist nature available and suitable for the beginner on the subject of these chapters Rae has been re-cast and re-published as The Sociological Theory of Capital study of Sismondi has recently appeared. Simonde de Sismondi as an Economist by Mao-Lan Tuan Müller's more important works have been reissued in the Herdflamme series, and the Isolierte Staat is in the Sammlung Sozialwissenschaftlicher Meister (both series with Fischer, Jena) List's System of National Economy is available in a translation, see also Margaret Hirst, Life of Friedrich List Bastiat is easily accessible, being the kind of person who still has controversial value. Carey, on the other hand, figures in second-hand catalogues with singular infrequency, all things considered

Chapter XI The literature relating to Marx is endless Apart from Capital itself, the following may open the door Beer, The Life and Teaching of Karl Marx, Salter, Karl Marx and Modern Socialism, Nicholson, The Revival of Marxism, Loria, Karl Marx, Laski, Karl Marx, Lindsay, Karl Marx's Capital, Bohm-Bawerk, Karl Marx and the Close of the System For a biography, see the works of Mehring (the standard German work) and Rühle (English translation available) Of the innumerable books on Socialism which inevitably deal with Marxism, two may be mentioned Skelton, An Analysis of Socialism, and Hearnshaw, A Survey of Socialism The second of these would, however, have been a more effective criticism if it had maintained a greater semblance of impartiality

Chapter XII Two general introductions to the ideas of the Austrian school may be mentioned Smart, An Introduction to the Theory of Value, Weinberger, Die Greinwitzenschule Of the original authorities, there are English versions of Wieser, Natural Value and Social Economics, and of Böhm-Bawerk, Capital and Interest, and The Positive Theory of Capital There is no translation of Menger, who, according to Spann, lost faith in his doctrine, and would not allow a second edition to appear in his lifetime Gossen has been reissued by Prager, Berlin

Epilogue Two writers have been courageous enough to endeavour to give (in German) an account of contemporary economic literature Honegger, Volkswirthschaftliche Systeme der Gegenwart, and Suråny-Unger, Die Entwicklung der Theoretischen Volkswirtschaftslehre im ersten Viertel des 20 Jahrhunderts. The latter is a too encyclopædic account of all books published anywher Homan's Contemporary Economic Thought is confined to a study of J B Clark, Veblen, Marshall, Hobson and W C Mitchell Mr Keynes's account of Marshall in the Memorals of Alfred Marshall is an admirable biographical miniature The Trend of Economics, edited by Tugwell, represents the younger American point of view

INDEX

cantilist doctrine, 76, Montchrétien, 81, Adam Smith. 141, and industry compared, Serra, or See also under Physiocrats, Quesnay, Turgot Almsgiving, 44, 50 discovery of silver America mines in, 42, 70 Aquinas, St Thomas, 41, 43 et seq, 65, attitude on slavery, 44-5, doctrine of private property, 46-50 passim, potestas procurandi et dispensandi, 49 usus ipsarum, 49, wealth, 50, poverty, 50, conception of the "just price," 50-3, 60, wages, 53, usury, 56 et seq , trading, 59, 60-1, merchants, 61, the selfsufficient state, 61 Aristophanes, 33 Aristotle, 19, 22-9, criticism of Plato's communism, 22-4, on private property, 23-4, 48, 49, theory of the State, 24, 28, 219, economy of the household, 22, 24, defence of slavery, 22, 44, wealthgetting, 25-7, 28, 81, unnatural finance, 26, 29, 60, on justice in private dealings, 27, 51, attitude towards

ABSTINENCE, 276-7

14-15,

Agriculture Ancient Greece.

30, 102, Ancient Rome, 33-4, 36-7, and mer"mechanics," 28, money, 27-8, 29, 56, 57, usury, 26, 29, 54, 55, value, 29, influence of, in Middle Ages, 43, 44, 57, 59

Artificers, 21, 28, 34n Association, denial of right of, in Turgot, 117

Associationists, 294, 295 Austrian school, the, 330 st seq, forerunners of, 331, 345, outstanding leaders, 345, cardinal points in view of value. 330-1, 351

BASTIAT, FREDERIC, 191, 260 st seq, 373, views of, on Protection, 261, 262 and Socialism. 261, 262, definition of the State, 261, non-interventionist attitude, 262-3, doctrine of harmony of interests, 260. 262-5, on value and utility, 263-4, defence of landed interests, 264

Beer Mr , The Life and Teaching of Karl Marx, 326, 327, 374 Bentham, 280, 337 Bible, the, 43 Bimetallism Oresme, 62 Blanc, Louis, 116, 294 Bodin, Jean, 68 69 Bohm-Bawerk, Eugen von, 345, 353, 356-61, theory of "Iterest, 356, 359-61, price " mar-

ginal pairs," 357-9, on Karl Marx, 311, 374 Bounties, 77 Bousquet, cited, 279 Bray, 296

determination by

Burke, 219, 230

ness of, 244-5

CAIRNES, JOHN ELLIOTT, 191, 285 at sag, on scope and function of Political Economy, 286-9, nature of an economic law, 288, value, 289 at sag, cost of production, 289-90, "non-competing groups," 286, 289, 290-1, wages of skilled labour, 292 Calvin, 72, 307 Captial, diminishing effective-

Capitalism, 72
Carey, Henry Charles, 168, 169, 203, 248 et seq, 369, 373, labour theory of value, 203, 249-50, 260, on capital in land, 250, 252, 264, 265,

restatement of the Ricardian Law of Rent, 250, 251-3, and the Law of Diminishing Returns, 251, 252, 260, reply to Malthus, 251, 252, 2547, 260, ideas of Protection and Free Trade, 253, 257-9, 269, distinction between commerce and trade, 258, manufactures and agriculture, 259, doctring of harmony of interests, 251.

254, 260 Appreciation of, 259-60, his reaction against pessimism of the classical school, 191,

260 Cassel, 336, 364 Cato, 36, 37, 81 Child, Josiah, 90 Christian Socialists, 24 Christianity, transforma

Christianity, transformation of values effected by, in Middle Ages, 43, 44-5

C

culture, 102
Clark, J B, 364
Colbert, 101
Colonial rivalries, 71
Columella, 36, 37

Communism Plato, 17-22, 293, Aristotle, 22-4, Aristophanes,

Cicero, De Officiis, 34-5; agri-

33, of the Christian Fathers,

Competition, unrestrained, 207 Condillac theory of value, 33I-2

Condorcet, 156, 157, 158 Contract Rome, 38, 39 Co-operation, 294 Cost of production, 289-90

Crafts, the, 41 Croce, quoted on Marx, 327

Currency in Oresme, 62, 63

DE Man, The Psychology of Socialism, 328 Demand, elasticity of, 195

Despotism, 68
Diminishing Returns, Law of
Carey, 251, 252, 260, Mill,

280, 283, Senior, 275, Xenophon, 30

Distribution, Laws of Mill, 280-1

Distribution Ricardo, 185-6, Sismondi, 208 Dupont, 106, 107

Ecclesiasticus, Book of, 28 Economic doctrine, nature of, 12, 13, 66

12, 13, 66
"Economic man," the, 308
Economic science, nature of, 13
Engels, 298, 306 and n, 307, 320, 326
Eryxias, 32-3

Exploitation, doctrine of, 293
Exploitation, and balance of trade, 75-6

Fawcett, Professor, 286 Feudalism, 41-2, breakdown of, First International, the, 295m Fourier, 255, 294, 295 Free Trade, 101, 142, 269, in the Physiocrats, 109-11 Adam Smith, 142, List, 234-

FAMILY endowment, 164

5, 238, Carey, 258-9 GERMAN idealist school and Adam Smith, 138 German mercantilism, 93

Godwin, 156, 157, 158, 161, 168 Gold Pliny, 36 Gold standard, 187 Gonnard, Histoire des Doctrines

Economiques, 371, cited or quoted, 52, 151, 187m, comparison between Ricardo and

Marx, 172 Goods, relative or exchangeable

value, 128 Gossen. Hermann Heinrich. 336-41, 342, Law of Diminishing Satisfaction, 337-9, 354, The Laws of Human Intercourse, 336-7, marginalist principle in, 336, 339, 341, analysis of enjoyments, 337, 338, theory of value, 339-40, classification of goods, 339-40, definition of "work, 340, exchange, 341

Gournay, 113-14, 115 Greece. Ancient. economic speculation in, 13-33, 46, ethics and politics in, 14, 15, 22, agricultural economics, 14-15, view of work, 14, 45, artificers, 21, 28, citizenship. 21. 28

Gresham's law, 62 Guilds, the, 41

262-5

HAMMURABI, Code of, 12 Harmony of interests, 209, 260, Hawtrey, R G, 363, 369 Hearnshaw, Survey of Socialism, 374, quoted 233n 4, cited 326n 2

Hegel, influence of, on Marx. 301

Hesiod, 15 Hildebrand, 152 Hodgskin, 206

Homan, Contemporary Economic Thought, 374, quoted,

Honegger, Dr Hans, 369-70, Hornick, Philipp W von, 93-5, programme of mercantilism

in, 93, ideal of self-sufficiency, 94-5 Hume, David, 119-21, 122 149,

269, money, 120-1, 143, criticism of mercantilist 1deas 120-1 Hutcheson, 124

IMPORT duties 77 Imports 75-6 and balance of trade, 75-6 Individualism Rome, 39

Industrial combination, 208 Inheritance, 293 Interest, 58, Adam Smith, 135, 137, Turgot, 116, Bohm-

Bawerk, 356, 359-61 Invention Rae, 200, Sismondi, 211-12, 215

Invisible hand, doctrine of, 23, 146, 147, 151, 163, 209 Italian mercantilism, 92

JEVONS, WILLIAM STANLEY 330. 341-5, Theory Political Economy 3 of 341, utility theory of value, 342-4. criticism of labour theory of value, 344, Mentioned, 289 Judaism, 44 14s gentium, 38 Just price, 46, 50, 51-3, 60

Just wage, 53 Justice, 15, 46, 50-1

KAUTZ, 33 Keynes, J M., 363, 368, 369 Knapp, 364, theory of money,

Knox, John, 307

LABOUR, division of, 59-60, 126, 200, Muller, 224-5, Plato, 15-16, 17, 126, Rae, 200, Adam Smith, 126, 127-8, Xenophon, 32, 126, in Plato and Adam Smith contrasted, 15-17, and over-production, Sismondi, 207

- marginal productivity theory of, 244 ----, productive and unpro-Physiocratic docductive trine of, 102 et seq , 118,

Adam Smith, 138-9, 270, 271 Laissex-faire, 101, 114-18 passim, List. 231. Malthus, 165, 167. the Physiocrats, 101, 117-18, Ricardo, 186, Say, 271n, Adam Smith, 141-52 passim, 161-2, rejection of, 191, Rae. 201-2, Sismondi, 205, 209, 210

Large scale production, 207 Laski, Mr , Karl Marx, 326, 374 Lassalle, 183, 296

Lauderdale, Earl of (James Maitland), 191, 192 et seq, . 199, 222, 278, public wealth and private riches, 193-5, 198, value, 193, 195-6 function of capital in production of wealth, 196-8, attitude to parsimony, 139, 198, 222

Lenin, 170, 307 List, Friedrich, 191, 204, 227 et seq, The National System of Political Economy, 228; theory of values and theory of productive powers con-

234-5, view of Protection, 234-8, and Free Trade, 234-5. 238. small states, 235-6. retaliation, 237, pan-Germanism. 238, contradictions in, 237-8, and cosmopolitanism, 238, Mentioned, 152, 239, 257 Lloyd, W F, 332, utility and value, 332

231, on agriculture and manufactures, 232-4, 259,

269, national development,

laissez-faire.

Longe, 286 Lona, Karl Marx, 320, 321, 374

MACHIAVELLI, 68, 69 Malthus, Daniel, 156

trasted, 229,

-, Thomas Robert, 155 et seq, the Essay on Population, 12, 156, 157, et seq, summary of doctrine on population, 158-9, parison with Sismondi, 212, 213 and n, moral restraint, 158, 160, 161 and nn , 163, complement to doctrine of Adam Smith, 161-2, 163, gloom and pessimism in, 163, practical proposals, 163-4, views on poverty, 165, 167, Poor Law abolition, 164, and laissez-faire, 165, 167, rent, 168, 169 and n , 177 Appreciation, 165-8

Mentioned, 170, 172, 206, 278, 279, 283

Malthusianism, 155, 156, 275, fundamental assumption, 167 Mandeville, 124

Marginal theory, 330, 331 et seq, anticipated in von Thunen, 244-5, 245 and n, statement of, by Gossen, 336, 339, 341, Wieser, 354

Market, extent of, and division of labour, 17

Markets, theory of, 268-70

Marshall, Alfred, 363, 364, 366, 374

Marx, Karl, 293, 295 et seq life and character, 172, 297, 301-2, 325, 329, influence of Hegel on, 301, relation to the classical economists, 302, 308-9, and scientific socialism, 295, 295-7, 328-9, and Rodberfus, 296, 320 Writings of, 207

Virtugs of, 29/ Capital, 298, 300-2, 304, 305, 309, 320, 321 Communist Manifesto, 298, 300, 302-5, 306n, 309 Summary of doctrines of,

298-9 Materialistic conception of history, 299, 305-7, 326

Class struggle, 299, 301, 303-4, 305, 307-9, 325, the bourgeoise and the proletamans, 304-5, 308
Labour theory of value, 175, 176n, 128, 299, 302, 305, 309-22 passum, 326, notion of surplus value, 299, 305, 314 et seq., 326, method of exclusion, 311, element of utility, 309, 312,

skilled and unskilled labour, 313, constant capital and variable capital, 316, 319-21, 323, discussion of interest, 318, managerial functions, 318

Industrial Reserve Army, 188, 299, 322-3, 324, 325 Law of Capitalistic Accumulation, 299-300, 322, 323-4, 325

Exploitation, 304, 305, 309, 316

Estimation of the prophecies of, 325-9, defence of, 327 Otherwise mentioned, 32,

170, 172, 173, 175

Mazzini, quoted, 328
Mediaeval asceticism and accumulation of wealth, 73

Mediaeval economic doctrine See Middle Ages

Menger, Karl, 339, 345-54, 361, 374, Grundsatze, 341, 345, theory of the order of "goods," 346-7, economic and non-economic "goods," 347-8, theory of value, 348-53, 355, exchange, 353, Men-

tioned, 357, 359 Mercantilism, 65 et seq, 86, 90, 122, 125-6, general outline, 73 et seq , one-sided character, 94, rooted in practice, 74, 80, fundamentals in, 74-6, and state making, 69, and balance of trade, 75, 76, 125and governmental activity, 78, population, bullionist aspect, 74-5, 84, appreciation and criticism, 78-80, 122, four typical mercantilists, 80 et divergence from, 90, reaction

against, 101 Merchants, 60, 61

Middle Ages, the, 40, economic teaching of, 40 et seq, feudal system, 41-2, status, 42, 50, trade, 42, dearth of money, 42, the Church, 42-3, non-national atmosphere. 42-3, 65, 67, dominant influences, 43-5, economic questions in, 46, just price, 46, 50-3, 60, usury, 46 54-9, idea of justice, 46, 53, division of labour, 59-60, transition period, 65 et seq. 73. fundamental idea, 53, wages, 53, attitude towards trade, See also Aguinas and რი Oresme

Mill, James, 277# \$, 279

Mill, John Stuart, 191, 277 et seq, position of, in the devel-

coment of Political Economy. 277-9, the Principles of Political Economy, 277, 278, 279, association of principles with applications, 279, 280, on foreign trade, 279, distinction between laws of production and laws of distribution. 281-2. 280, socialism of. Bequest and Inheritance, 280. 282, progressive taxation, 282, on population question. 164, 283, 284, and the Law of Diminishing Returns, 283. discussion of value, 284, conception of the stationary state, 284-5, wages fund doctrine 283-4, 285-6, analysis of cost of production, 289-90, cited on labour productivity. 139n, on protectionism, reply to Carev, 250

Mirabeau (elder), 107, 156 Mixter, Dr., The Sociological Theory of Capital 199n 3 Money, 42, 51, a measure of values, 51, economic interest,

58-9, Aristotle, 27-8, 29, 57, Hume, 120-1, 143 Knapp, 27. Oresme, 62, Pliny, 36, Adam Smith 128 -, Exportation of Mun. 88. 89, 92 Serra, 92-3

-. Ouantity theory, 70. Ricardo 187 Money-changing, 63

Monroe's Early Economic Thought, 91n, 373 Montchrétien, Antoine de, 80-5, agriculture, 81, ideal of national self-sufficiency, 82-3, principle of labour, 81-2, 85, chauvinism in, 82, national exclusiveness, 83-4, views on trade, 84-5, on wealth, 84-5, epitome, 85

More's Utopia, 293 Mosaic Law, the, 12 Müller, Adam, 191, 217 et seq ,

227. 228. political background, 218-20, and reaction against Adam Smith, 152, 218, the State and the individual, 218, 219-20, 226, eulogy of the feudal system, 41, 220, 221, theory of money, 226-7, private property, 220-1, division labour, 224-5, appreciation of

England, 225-6, Mentioned,

230, 239, 251 Mun. Thomas, 85-90, statement of theory of balance of trade, 86, mercantilist programme in, 87-9, views on exportation of money, 88, 89, 92, condemnation of idleness in his countrymen, 89, Mentioned, 91, 93

NATIONAL debt and Protection. 236 National wealth and private capital, 203 Natural law, 98-100 Navigation Acts, 77-8, 148 New colonies, 136 New World discovery precious metals in. 42, 60, 70 Nicholson, 363

NICHOLAS. ORESME. 61-4. money, 62-3, currency debasement, 62, 63-4 Over-production, 215 Owen, Robert, 294

Paper money, 143, 227 Pareto, 364 Parsimony, 139 Partnership, 59 "Phalanx," the, 294, 295 Physiocratic thought, anticipation of, in Aristotle, 25 Physiocrats, the, 38, 65, 97-118, 124, 141, and agriculture, 37, 101-2, 108, 115, 118, 126, 141, lasser-faire, 101, 117-18, trnjle division of classes, 1974, distraction between productive and inproductive labour, 105-6, 118, 138, on foreign trade, 110-11, 150, advocacy of Free Trade, 110, 111, 149, anticipation of Adam Smith, 118, and source of wealth, 126, rent, 137, 177, 182
Appreciation of, 117-18, 122,

weakness of, 127 Motto, 30

See also under Quesnay and Turgot Pigou. Professor, 363, 369

Plantations, 78
Plato, 15-22, The Republic, 15
et seq., The Laws, 15, 20-2,
the State, 15 et seq., 20-2,
division of labour, 15-16, 17,
and extent of the market, 17,
communism in, 17-22, 293,
the guardians, 18-19, 20

Pliny, 34, 36, money, 36, slave labour, 37, and extent of the farm, 36

Political Economy, 12, 170, the phrase, 81, founder, 97, the science of poverty, 335. classical school, 190, 248, reaction against, 190 et seq. later developments, 265 et seq. various views of, Cairnes, 286-9, Jevons, 341. Ricardo, 172. Say, 267-8,

Senior, 273 et seq Population and mercanthism, 78, 93, Malthus, 155-64, Mill, 283, 284, Sismondi, 212-4 Poverty Aquinas, 50, Malthus,

165, 167, Plato, 21, Profits and wages Ricardo, 184-6, 189

Property, private Rome, 38-9, 49, Aquinas, 47-50 passim, Aristotle, 23-4, 48, 49, Müller, 220-1

Protection and Free Trade, 142;

Bastiat, 261, 262; Carey, 257-8, List, 234-8, Adam Smith, 142

Protestantism, 72, 73

QUESNAY, FRANÇOIS, 96-112, economics of, 97, 99, 100, view of natural law, 97, 98-100, laissez-faire, 101, similarities with Adam Smith, 98, 100-10, agriculture, 101, 102, 103, 108, 111, manufactures, 108, 109, distinction between agriculture and industry and commerce, 102-3, 104, 111, and the commercial classes, 102, 103, 104, the " produit net," 103, 106, 112, the artisan an unproductive labourer, 104-5, the "Tableau Economique," 106 et seq, 118, advocacy of Free Trade, 109-10, money and wealth, 109, analysis of mercantilist assumptions in balance of trade, 109-10, 270, taxation, III, II2, loans, III-112, wages, 112, theory of markets in, 269-70

RABELAIS, 72

Rae, John, 191, 192, 198 st seq, 278, invention, 200, division of labour, 200, refutation of laisser-jaire, 201-2, national wealth and private capital, 199-200, 201, 203 Reformation, the, 65, 72

Renaissance, the, 65, 72
Rent Carey, 251-3, Malthus, 168, 169 and n, 177, the Physiocrats (net-product), 103, 105, 118, 137, 177, 182, Adam Smith, 131, 137, 177,

von Thünen, 242 et seq. See also under Ricardo. Retaliation, 148, 237 Revenue, 70, 71

Revenue, 70, 71 Ricardo, David, 170 et seq; The Principles of Political

Economy, 171, 173, abstract reasoning in, 171, 241, on scope of Political Economy, 172, labour theory of value, 173-7, 179, 182, 189, 271, 272, 302, 309, 315, funda-mental error, 174-5, theory of rent, 137, 168, 169n, 177-82, 189, 243, wages, 182-6, 302, distribution, 173, 185-6, profits and wages, 185-6, 189, laissez-faire, 186, currency problems, 12, 187, misquotation and abuse of his doctrines, 173, 189, 302 Appreciation, 187-8, Carey's

criticism of, 251-2 Mentioned, 106, 245, 267, 268, 271, 278, 279 Robbins, Professor, 369 Robertson, 364, 369 Rodbertus, 296, 320 Roman Law, 37-8

Romantic movement, 218
Rome, Ancient contribution
to economic theory, 33-9, the
agricultural writers, 36-7, the
jurists, 37, the "jus gentum," 38

Roscher, 33, 62, 246 Rousseau, 36 Ruhle, Mr , 329

ST SIMON. 293-4 Sax, Emil, 345. 362 Say, Iean Baptiste, 123, 168, 191, 266 et seq., 331, criticism of Adam Smith, 267, popular exposition of Political Economy, 267-8, theory of markets, 268-70, foreign trade, 270, doctrine of immaterial products, 270, views on value, 271-2, lasses-faire, 2718

Schmoller, 69, 373 Schumpeter, 364, 366, 367, 372 Self-interest Adam Smith, 140 Self-sufficiency Montchretien, 82-3, von Hornick, 94

Senera, 34, 35
Senior, Nassau William, 191,
122 et seq, scope and method
of Political Economy, 273 et seq, general propositions,
274-5, function of capital in production, 273, 276, abstance, 276-7, wages fund, 253, Mentioned 280, 286, 280, 290
Serra, Antonio, 91-3, view on industry compared with agril
culture, 91-2-0 ne export of

money, 92-3 Silver discoveries, effect of 42,

69, 70 Single tax, 118 Sismondi, 191, 204 et seq, lassest-faire, 205, 209 210, unrestrained competition, 207, over-production, 207-8, 214, 215, theory of distribution, 208, view of man, 209, inventions, 211-12, 215, population, 212-14

Appreciation, 215-16, influence on Socialist writers, 215
Mentioned, 168, 188, 284
Slave labour Pliny, 37

Slavery Anstolfe, 224 mediaeval attitude, 44-5 mediaeval attitude, 44-5 mediaeval attitude, 44-5 mediaeval, 45-6, 192, similaritus with Quesnay, 98, 109, indebtedness to the Physiocratis, 119, 122, 124, 141, 150, familiar aspects of, 124, general outlook, 124-5, view of society, 127, 128,

The Wealth of Nations 14, 122-3, 124, 126 et eeq , 154, labour source of wealth, 126, 153, attitude to agricultural and commercial interests, 126; division of labour, 15, 16-17,

153

126, 127-8, 225 and extent of market, 127, theory of value, 123, 128-32, 302, origins of money, 128, value in use, 128-o, value in exchange, 128-9, rent, 131, 137, 177, wages, 133f, combinations, 133-4, wages and population, 134-5, 155, profits, 135-6, 137, wages and profits, 131, 135-6, wages variation, 136, interest, 135, 137, capital, 131, 137-8, productive and unproductive labour, 138 q. 270-271, parsimony as basis of accumulation of wealth. 199, self-interest, 140, distribution of capital between different employments, 140- I45. agriculture. I41. 151, laissez-faire, 141, 142, 146, 147, 152, 153, 161-2, optimism in, 163, 186, doctrine of the "invisible nand," 23, 146, 147, 151, 163

Free Trade and Protection, 142, 145-9, 152, gold and silver as commodities, 142-5, foreign trade, 144, exceptions in Free Trade policy, 148-9

Views of, on the mercapulists, 75, 78, 119-20, 142-3, 145, 149-50, on balance of trade, 149-50, on the Physiocratic system, 117, 142, 150
Appreciation, 151-2, and

Appreciation, 151-2, and criticism of, 152, 191 et seq, Continental misconceptions, 126, 153
Otherwise mentioned, 38, 65,

88, 121, 170, 269, 270, 279, 335 Socialism, 293, State, 78, 294,

Socialism, 293, State, 78, 294, Utopian, 293-4, 295, 329, scientific, 295-6, 328, and Ricardian doctrines, 189, 302, doctrine of the "right to the whole produce of labour," 133 Socialists, early, 278, 293

Socialists, early, 278, 293 Sovereignty, doctrine of, 68 Spann, Dr., 152, 153n, 217, 238, 241n, 371

State, the theory of, in Aristotle, 24, 28, 219, in Plato 15 et seq, 20-2, Adam Müller, 218-20, 226

and the individual, 219-

State Socialism, 78, 294 Stationary State, the wages in, 134, 135, 136

Tableau Economique, the, 106 et seq, 118 Tawney, R H, cited, 59 Taxation, 70, 71, Quesnay, 111,

Thompson, 296 Thornton, 286

Thunen See von Thünen
Trade, doctrine of balance of,
75, 76, 79, consequences of,
76, Mun, 86 child, 90
Trading companies, 78

Turgot, Anne-Robert-Jacques, 113 et seq, economic doctrane of, 113, 115, Eloge de Gournay, 113, 114-15, lausserfaire, 114-15, agriculture, 115-10. 114, Réferions, etc., 113, 115-16, 170 Law of Wages, 115-16, 183, 185, edut for suppression of the Jurandes, 116-17, on the freedom ef work, 116-17.

USURY. 35, prohibition of, 46, 54.7, mediaeval doctrine, 54-9, 63, attitude of the Church, 55, development of doctrine, 57-9, breakdown of prohibition, 58, Aquinas, 56 et seq 4 Aristotle, 26, 29, 54, 34

Utility theory, 342-4, 365
Utopian socialists, 293-4, 295, 329
VALUE Aristotle, 29, Bastiat
263-4, Carmes, 289 et seg,

203, 249-50, 260, Gossen 339-40, Jevons, 342-4, Lauderdale, 193 et seg, W F Lloyd, 332, Marx, 309 et seq, Menger, 348 et seq, Mill, 284, Ricardo, 173 et seq, Say, 271-2, Adam Smith, 123, 127-32, 302 Varro, 36 Veblen, Thorstein, 204, 374 Von Hornick, Philipp W, 93-5. programme of mercantilism in, 93, ideal of self-sufficiency, 94 Von Ihunen, Johann Heinrich, 191, 239 et seq , 353, on claims of nationality, 239, The Isolated State, 239, 240 et seq, abstract method in, 241, conception of rent, 242-4, taxes on rent, 243-4. anticipation of marginalist school, 244-5, 246 and n, marginal productivity of labour, 244, diminishing effectiveness of capital, 244-5, theory of wages, 246, on condition of the worker, 246-7 Von Wieser See Wieser

WAGES Quesnay, 112, Ricardo, 182 et seq, Adam Smith, 133 et seq, von Thünen, 246, of skilled labour, Cairnes, 292 Wages fund theory, 134, 283-4 285-6 Wages, Iron Law of, 134, 183

184, 185, in Quesnay, 112-13 in Turgot 115-16 Wages produce theories, 134

Walras, Auguste, 331, analysi of value, 333-6, 341n 4, 348 —, Leon, 330, 333n, 341n 4 345 Wars in Middle Ages 67 70

Wars in Middle Ages 67, 70 Wealth, 32-3, 71, 125-6 changer ideas as to accumulation of 72-3, Aquinas, 50, Aristotle 5-7, 28, 81 Lauderdale, 193 5, 198, Montchrétien, 84-5 the Physiocrats, 126, Rae 199 et seq Adam Smith 126, 139, Xenophon, 29-30 31

Weber, Max, 72, 73 Whately Archbishop, cited, 331 Wicksell, 364 Wieser, Friedrich von, 339, 340

345, 353, 354-6, 359, 361, marginal utility, 354, Zurech nung (attribution), 340 354
Work Christian conception of 45, mediaeval view, 45, as a disutility, 340

XENOPHON, 29-32, contempt of the illiheral arts 28³, wealth, 29-30 31, agraculture, 30, 102, land and labour, 30, Law of Dimninshing Returns, 30, public finance, 31, divi son of labour, 32 views on silver mining, 31, Cyropadia, 32



लाल बहादुर शास्त्रो राष्टीय प्रशासन अकादमी पुस्तकालय LBS National Academy of Administration Library

मसूरी MUSSOORIE

यह पुस्तक निम्नाक्ति ताराख तक वापिस करनी है। This book is to be returned on the date last stamped

This book is to be returned on the date last st imped							
दिनाक	उधारकर्त्ता की सख्या	दिनाक	उधारकर्त्ता की सख्या				
Date	Borrower s No	Date	Borrower s No				
	1						
		i					
_							
			_				
		1					

'अवाप्ति संख्या । ०*५५५*३ 330.09 Gra ACC No 000 100 पुस्तक स वर्ग सख्या Book No Class No लेखक Gray, A. Author The development of econo जीषक Title mic doctrine. हस्ताक्षर उधारकर्ता की स Signature निगंम दिनाक Borrower s No Date of Issue 330 09

LIBRARY LAL BAHADUR SHASTRI

TODIA

National Academy of Administration MUSSOORIE

105553 Accession No

Gm

- Books are issued for 15 days only but may have to be recalled earlier if urgently required
- 2 An over-due charge of 25 Paise per day per volume will be charged
- 3 Books may be renewed on request, at the discretion of the Librarian
- Periodicals, Rare and Reference books may not be issued and may be consulted only in the Library.
- 5. Books lost, defeced or injured in any way